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## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

January 5, 2017

Fred Hohnke, President
Jim Duffy, Vice-President
Jack Mahoney, Commissioner
Brian Coleman, Commissioner
Bill Cohen, Commissioner

Dear President Hohnke and Members of the Board of Park Commissioners:
I am pleased to present to you the results from the "Resident Survey" conducted by Ron Vine and Associates in partnership with the Woodridge Park District.

Woodridge Park District households enthusiastically participated in the "Resident Survey". The contract goal was to complete a minimum of 500 surveys. 683 surveys were actually completed resulting in a return rate of $12 \%$. 472 surveys were completed by mail and 211 by web. The margin of error for the 683 completed responses is approximately $+/-3.8$ percent at the $95 \%$ level of confidence.

The Board of Commissioners for the Woodridge Park District were very clear in their directives to Ron Vine and Associates to produce a survey questionnaire that asked questions of the highest priority to residents of the Park District, to conduct the highest quality and comprehensive analysis of survey responses, and to produce a "Resident Survey Report" with actionable findings for priority improvements to short and long-range Park District services for residents. The survey process and resulting findings has accomplished all of these directives.

While all survey findings will be of great assistance to you in strategic decision-making, I would like to particularly draw your attention to the following four (4) types of analysis and findings as you read this report:

1. $\mathbf{2 4}$ Key Findings for short term (next $\mathbf{5}$ years) and long term (1-10 year) actions. Section 3 contains a summary of 24 key findings impacting both short term and long-term actions. Since these findings represent parks, facilities and services that should be emphasized both over the next 5 years and over the next 1-10 years, the findings will serve as an excellent platform for strategic planning and decision-making.
2. Analysis by Households with Children and Households without Children. While all of the cross-tabular analysis is of great importance, my experience on parks and recreation surveys across the country and in Illinois, shows that on average, cross tabular analysis comparing responses from households with children and households without children are particularly enlightening. Section 4 contains a number of comparisons for key survey questions based on households with children 10 and under, households with children 11-19, households with adults 20-54 and no children and households with adults 55 and over and no children.
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3. Comparisons of 2009 and 2017 Survey Results. Pages $52-55$ show comparisons of the 2009 survey which is a public document and the 2017 survey results for several key indicators, including percentage of households that participated in programs, overall satisfaction with value received from the Woodridge Park District and the types of program spaces households indicated they would use the most in a new indoor community center in the 2009 survey compared to the indoor program spaces most important to resident households in 2017.
4. Current Facilities that are Most Important to Emphasize over the Next 5 Years. (based on sum of the top 4 choices). This analysis is also shown on pages 56-59 and contains an analysis of priority facilities by:

* Geographic Location of Residence
* Households with and without Children.
* Number of Programs Household Participated in Over Past 12 Months

Volume 2 of the Resident Survey report contains cross-tabular analysis of key demographic groups and breakdowns of answers for questions of particularly high importance as well as the open-ended comments.

It has been my pleasure to work with each of you, residents of the Woodridge Park District, the staff of the Woodridge Park District, and your survey leadership team of Mike Adams, Executive Director and Don Ritter, Deputy Director/Supt. of Recreation.

Best regards


Ronald A. Vine, President
Ron Vine and Associates
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## Methodology

Ron Vine and Associates worked with the Woodridge Park District on development of a statistically valid survey of residents to understand issues relating to:

1. Usage and satisfaction with current major facilities provided by the Woodridge Park District.
2. Priorities for improvements to major facilities to emphasize over the next 5 years.
3. Usage and satisfaction with neighborhood/school parks.
4. Needs, unmet needs and most important pathways, parks, playgrounds and picnic areas.
5. Needs, unmet needs and most important sports fields and courts.
6. Needs, unmet needs and most important indoor facility or program spaces.
7. Needs and most important special outdoor facilities.
8. Participation in programs, classes and/or activities provided by the Woodridge Park District.
9. Satisfaction with program, class and/or activity services and most important services.
10. Most important ways to keep your household informed about parks, paths, facilities and programs.
11. Needs, unmet needs and most important programs and/or activities for various ages of residents.
12. Satisfaction with overall value received from the Woodridge Park District.
13. Needs, unmet needs, and most important park
14. Marketing methods currently being used and preferred marketing methods to use in the future.
15. Needs, unmet needs and priorities for parks and recreation facilities.
16. The value of Woodridge Park District services based on property taxes currently being paid.

The survey instrument was designed by Ron Vine, President of Ron Vine and Associates, in partnership with the Woodridge Park District and based in large part from information learned from Focus Groups held with the Woodridge Park District Board and Executive Director, Woodridge Park District residents and Woodridge Park District staff. The administration of the survey was conducted by RRC Associates, of Boulder, Colorado, who conducted the printing, mailing, data entry, tabular data report and cross-tabular tables for the survey.

The survey was conducted using mail-back surveys with an additional option of an online, password-protected web survey if desired by the respondent. A randomized selection of 5,500 residents within the boundaries of the Woodridge Park District were mailed surveys, distributed proportionally based on 6 different geographic areas of the Park District. Respondents were sent a paper survey with a cover letter explaining the project. Included on each cover letter and survey was a unique 5-digit passcode in order to ensure only one response per household and to track by sub-geographic area.
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A total of 5,500 surveys were mailed to a random sampling of households on November 10, 2017, by first class mail, including a postage paid envelope to return the completed survey and an e-mail address to complete the survey over the web if that was preferred rather than a mailed survey. A postcard reminder to complete the survey was sent to all households receiving the survey on November 17, 2017. RRC did a further check of each completed survey to ensure no household completed more than one survey, either by mail or web.

The goal was to complete a minimum of 500 surveys. 683 surveys were actually completed resulting in a return rate of $12 \%$. 472 surveys were completed by mail and 211 by web. The margin of error for the 683 completed responses is approximately + /- 3.8 percent at the $95 \%$ level of confidence.

Responses were analyzed by overall results and cross-tabular analysis was conducted on a variety of factors including age of respondent, gender, households with and without children, geographic location, visited or did not visit a neighborhood/school park, race, ethnicity and participants/non-participants in programs, among others.

## Key Survey Findings

Finding \#1: From a listing of 11 major facilities operated by the Woodridge Park District, respondents were asked to indicate if they used the facility. At least $50 \%$ of households indicated they used the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center (54\%), Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton (53\%), and the Athletic Recreation Center (50\%).


Finding \#2: Over 70\% of households are Very satisfied or Satisfied 9 with the 11 major facilities. Importantly, Very Satisfied and Satisfied Ratings are Very High for Facilities that Are used by the Highest Percent of Households. The Athletic Recreation Center, Hopson Corner Park Splash Pad, Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center, and Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton are four of the five highest used Woodridge Park District facilities. All have very satisfied ratings of $40 \%$ or higher, which is very good. $55 \%$ of households that use the Athletic Recreation Center are very satisfied. The combined very satisfied and satisfied ratings for each of these four facilities is at least 82\%.
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Finding \#3: Based on a sum of their top 4 choices, the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center, Athletic Recreation Center, the Community Center, and Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton are the facilities that Woodridge Park District household respondents feel should receive the most attention over the next five years.


Cross-tabular analysis by households with and without children. Attention over the next 5 years to the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park, Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad, and Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex were significantly higher by households with children than households that did not have children.
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Finding \#4: 79\% of households have visited the neighborhood/school park nearest to their residence. 75\% of households are very satisfied (36\%) or satisfied (39\%) with their neighborhood/school park, with an additional 20\% being neutral and only $5 \%$ being very dissatisfied or dissatisfied.
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Finding \#5: Out of nine types of pathways, playgrounds and picnic areas, the facilities that most households had a need for were walking and biking pathways ( $88 \%$ ) and nature pathways. Out of the same types of facilities, usage over the past 12 months was $80 \%$ for pathways and $57 \%$ for playgrounds and picnic areas.

The need and usage over the past 12 months for all nine facilities is shown in the chart below. The usage of a few facilities over the past 12 months, is far below the need for that facility. Generally, these facilities were rated by respondents as lower in importance, i.e. permitted picnic areas ( $>200$ people) and outdoor fitness equipment stations.


Finding \#6: The Woodridge Park District is doing an excellent job in meeting the needs of respondent households for pathways, parks, playgrounds and picnic areas, particularly for those parks and facilities that are of the highest need. For example, $88 \%$ of respondents indicated a need for walking and biking pathways and $90 \%$ of those having a need indicated that their needs are fully met or mostly met. $63 \%$ of households had a need for neighborhood/school parks, and $92 \%$ of those households indicated their needs for fully met or mostly met.

At least $80 \%$ of needs for 8 out of the 9 pathways, parks, playgrounds and picnic areas are fully met/mostly met. The only exception is outdoor fitness equipment stations where $21 \%$ of respondents had a need and only $33 \%$ of these respondents indicated their needs were fully met/mostly met.

The need and need being fully met/most met for all nine facilities is shown in the chart below.


Finding \#7: Out of ten types of sports fields, the sports fields that the most households had a need for were outdoor baseball fields (21\%), outdoor lighted baseball fields (18\%), and outdoor natural turf soccer fields (17\%). Out of the same types of facilities, usage over the past 12 months was outdoor baseball fields (12\%), outdoor lighted baseball fields (8\%), and outdoor natural turf soccer fields (8\%).

The need and usage over the past 12 months for all nine facilities is shown in the chart below.


Finding \#8: The Woodridge Park District is doing a very good job in meeting the needs of respondent households for baseball fields, outdoor lighted baseball fields, outdoor lighted softball fields, and outdoor natural turf soccer fields. At least $76 \%$ of households having a need for these sports fields indicated that their needs were fully met or mostly met. Additionally, $64 \%$ of households indicating a need for outdoor lighted soccer fields indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met.
$11 \%$ of households indicated having a need for outdoor artificial turf athletic fields, with $48 \%$ of those households indicating their needs were fully met or mostly met

The need and need being fully met/most met for all ten sport field types is shown in the chart below.
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Finding \#9: Out of seven types of sports courts, aquatic facilities and ice-skating facilities, the facilities that the most households had a need for were outdoor aquatic parks (45\%), outdoor aquatic splash pads (33\%), and outdoor tennis courts (27\%). Out of the same types of facilities, usage over the past 12 months was outdoor aquatic parks (31\%), outdoor aquatic splash pads (22\%) and outdoor tennis courts (16\%).

The need and usage over the past 12 months for all seven facilities is shown in the chart below.


Finding \#10: The Woodridge Park District is doing a very good job in meeting the needs of respondent households for outdoor aquatic parks, outdoor aquatic splash pads and outdoor tennis courts. At least 84\% of households having a need for these outdoor facilities indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met. Additionally, 75\% of households indicating a need for outdoor lighted tennis courts indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met.
$11 \%$ of households indicated having a need for outdoor pickleball courts, with only $33 \%$ of those households indicating their needs were fully met or mostly met

The need and need being fully met/mostly met for all seven types of sports courts, aquatic facilities and ice-skating facilities is shown in the chart below.
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Finding \#11: Out of twenty-five different types of outdoor parks, paths, sports fields, aquatic facilities and iceskating facilities, walking and biking paths were by a very large margin the most important parks, paths, and facility (based on a sum of their top 4 choices). $51 \%$ of households selected walking and biking pathways as their \#1 most important outdoor facility, and $72 \%$ selected walking and biking pathways as one of their top 4 facilities. Nature pathways (47\%), neighborhood/school parks (41\%), outdoor aquatic parks (24\%) and playground equipment (24\%) were the next 4 most important outdoor facilities.

The chart below shows the 10 outdoor parks, paths, sports fields, aquatic facilities, and ice-skating facilities that received the highest percent of most important facilities (based on a sum of their top 4 choices). The following page shows the percentage of households who selected one of the remaining 15 facilities.
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Cross-tabular analysis by households with and without children. Sports fields were more important to households with children than households without children. The tabular data below shows the highest priority sports fields based on households with children

# Most Important Sports Fields for Households with Youth 10 \& under (Based on Sum of Top 4 Choices) 

$1^{\text {st }}$ Most Important Outdoor baseball fields
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Most Important Outdoor natural turf soccer fields
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Most Important Outdoor lighted soccer fields
$4^{\text {th }}$ Most Important Outdoor softball fields

Most Important Sports Fields for Households with Youth 11-19 (Based on Sum of Top 4 Choices)
$1^{\text {st }}$ Most Important Outdoor baseball fields
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Most Important Outdoor natural turf soccer fields
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Most Important Outdoor lighted baseball fields
$4^{\text {th }}$ Most Important Outdoor lighted soccer fields
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Finding \#12: Out of nine types of INDOOR Facilities or Program Spaces, the highest percentage of respondents have a need for indoor running and walking track (63\%), indoor fitness/cardio equipment (56\%), indoor free weights area (44\%), indoor group exercise (43\%) and gymnasiums (40\%). The percent of respondents that used these facilities and program spaces over the past 12 were indoor running and walking track (32\%), indoor fitness/cardio equipment (26\%), indoor free weights area (22\%), indoor group exercise (16\%) and gymnasiums (22\%).

The need and usage over the past 12 months for all nine facilities is shown in the chart below.


Finding \#13: The Woodridge Park District is doing an excellent job in meeting the needs of respondent households for indoor facilities and program spaces. At least $71 \%$ of households having a need for an indoor running and walking track, indoor fitness/cardio equipment, indoor free weights area, indoor group exercise area, and indoor gymnasium indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met. Indoor performing arts space (39\%) and cultural arts spaces (38\%) are the only two indoor facilities that less than $67 \%$ of households indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met.

The need and need being fully met/mostly met for all nine types of indoor facilities and program spaces are shown in the chart below.
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Finding \#14: Out of nine different INDOOR facilities and program spaces, the facilities and program spaces that are most important to households (based on a sum of their top 4 choices) were indoor running and walking track (57\%), indoor fitness/cardio equipment (46\%), and indoor gymnasiums (29\%). The chart below shows the importance of each of the 9 indoor facilities and program spaces (based on a sum of their top 4 choices).

## Most Important Types of Indoor Facilities and Program Spaces to Respondent Households (Based on Sum of Top 4 Choices)
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Finding \#15: Out of fourteen types of special outdoor facilities, the highest percentage of respondents have a need for Farmers Market (72\%), brush drop-off/mulch pick-up (51\%), sled hill (47\%) and outdoor amphitheater/concert stage (45\%). By a wide-margin, Farmers Market (40\%) was the outdoor special facility that was most important to households (based on sum of top 2 choices). Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up (26\%) and outdoor amphitheater/concert stage (18\%) were the next most important special outdoor facilities.

The need and importance for all fourteen special outdoor facilities is shown in the chart below.
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Finding \#16: 49\% of households participated in programs, classes, and/or activities provided by the Woodridge Park District over the past 12 months. This is a very high percentage. $78 \%$ of households with children 10 and under participated in programs, classes and or activities provided by the Woodridge Park District over the past 12 months. Most households who participated in programs and activities participated in 1-3 programs and activities during the past 12 months, providing opportunities for increasing numbers of programs households participate in.


Finding \#17: Overall satisfaction ratings for programs, classes and activity services is very high, particularly very satisfied ratings. Eight out of eleven class, program and activity services had higher than $40 \%$ "very satisfied ratings". Safety and security of the program ( $59 \%$ ), location of the program ( $58 \%$ ), quality of the facility where the program is offered ( $55 \%$ ), ease of in-person registration ( $55 \%$ ), timeliness of staff in responding to requests ( $55 \%$ ) and quality of instructors (51\%) all had "very satisfied" ratings of over 50\%.
$78 \%$ of household respondents rated the times programs are offered as "very satisfied" (36\%) or satisfied (42\%) and $83 \%$ of household respondents rated the days of the week program offered as "very satisfied" or satisfied (36\%). Generally, satisfaction with times programs are offered and days offered are lower for agencies that have high participation in programs, classes and activities.
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Finding \#18: Out of eleven program, class, and activities services, times programs are offered is the most important to households (based on a sum of their top 4 choices). $74 \%$ of household respondents indicated times programs are offered as one of their top 4 choices, with $39 \%$ of respondents indicating it was the most important service. Fees charged for value received (62\%), location of programs (48\%) and quality of instructors (47\%) were the next three most important services.

As indicated under Finding \#19, $78 \%$ of households are very satisfied or satisfied with "times programs are offered", $76 \%$ are very satisfied or satisfied with fees charged for value received, $88 \%$ are very satisfied or satisfied with location of programs, and $83 \%$ are very satisfied or satisfied with the quality of instructors.

The chart below shows the importance of each of the 11 program, class and activities services (based on a sum of their top 4 choices).
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Finding \#19: Overall satisfaction with program, class and activity services are very high, both for households with children and without children. $87 \%$ of households with children 10 and under are either very satisfied (43\%) or satisfied (44\%) with program, class, and activity services. $12 \%$ are neutral, and $1 \%$ are dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.
$88 \%$ of households with children 10 and under are either very satisfied (44\%) or satisfied (44\%) with program, class, and activity services. $8 \%$ are neutral, and $4 \%$ are dissatisfied or very dissatisfied. $90 \%$ of households without children and all adults 20-54 years of age are either very satisfied (62\%) or satisfied (29\%), with 10\% being neutral and $1 \%$ dissatisfied or very dissatisfied. $79 \%$ of households without children and adults 55 are either very satisfied (52\%) or satisfied (27\%) with $19 \%$ being neutral, and $2 \%$ dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.

Clearly satisfaction with overall program, class and activity services is very high.


Finding \#20: Out of nineteen types of programs or activities, the highest percentage of respondents have a need for special events (63\%), followed by fitness programs (49\%) and lifelong learning programs (44\%). The percent of respondents that used these programs or activities over the past 12 months were special events, i.e. jubilee (47\%), fitness programs (16\%), and lifelong learning classes (7\%).

The need and usage over the past 12 months for the 8 programs or activities that at least $25 \%$ of households have a need for are shown in the chart below. On the following page are the needs for programs and activities where less than $25 \%$ of households have a need.


Finding \#20: (Continued for Needs and Usage for Programs and Activities where less than 25\% of households have a need).


Finding \#21: The Woodridge Park District is doing an excellent job in meeting the needs of respondent households for programs and activities, with significant opportunities to increase household participation. Programs or activities where the highest percent of those having a need participated in the program or activity, are special events ( $85 \%$ of those households having a need participated in special events), fitness programs ( $73 \%$ of those having a need for fitness programs indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met), recreational team sports ( $76 \%$ of those having a need indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met) and youth learn to swim classes ( $68 \%$ of those having a need indicated their needs are fully met or mostly met).

The chart below shows the percent of needs being fully met or mostly met for those programs and activities where at least $25 \%$ of households indicated a need. The following page shows the percent of needs being fully met or mostly met for programs and activities where less than $25 \%$ of households having a need.


Finding \#21: (Continued for Needs being Fully Met or Mostly Met for Programs and Activities where less than 25\% of households have a need).
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Finding \#22: Respondents were asked to indicate the 2 types of programs or activities that were most important to members of their household of different ages. The chart below indicates the 2 most important programs or activities for members of household ages 0-11.


Finding \#22 (continued): Respondents were asked to indicate the 2 types of programs or activities that were most important to members of their household of different ages. The chart below indicates the 2 most important programs or activities for members of household ages 12-17
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Finding \#22 (continued): Respondents were asked to indicate the 2 types of programs or activities that were most important to members of their household of different ages. The chart below indicates the 2 most important programs or activities for members of household ages 18-39


Finding \#22 (continued): Respondents were asked to indicate the 2 types of programs or activities that were most important to members of their household of different ages. The chart below indicates the 2 most important programs or activities for members of household ages 40-59.
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Finding \#23: 85\% of household respondents indicated the printed Activity Guide (delivered) and 75\% of respondents indicated the On-Line Activity Guide when asked to indicate the four ways they felt would be most important for the Woodridge Park District to focus on in the future in order to keep their household informed about parks, paths, facilities and programs.

The chart below indicates the percentage of household respondents who indicated each way to keep their household informed (based on the sum of their top 4 choices)
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Finding \#24: 75\% of household respondents indicated they were very satisfied (32\%) or satisfied with the overall value their household receives from the paths, parkways, sports, indoor and outdoor recreation facilities, classes and programs provided by the Woodridge Park District. 19\% are neutral and 6\% are either dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.

The chart below shows satisfaction levels based on households with children and households without children. On the following page is a chart showing the positive impact that participation in programs and activities has on satisfaction with the overall value received.


## Key Survey Findings by Households With and Without Children

Comparison \#1: Usage of the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park, Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad, and Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex were significantly higher by households with children than households that did not have children. (Chart Below)
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## Households With and Without Children

Comparison \#2: A significantly higher percentage of households with children 10 and under (71\%) and children 11-19 (62\%) indicated they felt that the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center should receive the most attention over the next five years (based on sum of top 4 choices) than households without children. Additionally, significantly more households with children 10 and under indicated the Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad should receive the most attention than other types of households, and significantly more households with children 1118 indicated that the Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex should receive the most attention than other types of households. (Chart Below). Note: The Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) is not shown on the chart below since a high percent of households with and without children indicated the ARC was of high importance to emphasize over the next 5 years.

Existing Facilities that Have Signficant Differences in Importance to Receive Attention Over Next Five Years Based on Households With and Without Children (Based on Sum of Top 4 Choices)
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#3: Neighborhood/school parks are among the highest used facilities in the Woodridge Park District. As the chart below shows, significantly higher percent of households with children have used neighborhood/school parks over the past 12 months than households without children. Satisfaction levels by visitors are very high for both households with and without children. (Chart Below)
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#4: A significantly higher percent of households with children indicated several facilities, including outdoor baseball fields, outdoor natural turf soccer fields, outdoor aquatic parks, outdoor aquatic splash pads and playground equipment as being more important (based on a sum of top 4 choices) to their households than for households without children. (Chart Below)

It is also important to note that even among households with children there are some significant differences in the importance of some facilities. For example, playground equipment and outdoor aquatic splash pads are more important to households with youth 10 and under than households without children

A Significantly Higher Percent of Households with Children Indicated Outdoor Baseball Fields, Out Aquatic Parks, Outdoor Aquatic Splash Pads, Playground Equipment and Outdoor Natural Turf Soccer Fields a More Important than Households Without Children
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Comparison \#5: Out of 11 indoor facilities rated, some facilities were important to both households with and without children, while other facilities were only important to either households with children or households without children. For example, all four types of households (with children 10 and under, with children 11-19, with adults 20-54 and no children, and with adults 55 and over and no children) indicated that indoor running and walking track was the most important indoor facility to their household (based on a sum of their top 3 choices). Indoor fitness/cardio equipment were in the top 3 most important indoor facilities for all four types of households. At the same time, indoor gymnasiums and indoor artificial turf sports fields were significantly more important to households with children than without children.

Indoor Running and Walking Track and Indoor Fitness/Cardio Equipment Program Spaces are Important to Households With and Without Children while Indoor Gym and Indoor Artificial Turf Sports Fields are Significantly More Important to Households With Chidren
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#6: Out of 9 types of parks, paths and outdoor facilities, walking and biking trails were the most important facility for both households with and without children, based on a sum of respondents top 4 choices. Nature trails were significantly more important to households without children than households with children. Playgrounds were significantly more important in households with young children 10 and other than for other types of households.



## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#7: Out of 14 types of special outdoor facilities, the Farmer's Market was one of the 2 most important facilities for all types of households with and without children (based on sum of top 2 choices). Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up was one of the 4 most important facilities for all types of households with and without children. An outdoor adventure area and a sled hill were significantly more important to households with children than without children. The chart below shows survey responses for the 7 special outdoor facilities most important to respondents.

Farmers Market is the 1st or 2nd Most Important Special Outdoor Facility for Households With Children and for Households Without Children. A Nature Playground is a Very Important Outdoor Special Facility for Households With Children 10 and Under
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## Households With and Without Children

Comparison \#8: Participation in programs, classes and/or activities is considerably higher by households with children than households without children. Satisfaction levels are very high for programs, classes and activities provided by the Woodridge Park District for both households with children and households without children. At least $43 \%$ of respondents in both households with and without children indicated they were very satisfied with programs, classes and activities and at least 79\% were very satisfied or satisfied. Only 2\% of household respondents were very dissatisfied or dissatisfied, with the remaining households being neutral.

The chart below shows the percentage of respondents in each type of household that participated in programs, classes and activities and their satisfaction levels.
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#9: Out of 10 program services, the times programs are offered and fees charged for value received were most important to respondents with and without children (Based on sum of Top 4 choices). Quality of instructors was in the Top 4 for households with and without children, while location of the program was in the Top 4 in all types of households, with the exception of households with youth 10 and under.
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#10: 75\% of household respondents indicated they were very satisfied (32\%) or satisfied with the overall value their household receives from the paths, parkways, sports, indoor and outdoor recreation facilities, classes and programs provided by the Woodridge Park District. 19\% are neutral and 6\% are either dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.

Satisfaction is slightly higher in households with children than households without children. $82 \%$ of households with children 10 and under are either very satisfied ( $27 \%$ ) or satisfied ( $55 \%$ ) with the overall value they receive. $80 \%$ of households with children 11-19 years of age are either very satisfied (35\%) or satisfied (45\%). $77 \%$ of households with adults 20-54 years of age and no children and $73 \%$ of households with adults 55 and over are either very satisfied or satisfied with the overall value they receive.

The chart below shows satisfaction levels based on households with children and households without children. On the following page is a chart showing the positive impact that participation in programs and activities has on satisfaction with the overall value received.
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Comparison \#10: (continued. Below is a chart showing the positive impact that participation in programs and activities has on satisfaction with the overall value received.
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#11: The printed Activity Guide, on-line Activity Guide and e-mail blasts are the 3 ways that all types of households with and without children feel are the most important for the Woodridge Park District to focus on in order to keep their household informed about parks, paths, facilities and programs.

The Printed Activity Guide, the On-line Activity Guide and E-Mail Blasts are the Most Important Ways Respondents Feel the Woodridge Park District Should Focus on Keeping Households Informed About Parks, Paths, Facilities and Programs
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## Households With and Without Children

Comparison \#12: At least $70 \%$ of households with children and without children were very satisfied or satisfied with the overall value their household receives from the parks, pathways, sports, indoor and outdoor facilities, classes and programs provided by the Woodridge Park District. Only 6\% of households were dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with the remainder being neutral.

> Satisfaction with the Overall Value Your Household Receives from the Parks, Pathsways, Sports, Indoor and Outdoor Facilities, Classes and Programs Provided by the Woodridge Park District is High for Households With and Without Children
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## Trends Comparisons from 2009 Survey to 2017 Survey

In 2009 a parks and recreation citizen survey was conducted for the Woodridge Park District. The survey is a public document. Some questions were similar on the 2009 survey to the 2017 survey and other questions were dissimilar. Below and on the following pages are 4 key trends comparisons from the 2 surveys for similar questions.

Trend Comparison \#1: 8 out of the 10 most important outdoor parks paths and facility types were the same in both the 2009 and 2017 surveys (based on a sum of respondents top 4 choices). In some cases, the types of parks, paths or facilities were identified with a slightly different description. The 8 parks, paths and facilities that were in both surveys top 10 most important are starred. It should also be noted that four out of the five most important parks, paths and facilities, i.e. walking and biking pathways, nature pathways, neighborhood/school parks, and outdoor aquatic parks were in the top 5 most important in both 2009 and 2017.

## Most Important Outdoor Parks, Paths and Facilities (2017)

1. Walking and biking pathways*
2. Nature pathways*
3. Neighborhood/school parks*
4. Outdoor aquatic parks*
5. Playground equipment*
6. Large community parks*
7. Outdoor aquatic splash pads
8. Fishing/non-motorized fishing ponds*
9. Outdoor tennis courts*
10. Outdoor baseball fields

## Most Important Outdoor Parks, Paths and Facilities (2009)

1. Neighborhood parks*
2. Bicycle Pathway System*
3. Outdoor swimming pool/leisure pool*
4. Nature center with trails*
5. Large community parks*
6. Playground equipment*
7. Golf Course
8. Fishing piers*
9. Park shelters
10. Outdoor tennis courts*

Trend Comparison \#2: In the 2009 survey, 37\% of households have participated in recreation programs provided by the Woodridge Park District over the past 12 months. In the 2017 survey, a significantly higher 49\% of households had participated in programs, classes and activities.

## Household Participation in Programs, Classes, and Activities Over

 the Past 12 Months Has Increased Significantly Since 2009

Trend Comparison \#3 Participation in Programs, Classes and Activities is Significantly Higher in 2017 than in 2009 for Both Households with Children and without Children. Note: The 2009 question also included "utilized any recreation facility" so the increases are most likely even greater.


Trend Comparison \#4: The chart directly below shows the 5 "potential indoor programming spaces" respondent households indicated they would use the most often in the 2008 survey plus the indoor artificial turf sports. The bottom chart shows the 6 indoor facilities/program spaces that are most important to household respondents in the 2017 survey. Clearly, the ARC facility was designed to provide program features based on the Vision of Wooldridge Park District residents



## Existing Facilities to Emphasize Over the Next 5 Years

Respondent households were asked questions on the survey regarding usage, satisfaction and importance of 11 existing major facilities operated by the Woodridge Park District. The following pages show graphs illustrating the importance of these 11 facilities based on factors including:

1. Location of Respondent Household
2. Households with and without Children
3. Participation in Programs

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report
Q2: Which of the Following 11 Major Facilities Should Receive the Most Attention Over the Next 5 Years (Sum of Top 4 Choices) -By Neighborhood Area

Based on a sum of Top 4 choices, the ARC, Community Center and Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton were in the top 5 in all 6 neighborhood areas. the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center was in the top 5 choices in 5 of the 6 neighborhood areas

| Facility | Area 1 | Area 2 | Area 3 | Area 4 | Area 5 | Area 6 | Number of Neighborhood Areas in Top 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | 5 |
| Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 6 |
| Community Center | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 6 |
| Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 6 |
| Village Greens Golf Course | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 6th | 6th | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 3 |
| Hobson Corner Park Splash Pool | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 6th | 6th | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 4 |
| Forest Glen Park | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | 1 |
| Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Costaldo Park Disc Golf | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Janes Avenue Park Inline Hockey/Futsal Ct. | 11th | 11th | 11th | 11th | 11th | 11th | 0 |
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Q2: Which of the Following 11 Major Facilities Should Receive the Most Attention Over the Next 5 Years (Sum of Top 4 Choices) -By Households With and Without Children

Based on a sum of Top 4 choices the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center was the facility that received the highest percentage support as the existing facility that should receive the most attention over the next five years. At the same time, for all households and types of households that had children and did not have children, the Athletic Recreation Center was the \#1 choice. The Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center, ARC and Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton were in the top 5 choices for all types of households

| Facility | Most Important Rating (sum of top 4 choices) | Households with Youth 10 and under Rating | Households with Youth 11-19 | Households with no <br> Youth - ALL <br> Adults 20-54 | Households with no Youth <br> - ALL Adults 55 and Over | Number of Household Types in Top 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 5 |
| Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 5 |
| Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | 5 |
| Community Center | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 4 |
| Village Greens Golf Course | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 7th | 6th | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 3 |
| Hobson Corner Park Splash Pool | $6^{\text {th }}$ | 4th | 6th | 6th | 6th | 1 |
| Forest Glen Park | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 7th | 1 |
| Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | 1 |
| Costaldo Park Disc Golf | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 10th | 0 |
| Janes Avenue Park Inline Hockey/Futsal Ct. | 11th | $11^{\text {th }}$ | $11^{\text {th }}$ | $11^{\text {th }}$ | 11th | 0 |

Q2: Which of the Following 11 Major Facilities Should Receive the Most Attention Over the Next 5 Years (Sum of Top 4 Choices) -By Number of Programs Household Participated in Over Past 12 Months

Based on a sum of Top 4 choices the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center was the facility that received the highest percentage support as the existing facility that should receive the most attention over the next five years. The Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center, ARC, Community Center, and Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton where in the top 5 choices for all types of households

|  | Most <br> Important <br> Rating <br> (sum of <br> top 4 <br> choices) | 1 Program | 2-4 Programs | 5 Programs <br> or More | Number Program <br> Types in Top 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cypress Cove Family <br> Aquatic Center | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {td }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | 4 |
| Athletic Recreation <br> Center (ARC) | $2^{\text {td }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 4 |
| Community Center | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 4 |
| Lake Harriet/Lake <br> Carleton | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{4^{\text {th }}}$ | 4 |
| Village Greens Golf <br> Course | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ | 2 |
| Hobson Corner Park <br> Splash Pool | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 3 |
| Forest Glen Park | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Orchard Hill Park <br> Sportsfield Complex | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Costaldo Park Disc Golf | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Janes Avenue Park <br> Skateboard Facility | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

|  |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | Percent use | 50\% |
|  | Don't use | 50\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 636 |
| Community Center (2600 Center Drive District) | Percent use | 49\% |
|  | Don't use | 51\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 635 |
| Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | Percent use | 54\% |
|  | Don't use | 46\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 635 |
| Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | Percent use | 33\% |
|  | Don't use | 67\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 636 |
| Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | Percent use | 19\% |
|  | Don't use | 81\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 629 |
| Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park | Percent use | 24\% |
|  | Don't use | 76\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 626 |
| Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | Percent use | 39\% |
|  | Don't use | 61\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 635 |
| Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | Percent use | 12\% |
|  | Don't use | 88\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 635 |
| Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | Percent use | 11\% |
|  | Don't use | 89\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 634 |
| Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | Percent use | 53\% |
|  | Don't use | 47\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 635 |
| Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | Percent use | 18\% |
|  | Don't use | 82\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 636 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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| Satisfaction with the following Woodridge Park District Facilities |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 5\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 8\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 29\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 55\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 315 |
| Community Center (2600 Center Drive District) | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 3\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 20\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 37\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 39\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 310 |
| Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 5\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 11\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 39\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 44\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 342 |
| Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 4\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 5\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 13\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 45\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | $33 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 211 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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| Satisfaction with the following Woodridge Park District Facilities |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 3\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 26\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 38\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 33\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 117 |
| Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 25\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 28\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 44\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 151 |
| Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 0\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 4\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 13\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | $34 \%$ |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 48\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 245 |
| Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 4\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 40\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | $32 \%$ |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 23\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.7 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 75 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| Satisfaction with the following Woodridge Park District Facilities |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 4\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 41\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 35\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 19\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 69 |
| Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 14\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 36\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 46\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 338 |
| Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 5\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 22\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 30\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 41\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 116 |
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| Which of the four facilities should receive the most attention from Woodridge Park District over the next FIVE years |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 25\% |
|  | None | 19\% |
|  | Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 13\% |
|  | Community Center ( 2600 Center Drive) | 12\% |
|  | Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 12\% |
|  | Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 9\% |
|  | Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 4\% |
|  | Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 3\% |
|  | Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 2\% |
|  | Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 2\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 589 |
| Second Rank | No second choice | 28\% |
|  | Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 20\% |
|  | Community Center ( 2600 Center Drive) | 10\% |
|  | Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 9\% |
|  | Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 9\% |
|  | Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 8\% |
|  | Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 7\% |
|  | Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 3\% |
|  | Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 3\% |
|  | Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 2\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 1\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 589 |

## 29 Jan 18

Source: RRC Associates
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| Which of the four facilities should receive the most attention from Woodridge Park District over the next FIVE years |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Third Rank | No third choice | 37\% |
|  | Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 12\% |
|  | Community Center ( 2600 Center Drive) | 11\% |
|  | Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 9\% |
|  | Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 7\% |
|  | Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 7\% |
|  | Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 5\% |
|  | Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 4\% |
|  | Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 3\% |
|  | Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 3\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 2\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 589 |
| Fourth Rank | No fourth choice | 49\% |
|  | Community Center ( 2600 Center Drive) | 8\% |
|  | Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 7\% |
|  | Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 6\% |
|  | Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 5\% |
|  | Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 5\% |
|  | Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 5\% |
|  | Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 5\% |
|  | Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 4\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 2\% |
|  | Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 1\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 589 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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| Which of the four facilities should receive the most attention from Woodridge Park District over the next FIVE years |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 4 Combined | Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 51\% |
|  | Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 47\% |
|  | Community Center (2600 Center Drive) | 41\% |
|  | Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 36\% |
|  | Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 26\% |
|  | Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 25\% |
|  | None | 19\% |
|  | Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 14\% |
|  | Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 11\% |
|  | Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 10\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 5\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 2\% |
| TOTAL |  | 286\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 589 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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|  |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Over the past 12 months, <br> have you or members of your <br> household visited your <br> neighborhood/school park <br> nearest to your residence? | Yes | No |
| TOTAL |  | $79 \%$ |
|  | n = | $21 \%$ |
| Overall how satisfied is your <br> household with your <br> neighborhood/school park? | Satisfied | $100 \%$ |
|  | Neutral | Dissatisfied |
|  | Very satisfied | $36 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $39 \%$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | $20 \%$ |
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| Percent indicating yes, has a need for.. |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking and biking pathways | Percent need | 88\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Nature pathways | Percent need | 71\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Neighborhood/school parks | Percent need | 63\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Large community parks | Percent need | 47\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Playground equipment | Percent need | 44\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | Percent need | 24\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Permitted picnic areas (>200 people) | Percent need | 12\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | Percent need | 25\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor fitness equipment stations | Percent need | 21\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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| Percent indicating yes, has a need for.. |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor baseball fields | Percent need | 21\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor softball fields | Percent need | 16\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted baseball fields | Percent need | 18\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted softball fields | Percent need | 14\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor natural turf soccer fields | Percent need | 17\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted soccer fields | Percent need | 16\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | Percent need | 11\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor football fields | Percent need | 9\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
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| Percent indicating yes, has a need for.. |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | Percent need | 13\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Cricket fields | Percent need | 3\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor pickleball courts | Percent need | 7\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor basketball courts | Percent need | 21\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor tennis courts | Percent need | 27\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted tennis courts | Percent need | 25\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor aquatic parks | Percent need | 45\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor aquatic splash pads | Percent need | 33\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | Percent need | 25\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |

Source: RRC Associates
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| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking and biking pathways | 1-Not Met | 1\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 9\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 40\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 50\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 526 |
| Nature pathways | 1-Not Met | 5\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 15\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 45\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 422 |
| Neighborhood/school parks | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 7\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 42\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 49\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 376 |
| Large community parks | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 8\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 38\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 52\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 279 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Associates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Playground equipment | 1-Not Met | 1\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 10\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 43\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 46\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 270 |
| Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 1-Not Met | 6\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 13\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 46\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 138 |
| Permitted picnic areas (>200 people) | 1-Not Met | 7\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 6\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 37\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 50\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 68 |
| Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 1-Not Met | 12\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 21\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 31\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 138 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor fitness equipment stations | 1-Not Met | 44\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 24\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 19\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 13\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 114 |
| Outdoor baseball fields | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 12\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 43\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 44\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 120 |
| Outdoor softball fields | 1-Not Met | 4\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 16\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 41\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 39\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 80 |
| Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 21\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 41\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 96 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Associates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor lighted softball fields | 1-Not Met | 9\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 16\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 37\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 37\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 67 |
| Outdoor natural turf soccer fields | 1-Not Met | 7\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 16\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 42\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 35\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 96 |
| Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 1-Not Met | 13\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 24\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 35\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 28\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 92 |
| Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | 1-Not Met | 33\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 21\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 23\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 23\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 61 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Associates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor football fields | 1-Not Met | 20\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 27\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 27\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 27\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 41 |
| Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 1-Not Met | 16\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 27\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 21\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 67 |
| Cricket fields | 1-Not Met | 20\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 20\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 40\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 20\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 10 |
| Outdoor pickleball courts | 1-Not Met | 52\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 19\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 23\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 6\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 1.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 31 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Associates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor basketball courts | 1-Not Met | 9\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 29\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 34\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 27\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 116 |
| Outdoor tennis courts | 1-Not Met | 4\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 9\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 35\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 52\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 146 |
| Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 1-Not Met | 9\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 17\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | $31 \%$ |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 44\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 127 |
| Outdoor aquatic parks | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 12\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 37\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 49\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 248 |
| $29 \text { Jan } 18$ <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor aquatic splash pads | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 14\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 37\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 48\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 183 |
| Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | 1-Not Met | 21\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 20\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 33\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 26\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 129 |
| $29 \text { Jan } 18$ <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) Percent who used this during past 12 months |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking and biking pathways | Percent use | 80\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Nature pathways | Percent use | 57\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Neighborhood/school parks | Percent use | 55\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Large community parks | Percent use | 38\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Playground equipment | Percent use | 37\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | Percent use | 11\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Permitted picnic areas (>200 people) | Percent use | 5\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | Percent use | 13\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor fitness equipment stations | Percent use | 5\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| (lf has need) Percent who used this during past 12 months |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor baseball fields | Percent use | 12\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor softball fields | Percent use | 5\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted baseball fields | Percent use | 8\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted softball fields | Percent use | 4\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor natural turf soccer fields | Percent use | 8\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted soccer fields | Percent use | 6\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | Percent use | 3\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor football fields | Percent use | 1\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| 29 Jan 18 Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) Percent who used this during past 12 months |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | Percent use | 4\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Cricket fields | Percent use | 0\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor pickleball courts | Percent use | 0\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor basketball courts | Percent use | 9\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor tennis courts | Percent use | 16\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted tennis courts | Percent use | 11\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor aquatic parks | Percent use | 31\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor aquatic splash pads | Percent use | 22\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | Percent use | 8\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Which four of the parks, paths, and facilites from above are most important to your household? |  | $\frac{\text { OVERALL }}{51 \%}$ |
| First Rank | Walking and biking pathways |  |
|  | None | 12\% |
|  | Neighborhood/school parks | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic parks | 6\% |
|  | Playground equipment | 5\% |
|  | Nature pathways | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor baseball fields | 3\% |
|  | Large community parks | 2\% |
|  | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor basketball courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor tennis courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor natural turf soccer fieldsis | 1\% |
|  | Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 1\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor softball fieds | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 0\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (200 people) | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor fitness equipment stations | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor pickleball courts | 0\% |
| total |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 580 |
| Second Rank | Nature pathways | 30\% |
|  | No second choice | 16\% |
|  | Neighborhood/school parks | 12\% |
|  | Walking and biking pathways | 9\% |
|  | Playground equipment | 6\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic parks | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | 3\% |
|  | Large community parks | 3\% |
|  | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor baseball fields | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor tennis courts | 2\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor fitess equipment stations | 1\% |
|  | Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor softball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor natural turf soccer fieldsis | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor football fieds | 0\% |
|  | Permitted picicic areas (200 people) | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 0\% |
|  | Cricket fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor basketball courts | 0\% |
| total |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 580 |
| 29 Jan 18 Source: RRC Ass |  |  |


| Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Which four of the parks, paths, and facilites from above are most important to your household? |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { OVERALL } \\ \hline 24 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Third Rank | No third choice |  |
|  | Neighborhood/school parks | 17\% |
|  | Walking and biking pathways | 7\% |
|  | Playground equipment | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic parks | 7\% |
|  | Large community parks | 6\% |
|  | Nature pathways | 6\% |
|  | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | $3 \%$ |
|  | Outdor fitness equipment stations | 3\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 2\% |
|  | Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor basketball courts | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor tennis courts | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor natural turf soccer fieldsis | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor baseball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor softball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 1\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (>200 people) | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor artificial turf athetic fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor pickleball courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted softball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor football fields | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 580 |
| Fourth Rank | No fourth choice | 34\% |
|  | Nature pathways | 7\% |
|  | Large community parks | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic parks | 6\% |
|  | Playground equipment | 6\% |
|  | Neighborhood/school parks | 5\% |
|  | Walking and biking pathways | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | 4\% |
|  | Temporary ice skat/hockey rinks | 3\% |
|  | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor tennis courts | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor fitess equipment stations | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor baseball fields | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 2\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor basketball courts | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor natural turf soccer fieldsis | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 1\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (2000 people) | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor pickleball courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor softball fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted softball fields | 0\% |
|  | Cricket fields | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 580 |
| 29 Jan 18 Source: RRC |  |  |

Page 81

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which four of the parks, paths, and facilites from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 4 Combined | Walking and biking pathways | 72\% |
|  | Nature pathways | 47\% |
|  | Neighborhood/school parks | 41\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic parks | 24\% |
|  | Playground equipment | 23\% |
|  | Large community parks | 18\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | 12\% |
|  | None | 12\% |
|  | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 11\% |
|  | Outdoor tennis courts | 8\% |
|  | Outdoor baseball fields | 8\% |
|  | Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | 7\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 6\% |
|  | Outdoor fitness equipment stations | 6\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 6\% |
|  | Outdoor basketball courts | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor natural turf soccer fieldsis | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor softball fields | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | 2\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (>200 people) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor pickleball courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted softball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor football fields | 1\% |
|  | Cricket fields | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 326\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 580 |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for the following Indoor Facilities or Program Spaces... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indoor gymnasiums | Percent need | 40\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor running and walking track | Percent need | 63\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor free weights area | Percent need | 44\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor fitness/cardio equip /group | Percent need | 56\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor group exercise | Percent need | 43\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor pickleball courts | Percent need | 8\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor artificial turf sports fields | Percent need | 19\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor cultural arts space | Percent need | 19\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor performing arts space | Percent need | 20\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indoor gymnasiums | 1-Not Met | 9\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 15\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 30\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 45\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 217 |
| Indoor running and walking track | 1-Not Met | 11\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 11\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 26\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | $52 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 330 |
| Indoor free weights area | 1-Not Met | 9\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 12\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 27\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 51\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 226 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indoor fitness/cardio equip /group | 1-Not Met | 8\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 12\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 30\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 50\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 282 |
| Indoor group exercise | 1-Not Met | 10\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 19\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 30\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 41\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 214 |
| Indoor pickleball courts | 1-Not Met | 8\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 26\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 26\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 41\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 39 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indoor artificial turf sports fields | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 7\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 32\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 60\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.5 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 104 |
| Indoor cultural arts space | 1-Not Met | 30\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 31\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 23\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 15\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 86 |
| Indoor performing arts space | 1-Not Met | 36\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 24\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 22\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 17\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 94 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| (If has need) Percent who used this during past <br> 12 months | OVERALL |  |
| Indoor gymnasiums | Percent use | $22 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
|  | Percent use | $32 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor free weights area | Percent use | $22 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
|  | Percent use | $26 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor group exercise | Percent use | $16 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor pickleball courts | Percent use | $2 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor artificial turf sports <br> fields | Percent use | $12 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor cultural arts space | Percent use | $4 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor performing arts space | Percent use | $4 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| 29 Jan 18 |  |  |
| Source: RRC Associates |  | 4 |



Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which three of spaces from a household? | OR facilities/program most important to your | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 4 Combined | Indoor running and walking track | 57\% |
|  | Indoor fitness/cardio equip /group | 46\% |
|  | Indoor gymnasiums | 28\% |
|  | Indoor group exercise | 25\% |
|  | Indoor free weights area | 23\% |
|  | None | 21\% |
|  | Indoor artificial turf sports fields | 13\% |
|  | Indoor performing arts space | 10\% |
|  | Indoor cultural arts space | 8\% |
|  | Indoor pickleball courts | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 233\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 560 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for the following pecial Outdoor Facilities... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up area | Percent need | 51\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Off-road mountain/BMX bike trails | Percent need | 15\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Outdoor amphitheater/concert stage | Percent need | 45\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Farmers market | Percent need | 72\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Sled hill | Percent need | 47\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Nature playground | Percent need | 42\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Outdoor adventure area (ropes course, zip lines, climbing wall) | Percent need | 40\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for the following pecial Outdoor Facilities... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leash-free dog park | Percent need | 31\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Community garden plots | Percent need | 20\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Ornamental gazebo (for personal special events such as weddings, etc.) | Percent need | 15\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Outdoor running track | Percent need | 35\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Fishing piers | Percent need | 28\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Kayak/canoe launch ramp | Percent need | 28\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Bocce ball / lawn bowling / horseshoe / shuffleboard courts | Percent need | 27\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

## Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which TWO of the Special Outdoor facilities from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Farmers market | 21\% |
|  | Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up area | 18\% |
|  | Outdoor amphitheater/concert stage | 11\% |
|  | None | 9\% |
|  | Leash-free dog park | 8\% |
|  | Sled hill | 7\% |
|  | Nature playground | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor adventure area (ropes course, zip lines, climbing wall) | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor running track | 4\% |
|  | Fishing piers | 4\% |
|  | Off-road mountain/BMX bike trails | 3\% |
|  | Kayak/canoe launch ramp | 2\% |
|  | Community garden plots | 2\% |
|  | Bocce ball / lawn bowling / horseshoe / shuffleboard courts | 1\% |
|  | Ornamental gazebo (for personal special events such as weddings, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 582 |
| Second Rank | Farmers market | 19\% |
|  | No second choice | 16\% |
|  | Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up area | 8\% |
|  | Nature playground | 8\% |
|  | Outdoor adventure area (ropes course, zip lines, climbing wall) | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor amphitheater/concert stage | 7\% |
|  | Sled hill | 7\% |
|  | Leash-free dog park | 6\% |
|  | Fishing piers | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor running track | 4\% |
|  | Kayak/canoe launch ramp | 4\% |
|  | Community garden plots | 3\% |
|  | Bocce ball / lawn bowling / horseshoe / shuffleboard courts | 3\% |
|  | Off-road mountain/BMX bike trails | 2\% |
|  | Ornamental gazebo (for personal special events such as weddings, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 583 |
| 29 Jan 18 Source: RRC A |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which TWO of the Special Outdoor facilities from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Farmers market | 40\% |
|  | Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up area | 26\% |
|  | Outdoor amphitheater/concert stage | 19\% |
|  | Leash-free dog park | 15\% |
|  | Sled hill | 14\% |
|  | Nature playground | 13\% |
|  | Outdoor adventure area (ropes course, zip lines, climbing wall) | 12\% |
|  | None | 9\% |
|  | Fishing piers | 8\% |
|  | Outdoor running track | 8\% |
|  | Kayak/canoe launch ramp | 5\% |
|  | Off-road mountain/BMX bike trails | 5\% |
|  | Community garden plots | 4\% |
|  | Bocce ball / lawn bowling / horseshoe / shuffleboard courts | 4\% |
|  | Ornamental gazebo (for personal special events such as weddings, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 184\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 583 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

|  |  | OVERALL |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Over the past 12 months, <br> approximately how many <br> programs, classes and/or <br> activities provided by the <br> Woodridge Park District have <br> you and members of your <br> household participated in? | 1 program | 2-4 programs |
|  | None | $12 \%$ |
|  |  | $27 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathrm{n}=$ | $11 \%$ |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Please rate your overall satisfaction with the following services provided by Woodridge Park District |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Times programs are offered | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 3\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 9\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 11\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 42\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 36\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 277 |
| Location of programs | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 0\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 10\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 30\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 58\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 283 |
| Quality of instructors | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 15\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 32\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 51\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 251 |
| Fees charged for value received | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 3\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 7\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 13\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 38\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 38\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 276 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Please rate your overall satisfaction with the following services provided by Woodridge Park District |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 12\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 29\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 55\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 230 |
| Ease of online registration process | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 3\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 5\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 14\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | $32 \%$ |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 45\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 244 |
| Ease of in-person registration | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 11\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 31\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 55\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 247 |
| Days of the week program offered | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 8\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 17\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 37\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 36\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 278 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| Please rate your overall satisfaction with the following services provided by Woodridge Park District |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quality of the facility where program is offered | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 11\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 32\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 55\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 278 |
| Quality of program information on the website | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 4\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 15\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 33\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 46\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 265 |
| Safety \& security of the program | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 9\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 29\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 59\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.5 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 274 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which FOUR of the services from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Times programs are offered | 39\% |
|  | Fees charged for value received | 17\% |
|  | Quality of instructors | 13\% |
|  | Location of programs | 11\% |
|  | None | 7\% |
|  | Safety \& security of the program | 5\% |
|  | Days of the week program offered | 3\% |
|  | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 2\% |
|  | Ease of in-person registration | 1\% |
|  | Ease of online registration process | 1\% |
|  | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 0\% |
|  | Quality of program information on the website | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 284 |
| Second Rank | Location of programs | 18\% |
|  | Times programs are offered | 15\% |
|  | Fees charged for value received | 13\% |
|  | Days of the week program offered | 13\% |
|  | Quality of instructors | 12\% |
|  | No second choice | 10\% |
|  | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 5\% |
|  | Safety \& security of the program | 5\% |
|  | Ease of online registration process | 4\% |
|  | Ease of in-person registration | 3\% |
|  | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 2\% |
|  | Quality of program information on the website | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 284 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which FOUR of the services from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Third Rank | Fees charged for value received | 22\% |
|  | No third choice | 14\% |
|  | Times programs are offered | 13\% |
|  | Quality of instructors | 12\% |
|  | Days of the week program offered | 11\% |
|  | Location of programs | 10\% |
|  | Ease of online registration process | 6\% |
|  | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 5\% |
|  | Ease of in-person registration | 4\% |
|  | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 1\% |
|  | Safety \& security of the program | 1\% |
|  | Quality of program information on the website | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 284 |
| Fourth Rank | No fourth choice | 22\% |
|  | Days of the week program offered | 11\% |
|  | Fees charged for value received | 10\% |
|  | Location of programs | 10\% |
|  | Quality of instructors | 10\% |
|  | Safety \& security of the program | 9\% |
|  | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 8\% |
|  | Times programs are offered | 7\% |
|  | Ease of online registration process | 6\% |
|  | Quality of program information on the website | 4\% |
|  | Ease of in-person registration | 2\% |
|  | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 284 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which FOUR of the services from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 4 Combined | Times programs are offered | 74\% |
|  | Fees charged for value received | 62\% |
|  | Location of programs | 48\% |
|  | Quality of instructors | 47\% |
|  | Days of the week program offered | 38\% |
|  | Safety \& security of the program | 20\% |
|  | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 20\% |
|  | Ease of online registration process | 17\% |
|  | Ease of in-person registration | 11\% |
|  | Quality of program information on the website | 7\% |
|  | None | 7\% |
|  | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 5\% |
| TOTAL |  | 354\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 284 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

|  |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall how satisfied are you with the programs, classes and activities you and members of your household have participated in over the past 12 months? | Very satisfied | 45\% |
|  | Satisfied | 40\% |
|  | Neutral | 13\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 302 |
| Looking to the future, please check the FOUR ways you feel will be most important for the Woodridge Park District to focus on in order to keep your household informed about parks, paths, facilities and programs | Printed Activity Guide (delivered) | 85\% |
|  | On-line Activity Guide (website) | 75\% |
|  | E-mail blasts | 64\% |
|  | Flyers | 54\% |
|  | Facebook | 34\% |
|  | Newspaper | 19\% |
|  | Twitter | 5\% |
|  | Instagram | 3\% |
| TOTAL |  | 338\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 608 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for.. |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | Percent need | 63\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Athletic special events (races, etc.) | Percent need | 23\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Youth Learn to Swim programs | Percent need | 25\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | Percent need | 21\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Team sports (recreational) | Percent need | 30\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Team sports (competitive) | Percent need | 23\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | Percent need | 24\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Sports camps/clinics | Percent need | 19\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Summer day camps | Percent need | 15\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |

[^3]Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for.. |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fitness programs | Percent need | 49\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Before/after school programs | Percent need | 15\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Child watch programs/opportunities | Percent need | 15\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Outdoor education programs | Percent need | 21\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | Percent need | 26\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Cultural arts programs | Percent need | 28\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Performing arts programs | Percent need | 28\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Program for persons with disabilities | Percent need | 12\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Mixed martial arts classes | Percent need | 14\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | Percent need | 44\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (lf yes) How well are you needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 1-Not Met | 3\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 13\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 42\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 43\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 353 |
| Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 1-Not Met | 8\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 24\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 38\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 30\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 127 |
| Youth Learn to Swim programs | 1-Not Met | 14\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 18\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 39\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 29\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 132 |
| Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 1-Not Met | 40\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 20\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 28\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 12\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 97 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If yes) How well are you needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Team sports (recreational) | 1-Not Met | 8\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 16\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 41\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 35\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 158 |
| Team sports (competitive) | 1-Not Met | 5\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 14\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 43\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 38\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 120 |
| Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 1-Not Met | 13\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 17\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 40\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 30\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 127 |
| Sports camps/clinics | 1-Not Met | 5\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 17\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 48\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 30\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 94 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (lf yes) How well are you needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer day camps | 1-Not Met | 10\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 17\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 46\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 27\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 71 |
| Fitness programs | 1-Not Met | 11\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 26\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 38\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 26\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 239 |
| Before/after school programs | 1-Not Met | 11\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 22\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 44\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 23\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 73 |
| Child watch programs/opportunities | 1-Not Met | 20\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | $32 \%$ |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 28\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 20\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.5 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 69 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (lf yes) How well are you needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor education programs | 1-Not Met | 24\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 28\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 32\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 17\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 101 |
| Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 1-Not Met | 15\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 27\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 22\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 132 |
| Cultural arts programs | 1-Not Met | 24\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 34\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 29\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 13\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 136 |
| Performing arts programs | 1-Not Met | 25\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 37\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 25\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 12\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 134 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If yes) How well are you needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program for persons with disabilities | 1-Not Met | 31\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 24\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 9\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 45 |
| Mixed martial arts classes | 1-Not Met | 24\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | $31 \%$ |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 32\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 13\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 62 |
| Lifelong Learning classes, (photography, computers, etc.) | 1-Not Met | 18\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 34\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | $34 \%$ |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 14\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 196 |
| $29 \text { Jan } 18$ <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) Percent who used this during past 12 months |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | Percent Use | 47\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Athletic special events (races, etc.) | Percent Use | 9\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Youth Learn to Swim programs | Percent Use | 7\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | Percent Use | 2\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Team sports (recreational) | Percent Use | 14\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Team sports (competitive) | Percent Use | 10\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | Percent Use | 9\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Sports camps/clinics | Percent Use | 7\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Summer day camps | Percent Use | 3\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) Percent who used this during past 12 months |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fitness programs | Percent Use | 16\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Before/after school programs | Percent Use | 3\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Child watch programs/opportunities | Percent Use | 2\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Outdoor education programs | Percent Use | 2\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | Percent Use | 8\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Cultural arts programs | Percent Use | 6\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Performing arts programs | Percent Use | 6\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Program for persons with disabilities | Percent Use | 1\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Mixed martial arts classes | Percent Use | 2\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | Percent Use | 7\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |

[^4]Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 0-11 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 21\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 16\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 16\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 11\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 9\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 8\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 4\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 2\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 2\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 2\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 2\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 1\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 1\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 1\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 178 |
| Second Rank | Team sports (recreational) | 20\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 16\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 8\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 7\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 7\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 7\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 6\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 4\% |
|  | No second choice | 4\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 4\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 2\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 1\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 1\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 1\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 178 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC As } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 0-11 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 37\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 36\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 23\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 19\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 15\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 13\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 11\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 8\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 6\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 6\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 3\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 2\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 1\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 1\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 1\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 196\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 178 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 12-17 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 19\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 19\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 17\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 10\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 5\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 4\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 4\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 4\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 3\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 2\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 2\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 1\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 113 |
| Second Rank | Team sports (competitive) | 12\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 12\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 9\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 9\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 8\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 8\% |
|  | No second choice | 8\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 7\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 6\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 4\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 4\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 3\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 3\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 1\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 113 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC A |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 12-17 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Team sports (competitive) | 32\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 28\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 26\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 21\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 12\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 11\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 11\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 10\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 10\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 7\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 6\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 4\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 4\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 2\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 2\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 1\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 192\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 113 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Asso } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Importance to members of household ages 18-39 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 38\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 20\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 7\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 7\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 6\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 4\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 3\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 3\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 2\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 1\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 1\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 1\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 1\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 182 |
| Second Rank | No second choice | 18\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 15\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 12\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 10\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 8\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 8\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 4\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 4\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 4\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 3\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 3\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 2\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 1\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 182 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC A } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 18-39 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 53\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 31\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 15\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 14\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 13\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 10\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 8\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 5\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 5\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 5\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 2\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 2\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 2\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 1\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 182\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 182 |
| $29 \text { Jan } 18$ <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 40-59 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 38\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 24\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 11\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 5\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 3\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 2\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 1\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 1\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 1\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 0\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 226 |
| Second Rank | Fitness programs | 19\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 18\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 15\% |
|  | No second choice | 14\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 6\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 4\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 3\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 3\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 2\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 1\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 1\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 226 |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 40-59 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 53\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 43\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 29\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 10\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 8\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 8\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 7\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 6\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 4\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 2\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 2\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 1\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 1\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 1\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 0\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 186\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 226 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Asso |  |  |

## Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 60 and older |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 30\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 23\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 20\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 4\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 4\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 4\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 3\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 1\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 1\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 1\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 0\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 231 |
| Second Rank | No second choice | 24\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 20\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 15\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 13\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 8\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 4\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 4\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 2\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 2\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 1\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 231 |

[^5]
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| Importance to members of household ages 60 and older |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 43\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 41\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 38\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 12\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 9\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 8\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 7\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 3\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 1\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 1\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 0\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 176\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 231 |

[^6]Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

|  |  | OVERALL |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| How satisfied are you with <br> the overall value your <br> household receives from the | Very satisfied | $32 \%$ |
|  | Satisfied | Dissatisfied |
|  | Very dissatisfied | $43 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  | $19 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{n}=$ | $4 \%$ |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| Number of people in household... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 5 years old | 0 | 85\% |
|  | 1 | 9\% |
|  | 2 | 5\% |
|  | 3 | 1\% |
|  | 4 | 0\% |
|  | 5 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between 5-9 years old | 0 | 85\% |
|  | 1 | 12\% |
|  | 2 | 3\% |
|  | 3 | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between 10-14 years old | 0 | 85\% |
|  | 1 | 9\% |
|  | 2 | 5\% |
|  | 3 | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| $29 \text { Jan } 18$ |  |  |


| Number of people in household... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| between 15-19 years old | 0 | 88\% |
|  | 1 | 9\% |
|  | 2 | 3\% |
|  | 3 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between 20-24 years old | 0 | 91\% |
|  | 1 | 6\% |
|  | 2 | 2\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between 25-34 years old | 0 | 81\% |
|  | 1 | 12\% |
|  | 2 | 7\% |
|  | 3 | 0\% |
|  | 4 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Associates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Number of people in household... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| between 35-44 years old | 0 | 77\% |
|  | 1 | 9\% |
|  | 2 | 14\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between $45-54$ years old | 0 | 73\% |
|  | 1 | 14\% |
|  | 2 | 12\% |
|  | 3 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between 55-64 years old | 0 | 69\% |
|  | 1 | 14\% |
|  | 2 | 17\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 5 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| 29 Jan 18Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Number of people in household... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| between 65-74 years old | 0 | 76\% |
|  | 1 | 11\% |
|  | 2 | 13\% |
|  | 15 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| Over 75 years old | 0 | 90\% |
|  | 1 | 5\% |
|  | 2 | 5\% |
|  | 4 | 1\% |
|  | 6 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| Total number of people in household | 1 | 11\% |
|  | 2 | 41\% |
|  | 3 | 15\% |
|  | 4 | 20\% |
|  | 5 | 10\% |
|  | 6 | 2\% |
|  | 7 | 1\% |
|  | 8 | 1\% |
|  | 9 | 0\% |
|  | 10 | 0\% |
|  | 14 | 0\% |
|  | 15 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

|  |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age of respondent | Under 18 | 0\% |
|  | 18-24 | 0\% |
|  | 25-34 | 9\% |
|  | 35-44 | 18\% |
|  | 45-54 | 19\% |
|  | 55-64 | 26\% |
|  | 65-74 | 20\% |
|  | 75 or older | 8\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 54.7 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 544 |
| Are you or any member of your household of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish ancestry? | Yes | 7\% |
|  | No | 93\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 600 |
| What is your race? | White/Caucasian | 92\% |
|  | Asian | 7\% |
|  | Black/African American | 3\% |
|  | Other | 2\% |
| TOTAL |  | 103\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 596 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

|  |  | What is your gender? |  | Male | OVERALL |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | $39 \%$ |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | $61 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{n}=$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 599 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $5 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | $16 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $25 \%$ |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | $24 \%$ |  |  |  |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

## Cover Letter:



Woodridge PARK DISTRICT

November 2017

Name
Address
City, IL Zip
Dear Woodridge Park District Resident:
Your response to the enclosed survey is extremely important...
The Woodridge Park District is conducting a Community Survey to incorporate resident feedback in a new Strategic Master Plan to establish priorities for the future improvement of parks, recreation facilities, programs and services within the community. Your household was one of a limited number selected at random to receive this survey; therefore, it is very important that you participate.

We realize that this survey will take approximately 10-12 minutes to complete, but each question is important. The time you invest in completing this survey will aid the Woodridge Park District in taking a resident-driven approach to making decisions that will enrich the future of the Woodridge community and positively affect the lives of its residents.

Please return your questionnaire within 10 days of receipt in the enclosed selfaddressed, postage-paid envelope to ensure all responses are recorded. As an alternative method, you can complete the survey online using the website below and logging in with the password provided:

## www.woodridgesurvey.org and enter the password: xxxxx

We appreciate and thank you for your time. The planning of future parks and recreation opportunities relies on the feedback from our residents!

Sincerely,
WOODRIDGE PARK DISTRICT
BOARD OF PARK COMMISSIONERS
Fred Hohnke
President

Survey:

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey

The Woodridge Park District is conducting a resident survey to understand usage and satisfaction with current parks, paths, recreation facilities, and needs, unmet needs, and priorities for the future park system. This survey will take only 10-12 minutes to complete. The survey was sent to randomly selected Woodridge Park District residents. Thank you for your valuable input!

1. On a scale of 5 to 1 where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," please rate your household's overall satisfaction with the following major facilities provided by the Woodridge Park District. If you don't use, please select " 9 " for "Don't Use".

| How satisfied are you with the following Woodridge Park District facilties? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very } \\ & \text { Satisfied } \end{aligned}$ | Somewhat Satisfied | Neutral | Somewhat Dissatisfied | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vivery } \\ & \text { Disatisfied } \end{aligned}$ | Don'Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| B. Community Center (2600 Center Drive) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| C. Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| D. Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| E. Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| F. Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| G. Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| H. Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| I. Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| J. Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| K. Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |

2. Which FOUR of the above facilities should receive the most attention from the Woodridge Park District over the next FIVE years for you and members of your household? [Using the letters in the left-hand column of Question \#1 above, please write in the letters below for your $1^{\text {tr }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {nd }}$, and $4^{\text {h }}$ choices, or circle "NONE"].
$1^{12}$ : $\qquad$
$\qquad$ $3^{\mathrm{nd}}$ : $\qquad$ $4^{\mathrm{t}}$ : $\qquad$ NONE
3. Over the past 12 months have you or members of your household visited your neighborhood/school park nearest to your residence?
___( ${ }^{1}$
1) Yes
$\qquad$ (2) No
4. Overall how satisfied is your household with your neighborhood/school park?(1) Very satisfied
(4) Dissatisfied (please answer Question 4a)
(2) Satisfied
(5) Very dissatisfied (please answer Question 4a)(3) Neutral

4a. Please write down the name of your neighborhood/school park and if dissatisfied, how it could be improved.
Name of your neighborhood/school park $\qquad$
How could it be improved? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report
5. Please indicate if YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD has a need for each type of OUTDOOR park, path or facility listed below by circling YES or NO to the right of each type of park or facility.
If YES, please answer the questions to the right of the OUTDOOR park, path or facility regarding "How Well Are Your Needs Being Met?" and "Did You Use This Type of Park, Path, or Facility during the Past 12 Months?" If NO, please go to the next type of park or facility.

| Type of Outdoor Park, Path, or Facility | Do You <br> Have a Need for this <br> Outdoor Park, <br> Path, or Facilty? <br> Facility? | If Yes, How Well are Your Needs Being Met? (Circle ONE Response) |  |  |  | If Yes, Did You Use This Type of Park, Path or Facility During the Past 12 Months? (Circle ONE Response) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fully Met | Mostly Met | Partly Met | Not Met | Yes | No |

Pathways, Parks, Playgrounds and Picnic Areas

| A. | Walking and biking pathways | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B. | Nature pathways | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| C. | Neighborhood/school parks | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| D. | Large community parks | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| E. | Playground equipment | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| F. | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| G. | Permitted picnic areas ( $>200$ people) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| H. | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| I. | Outdoor fitness equipment stations | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |

Sports Fields, Courts and Golf Courses

| J. | Outdoor baseball fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K. | Outdoor softball fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| L. | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| M. | Outdoor lighted softball fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| N. | Outdoor natural turf soccer fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| O. | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| P. | Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| Q. | Outdoor football fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| R. | Outdoor multi-use fields (e.g., lacrosse, <br> rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| S. | Cricket fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| T. | Outdoor pickleball courts | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| U. | Outdoor basketball courts | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| V. | Outdoor tennis courts | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| W. | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| X. | Outdoor aquatic parks | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| Y. | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| Z. | Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |

6. Which FOUR of the parks, paths, and facilities from the list in Question \#5 are most important to your household? [Using the letters in the left-hand column of Question \#5 above, please write in the letters below for your $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$, and $4^{\text {h }}$ choices, or circle "NONE"].
$1^{\text {st }}$ $\qquad$
$2^{\text {nd }}:$ $\qquad$ $3^{\text {rd }}$ $\qquad$ $4^{\text {th }}$ $\qquad$ NONE

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

7. Please indicate if YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD has a need for each type of INDOOR facility or program space listed below by circling YES or NO to the right of each type of INDOOR facility or program space.
If YES, please answer the questions to the right of the INDOOR facility or program space regarding "How Well Are Your Needs Being Met?" and "Did You Use This Type of Facility or Program Space during the Past 12 Months?" If NO, please go to the next type of INDOOR facility or program space.

| Type of Indoor Facility or Program Space |  | Do You Have a Need for this Indoor Facility or Program Space? |  | If Yes, How Well are Your Needs Being Met? (Circle ONE Response) |  |  |  | If Yes, Did You Use This Type of Indoor Facility or Program Space During the Past 12 Months? (Circle ONE Response) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fully Met | Mostly Met | Partly Met | Not <br> Met | Yes | No |
| A. | Indoor gymnasiums |  |  | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| B. | Indoor running and walking track | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| C. | Indoor free weights area | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| D. | Indoor fitness/cardio equip./group | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| E. | Indoor group exercise | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| F. | Indoor pickleball courts | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| G. | Indoor artificial turf sports fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| H. | Indoor cultural arts space | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| 1. | Indoor performing arts space | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |

8. Which THREE of the INDOOR facilities/program spaces from the list in Question \#7 are most important to your household? [Using the letters in the left-hand column of Question \#7 above, please write in the letters below for your 1 :t, $2^{\text {nd }}$, and $3^{\text {rd }}$ choices, or circle "NONE".]

$$
1^{\text {st }}
$$

9. Please indicate if YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD has a need for each type of SPECIAL OUTDOOR facility listed below by circling YES or NO to the right of each type of SPECIAL OUTDOOR facility.

| Type of SPECIAL OUIDOOR Facility |  | Do You Have a Need for This Outdoor Facility? |  | Type of SPECIAL OUTDOOR Facility |  | Do You Have a Need for This Outdoor Facility? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Outdoor Facilities |  |  |  | Special Outdoor Facilities |  |  |  |
| A. | Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up area | Yes | No | H. | Leash-free dog park | Yes | No |
| B. | Off-road mountain/BMX bike trails | Yes | No | 1. | Community garden plots | Yes | No |
| C. | Outdoor amphitheater/concert stage | Yes | No | J. | Ornamental gazebo (for personal special events such as weddings, etc.) | Yes | No |
| D. | Farmers market | Yes | No | K. | Outdoor running track | Yes | No |
| E. | Sled hill | Yes | No | L. | Fishing piers | Yes | No |
| F. | Nature playground | Yes | No | M. | Kayak/canoe launch ramp | Yes | No |
| G. | Outdoor adventure area (ropes course, zip lines, climbing wall) | Yes | No | N. | Bocce ball / lawn bowling / horseshoe / shuffleboard courts | Yes | No |

10. Which TWO of the Special Outdoor facilities from the list in Question \#9 are most important to your household? [Using the letters in the left-hand column of Question \#9 above, please write in the letters below for your $1 \%$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ choices, or circle "NONE".]
$\qquad$

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

11. Over the past 12 months, approximately how many programs, classes and/or activities provided by the Woodridge Park District have you and members of your household participated in?
$\qquad$ (1) 1 program
(3) 5 programs or more
_ (2) 2-4 programs
___ (4) None [please skip to Question \#15]
12. On a scale of 5 to 1 where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," please rate your overall satisfaction with the following services provided by the Woodridge Park District. If you don't use or have no opinion, please select " 9 " for "Don't Know."

|  | How satisfied are you with the following Woodridge Park District services? | $\begin{gathered} \text { Very } \\ \text { Satisfied } \end{gathered}$ | Somewhat Satisfied | Neutral | Somewhat Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | Don't Know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | Times programs are offered | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| B. | Location of programs | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| C. | Quality of instructors | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| D. | Fees charged for value received | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| E. | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| F. | Ease of online registration process | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| G. | Ease of in-person registration | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| H. | Days of the week program offered | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| 1. | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| J. | Quality of program information on the website | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| K. | Safety \& security of the program | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |

13. Which FOUR of the services from the list in Question \#12 are most important to your household? [Using the letters in the lefthand column of Question \#12 above, please write in the letters below for your $1^{\text {th }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {nd }}$, and $4^{\text {th }}$ choices, or circle "NONE'].
1st. $\qquad$
$2^{\text {nd }}$ :
$\qquad$ 3 d: $\qquad$ $4^{\mathrm{th}}$ : $\qquad$ NONE
14. Overall how satisfied are you with the programs, classes and activities you and members of your household have participated in over the past 12 months?
$\qquad$ (1) Very satisfied
(2) Satisfied
(3) Neutral
(4) Dissatisfied
(5) Very dissatisfied
15. Looking to the future, please check the FOUR ways you feel will be most important for the Woodridge Park District to focus on in order to keep your household informed about parks, paths, facilities and programs.
$\qquad$ (1) Printed Activity Guide (delivered)
(2) On-line Activity Guide (website)
(3) Flyers
(4) E-mail blasts
(5) Newspaper
(6) Facebook
(7) Twitter
(8) Instagram

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

16. Please indicate if YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD has a need for each type of program or activity listed below by circling YES or NO to the right of each type of program or activity.
If YES, please answer the questions to the right of the program or activity regarding "How Well are Your Needs Being Met?" and "Did You Participate in this Program or Activity During the Past 12 Months?" If NO, please go to the next type of program.

| Type of Program or Activity |  | Do You <br> Have a <br> Need for This <br> Program or <br> Activity? |  | If Yes, How Well Are Your Needs Being Met? <br> (Circle ONE Response) |  |  |  | If Yes, Did You Participate in This Program or Activity During the Past 12 Months? (Circle ONE Response) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fully Met | Mostly Met | Partly Met | Not Met | Yes | No |
| A. | Special events, e.g., jubilee, concerts |  |  | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| B. | Athletic special events, e.g., races | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| C. | Youth Learn to Swim programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| D. | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| E. | Team sports (recreational) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| F. | Team sports (competitive) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| G. | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| H. | Sports camps/clinics | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| 1. | Summer day camps | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| J. | Fitness programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| K. | Before/after school programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| L. | Child watch programs/opportunities | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| M. | Outdoor education programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| N. | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| 0. | Cultural arts programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| P. | Performing arts programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| Q. | Program for persons with disabilities | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| R. | Mixed martial arts classes | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| S. | Lifelong Learning classes, e.g., photography, computers, etc. | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |

7. For each of the age groups shown below, please indicate which TWO programs or activities listed in Question \#16 are most important for the Woodridge Park District to offer. Using the letters in the left-hand column of Question \#16 above, please write in the letters below for your $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ choices for members of your household. [NOTE: If you do not have anyone in the age group, please skip that age group].

$$
\text { 1st Most Important } \quad \underline{2}^{\text {nd }} \text { Most Important }
$$

Ages 0 to 11 years old
Ages 12 to 17 years old
Ages 18 to 39 years old
Ages 40 to 59 years old
Ages 60 and older
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

18. How satisfied are you with the overall value your household receives from the parks, pathways, sports, indoor and outdoor recreation facilities, classes, and programs provided by the Woodridge Park District?
___ (1) Very satisfied
(3) Neutral
___ (5) Very dissatisfied
(2) Satisfied
(4) Dissatisfied
19. Please share any suggestions/ideas regarding any existing or new programs, parks, paths and facilities of the Woodridge Park District.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
20. Counting yourself, how many people in your household are:

| Under age 5 | Ages 20-24 | Ages 55-64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ages 5-9 | Ages 25-34 | Ages 65-74 |
| Ages 10-14 | Ages 35-44 | Ages 75+ |
| Ages 15-19 | Ages 45-54 |  |

21. What is your age? $\qquad$
22. Are you or any member of your household of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish ancestry?
$\qquad$ (1) Yes $\qquad$ (2) No
23. What is your race? (Check ALL that apply)
___ (1) White/Caucasian
(2) Black/African American
(3) Asian
$\qquad$ (4) Other: $\qquad$
24. What is your gender? $\qquad$ (1) Male $\qquad$ (2) Female
25. Please check the number that represents your neighborhood on the map.$\square 2$4 $\square 5$6


Thank you for your participation in this important survey of Woodridge Park District Residents.

Postcard:


Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

## A FRIENDLY REMINDER! WE INVITE YOUTO MAKE YOUR VOICE HEARD

To complete your survey, please type the entire URL into the address bar of your Internet browser (not search engine):

WWW.WOODRIDGESURVEY.ORG

## AND LOG IN WITH THE

 VERIFICATION CODE BELOW:Only a limited number of households have been randomly selected to receive this survey, so your responses are critical to the future of the Woodridge Park District's parks, programs, facilities and services. Responses will be kept confidential.


Woodridge PARK DISTRICT
2600 Center Drive Woodridge, IL 60517
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