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WOODRIDGE PARK DISTRICT 2019 EMPLOYEE INPUT \& SATISFACTION SURVEY RESULTS

## Q1 Please Check the box that corresponds to your department.

|  | Answered: 36 | Skipped: 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |  |
| Admin/Finance/Marketing/PDNRM (Community Center) | $30.56 \%$ |  |  |
| Customer Service | $16.67 \%$ | 11 |  |
| Fitness/Facility Maintenance/Recreation (ARC) | $8.33 \%$ | 6 |  |
| Golf Course | $5.56 \%$ | 3 |  |
| Maintenance / Park Operations | $11.11 \%$ | 2 |  |
| Recreation/Aquatics/Seniors (Community Center) | $19.44 \%$ | 2 |  |
| Tot School | $8.33 \%$ | 4 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 7 |  |

## Q2 Please rate your OVERALL level of satisfaction working for the Woodridge Park District.

Answered: 36 Skipped: 0

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfied | 30.56\% | 11 |
| More than Satisfied | 38.89\% | 14 |
| Satisfied | 25.00\% | 9 |
| Partly Satisfied* | 0.00\% | 0 |
| Not at all Satisfied* | 0.00\% | 0 |
| *If "Partly Satisfied" or "Not at all satisfied" (please specify reason(s)) | 5.56\% | 2 |
| TOTAL |  | 36 |
| \# *IF "PARTLY SATISFIED" OR "NOT AT ALL SATISFIED" (PLEASE SPECIFY REASON(S)) | DATE |  |
| 1 Disagree with the limited amount (12 days) of unpaid days alocated to our department for days off. CS Included in these days are our Saturday rotation. Since the work week is based on Monday through Friday, the additional Saturday of about once per month should not be included as part of the switch. | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |  |
| 2 MAINT The education level staff has needs to be higher than just High School. | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |  |

# Q3 AUTONOMY ( level of discretion granted to an employee in performing one's job with reasonable supervision/guidance): 

Answered: 36 Skipped: 0

|  | STRONGLY AGREE | AGREE | DISAGREE | STRONGLY DISAGREE | NO OPINION | TOTAL | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. My position allows me flexibility to make independent decisions and choose how to perform my work with reasonable supervision/guidance | $\begin{array}{r} 36.11 \% \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.33 \% \\ 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 36 | 1.81 |
| b. I participate in decisions for the District. | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.89 \% \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.44 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 36 | 3.44 |
| c. I am encouraged to be self-sufficient. | $\begin{array}{r} 33.33 \% \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.78 \% \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.22 |
| d. My position encourages creativity/innovation. | $\begin{array}{r} 19.44 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.56 \% \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.11 \% \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.11 \% \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.81 |
| e. I actively participate in department staff meetings. | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.78 \% \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.33 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.03 |
| f. I see myself working at the Park District five years from now. | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.11 \% \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.36 |
| g. Based on my expressed interest, I have a clear understanding of my career/promotion path. | $\begin{array}{r} 13.89 \% \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.78 \% \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.33 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.33 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 36 | 3.28 |


| \# | COMMENT, CONSTRUCTIVE SUGGESTION OR IDEA (LIST LETTER OF ITEM COMMENTING ON) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Unsure if there is a path to promotion, but job allows for self-made decisions | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 2 | A. I am pushed to make my own decisions regarding my tasks for the well-being of the district. My supervisor offers assistance if it is needed. The supervisor is willing to hear my ideas about the decisions. B. I participate in decisions that my department relies on for the well-being of WPD. Not necessarily for the district. C. The supervisor encourages independent work and allows me to work freely without overstepping. D. n/a E. Meetings are informative for the department when called. F. Various reasons for my answer. G. There is no different career/promotion path. It is unfair that everyone gets a standard $3 \%$ increase, when circumstances for job duties vary per role. I believe $3 \%$ is given to individual's who excel and who do not excel at WPD. This makes it difficult to want to continue to excel, when you just get what everyone else does. I believe that other factors (living costs, job duties, etc) should be calculated into the increases as well. | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 3 | I enjoy working independently but also appreciate management's availability, guidance and quick response time if I need anything, have questions or need clarity. | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 4 | With so many people getting close to retirement age, it would be nice to understand if there are internal candidates being considered/groomed for future positions. Also, do positions need reorganization to accommodate necessary changes for better cohesiveness and efficiencies? | 2/14/2019 11:47 AM |
| 5 | In my department there is no mentoring, encouragement and communication and our ideas don't count. Some people think they can run a department from a desk with out any field work. | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |
| 6 | This all depends on the project and who I am working on a project with. | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |
| 7 | a. The Park District has always encouraged staff to make independent decisions and given leeway on how to perform their work (just do your job!) b. My supervisor always keeps me informed and regularly asks for my opinion when forming a decision. c. Encouraged to - "just do your job!" | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |
| 8 | my opinion has been ignored more than once, and time has proved I was right, on my ignored suggestion a couple of times. | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |

## Q4 CLIMATE/WORK CONDITIONS:

Answered: 36 Skipped: 0

|  | STRONGLY AGREE | AGREE | DISAGREE | STRONGLY DISAGREE | NO OPINION | TOTAL | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. I have adequate resources (e.g. supplies, equipment, technology, software, software upgrades, etc.) necessary to peform my job. | $\begin{array}{r} 36.11 \% \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.11 \% \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 36 | 1.72 |
| b. My work climate provides opportunities to contribute feedback and collaborate in formulating decisions in my department. | $\begin{array}{r} 33.33 \% \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.89 \% \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.64 |
| c. My work climate encourages teamwork, support and excellence | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.22 \% \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.14 |
| d. My pay rate is competitive with similar jobs in our profession. | $\begin{array}{r} 19.44 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.33 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.44 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 36 | 3.14 |
| e. My benefits are comparable to those offered by other similar organizations. | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.11 \% \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 36 | 3.53 |


| \# | COMMENT, CONSTRUCTIVE SUGGESTION OR IDEA (LIST LETTER OF ITEM COMMENTING ON) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | As a professional teacher, I feel we should be paid more for what we do. | 2/21/2019 6:20 PM |
| 2 | I would like to see us use online application - program such as Applitrack. We are really behind on this. I think we should also look at google docs so schedules could be shared and updated by appropriate staff. | 2/19/2019 4:16 PM |
| 3 | Pay rate for this position is very good when compared to other front desk positions. | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |
| 4 | Work environment is comfortable | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 5 | A. I believe that the department could benefit from better quality programming systems or upgrades. B. No comments C. No comments D. Unsure E. Unsure | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 6 | Love the work environment, people are social, having fun but yet remain industrious and focused on their job duties. I love that it's also usually quiet which allows me to focus and remain productive, not too many meetings also! | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 7 | I think an incentivized performance based pay rate should be offered for those who perform above and beyond expectations. Raises should not be limited to the cap. Incentivizing those to go above and beyond the call of duty would be a great help to the District to sustain hard working employees that do not get complacent. | 2/14/2019 11:47 AM |
| 8 | I do feel that permanent part time employees should be allowed some benefits, for example bereavement days. | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 9 | I was not informed if my pay rate and benefits are comparable to other districts. | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |
| 10 | This all depends on the project and who I am working on a project with. | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |
| 11 | a. I have always felt the Park District supplied more than adequate resources and willing to update/upgrade any technology requested (within reason) b. Communication and collaboration exist in our department when making team decisions (most of the time). | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |
| 12 | E. Other districts our size receive additional holidays. Would like the addition of a "floating holiday" for an employee to use at his or her discretion. IE. Veterans Day, Martin Luther King Day, Presidents Day, Columbus Day. The sick time earning rate is low in comparison for the field. Should be increased from 9 to 12 a year. Bereavement policy should be amended to include 1 day for "Like family" , in some cases we lose a friend that is closer than family. | 2/12/2019 8:14 AM |

## Q5 COMMUNICATION:

Answered: 36 Skipped: 0

|  |  | STRONGLY AGREE | AGREE | DISAGREE | STRONGLY DISAGREE |  | TOTAL |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITED } \\ & \text { AGE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. I know what is expected of me and my position. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.56 \% \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  | 36 |  | 1.78 |
| b. My department head and I maintain a clear understanding about what I am expected to do and how I am to carry it out. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 36.11 \% \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ |  | 36 |  | 2.17 |
| c. My department head does a good job in communicating board/management information and decisions to everyone in a timely manner. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.33 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ |  | 36 |  | 2.22 |
| d. I regularly read the monthly board meeting packets and/or interim board reports provided on the Employee Shared Drive to get up-todate information on Park District happenings. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 19.44 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ |  | 36 |  | $3.5 C$ |
| \# | COMMENT, CONSTRUCTIVE SUGGESTION OR IDEA (LIST LETTER OF ITEM COMMENTING ON) |  |  |  |  |  | DATE |  |  |
| 1 | b. Our department head expects without exception, to be kept in the loop on all matters, whether it be work-related conversation with staff, internal email, customer conversation. |  |  |  |  |  | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |  |  |
| 2 | I have great communication with my department head |  |  |  |  |  | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |  |  |
| 3 | A. No comment B. Although my department head and I have a clear understanding of what I am expected to do, I think it is unclear to my supervisor how my tasks are done. I believe that a supervisor should know what it takes to complete each of my tasks whether they are require a few simple steps or more detailed work. To me, it allows the supervisor to have a better understanding of what is entailed in the work that I am asked to do. This could also lead to better quality procedures. C. No comment D. I do not look at the board packets. Most important information is told to the department at our meetings. |  |  |  |  |  | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |  |  |
| 4 | Was not aware of ever informed board meeting packets were available on the shared drive. Now that I know I will be reading them on a regular basis. |  |  |  |  |  | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |  |  |
| 5 | The department head does a great job of keeping staff on track of deadlines and meetings. Always has an open door policy for any questions or concerns. |  |  |  |  |  | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |  |  |
| 6 | Communication. There is none in our department. We are on a need to know basis all the time. |  |  |  |  |  | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |  |  |
| 7 | d. Board reports are just one of many ways for staff to keep updated on agency happenings. Communication is always a challenge within many organizations, but overall, I feel the majority of the different departments do a pretty good job of keeping employees informed of what is going on. |  |  |  |  |  | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |  |  |
| 8 | c. Department Head is very good at sharing information in monthly department meetings and outside of those meetings if it is information that should be shared more quickly. |  |  |  |  |  | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |  |  |
| 9 | communication throughout management is very bad. |  |  |  |  |  | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |  |  |
| 10 | I understand all the responsibilities that come with my job, and work on them the best I can. My Direct Supervisor, is extremely accommodating and is very helpful when it is needed. |  |  |  |  |  | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |  |  |

## Q6 MEANINGFUL WORK:

Answered: 36 Skipped: 0

|  | STRONGLY AGREE | AGREE | DISAGREE | STRONGLY DISAGREE | NO OPINION | TOTAL | WEIGHTED <br> AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The Executive Director and my department head value my work. | $\begin{array}{r} 27.78 \% \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.78 \% \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.89 \% \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.61 |
| b. Diversity (ethnicity, gender, age, etc.) differences are recognized and respected. | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.22 \% \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 2.78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 36 | 3.17 |
| c. I receive feedback from my Department Head that my work contributes to the overall success of my department. | $\begin{array}{r} 27.78 \% \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.33 \% \\ 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.28 |
| d. I receive feedback from the Executive Director that my work contributes to the District's overall success. | $\begin{array}{r} 11.11 \% \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.11 \% \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 36 | 3.19 |
| e. The annual strategic planning process (improvement \& issues identification / goals / objectives / budget recommendations) provide clear and concise direction for each department to improve District services. | $\begin{array}{r} 8.33 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.89 \% \\ 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 36 | 3.22 |
| f. I am frequently given authentic recognition from my direct supervisor for a job well done when deserved. | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.33 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.81 |


| \# | COMMENT, CONSTRUCTIVE SUGGESTION OR IDEA (LIST LETTER OF ITEM COMMENTING ON) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I feel as though my work is valued, don't have much communication with the Executive Director | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 2 | A. I believe they do. B. Overall - yes, recognized and respected. However, some scenarios in the workplace where gender and age are definitely factored into your image by others as an employee. C. The supervisor excels at positive feedback. It is quite ideal- how much it is given and has helped my confidence in the workplace. D. I believe this statement is accurate, however, we do not work closely. E. n/a F. same as "C" above. | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 3 | Don't receive much recognition/acknowledgement of job well done from my direct supervisor but this is not a high priority for me or area of dissatisfaction. | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 4 | My direct supervisor is new to the position and is learning about my position along the way. | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 5 | c.d.f. Feedback and recognition could be done more often. | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |
| 6 | Disrespect is a common thing in my department | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| 7 | Overall, I would say I do receive the appropriate praise for my job for my supervisors. I do think there needs to be more of an equal distribution of job responsibilities among the full time staff. Some staff I feel have a lot more responsibilities then other due working at the WPD. | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |

## Q7 SUPPORT/RELATIONSHIPS:

## Answered: 36 Skipped: 0

|  | STRONGLY AGREE | AGREE | DISAGREE | STRONGLY DISAGREE | NO OPINION | TOTAL | WEIGHTED <br> AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The Park District supports my professional development through involvement in professional associations. | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.11 \% \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 36 | 3.22 |
| b. The Park District encourages me to pursue additional job training and/or continuing education opportunities to maintain/improve my skills, knowledge and competency specific to my job responsibilities. | $\begin{array}{r} 25.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.89 \% \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 36 | 3.28 |
| c. Management acts proactively, reactively when needed, and fairly to resolve employment issues. | $\begin{array}{r} 27.78 \% \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.56 \% \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.11 \% \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.33 |
| d. My co-workers show each other respect and are professional \& considerate. | $\begin{array}{r} 25.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.78 \% \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.50 |
| e. I believe my Supervisor/Department Head considers my feedback/ideas when formulating decisions. | $\begin{array}{r} 30.56 \% \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.11 \% \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.56 |
| f. If I have a work issue, I feel comfortable going to my direct supervisor to discuss it. | $\begin{array}{r} 47.22 \% \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.67 \% \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 36 | 1.94 |
| g. If I have an employment issue, I feel comfortable going to Human Resources to discuss it. | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 36 | 1.81 |
| h. I feel comfortable in communicating/talking with the Executive Director to provide feedback or discuss matters that concern me. | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.89 \% \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 36 | 3.08 |


| \# | COMMENT, CONSTRUCTIVE SUGGESTION OR IDEA (LIST LETTER OF ITEM COMMENTING ON) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | In my opinion, there are too many negative comments from fellow staff on other staff in different departments or within own department. I don't prefer to hear the drama. | 2/20/2019 5:55 PM |
| 2 | I feel comfortable approaching all members of the organization with any issues that come my way | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 3 | A. This is not applicable to me at this time, however, my supervisor has always made it clear that whatever professional development I am ever interested in can be discussed and possibly done. B. same as "A" above. C. No comment D. WPD really has great employees and for the most part, everyone is a team player and helps one another. E. Always will to hear my ideas. F. I feel comfortable, however, conversations do not always stay private with issues and it is a bad way to deal with workplace issues - which can possibly cause my answer to this question to change overtime. G. No comment H. I have never had to do so, so I am unsure. I think if I needed to, the Executive Director would be very helpful, fair, and caring. | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 4 | I feel extremely comfortable with all levels of management and HR. Mike, Don, Julie and Connie are all very approachable, responsive, caring, genuine, industry professionals. | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 5 | All departments are helpful in all aspects of the park district. | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |
| 6 | The opinions in this department are etched in stone and will not change we are in the 21st century with a lot of new technology and ideas. | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |
| 7 | There are some really great people that work for WPD but there are a few who make others feel unwelcome and who talk down to them as if they are superior. | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |


| 8 | e. My supervisor regularly asks for my opinion or ideas when formulating decisions. f. I have no concerns with discussing work issues with my supervisor. g. It is never easy to discuss employment issues, but I feel very comfortable addressing the issues with Human Resources. h. I have no concerns with discussing personal issues with my supervisor. | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | g. Connie's door is always open and is always willing to listen or give advice. | 2/12/2019 11:36 AM |
| 10 | a. This is always encouraged and communicated often. d. Joking is taken too far at times and is often at the expense of someone. e. Dept Head does not listen completely to ideas/feedback or is looking at personal phone instead of focusing on discussion. | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |
| 11 | a \& b - do not really apply to my position I feel both the Executive Director and HR Manager are always available and make it easy to discuss issues | 2/12/2019 9:37 AM |
| 12 | I among other coworkers know that bosses will turn heads away instead of dealing with difficult issues | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |

## Q8 STRESS, WORK PRESSURE \& BURNOUT:

Answered: 36 Skipped: 0

|  | STRONGLY AGREE | AGREE | DISAGREE | STRONGLY <br> DISAGREE | NO <br> OPINION | TOTAL | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. My workload is manageable and I have sufficient time to complete my responsibilities. | $\begin{array}{r} 19.44 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.56 \% \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.44 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.64 |
| b. Staffing levels are appropriate for my department based on expected goals/work load. | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.33 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.81 |
| c. I am allowed the flexibility to maintain a balance between work and my personal life. | $\begin{array}{r} 33.33 \% \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.89 \% \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.19 |
| d. Doing my job well gives me a sense of personal satisfaction and pride. | $\begin{array}{r} 66.67 \% \\ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.33 \% \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 36 | 1.33 |
| e. I enjoy coming to work each day. | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.78 \% \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.33 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.03 |
| f. Park District staff are held accountable for the quality of the work they produce. | $\begin{array}{r} 19.44 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.56 \% \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.78 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 36 | 2.67 |


| \# | COMMENT, CONSTRUCTIVE SUGGESTION OR IDEA (LIST LETTER OF ITEM COMMENTING ON) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | We are understaffed and job responsibilities are not equally distributed. | 2/19/2019 4:16 PM |
| 2 | a. There is a heavy workload and little time is given to complete assignments, basic maintenance of sites is a lower priority. b. We have very low staffing levels and are asked to take on large projects with little regard to basic maintenance of sites. | 2/19/2019 8:13 AM |
| 3 |  | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |
| 4 | Work here feels satisfying and not overwhelming | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 5 | A. My workload has went from on/off steady busy when I started to now always feeling the need to have to catch up with tasks. I would rather be constantly busy, but it is frustrating when the work loads of your department are not equal and other members of your department slack off because they have nothing to fill their time or pretend to fill their time. B. As of now, the staffing levels are appropriate, however, the feeling that promises are being made for others who think they are in charge is frustrating, because when asked we are told otherwise. C. My supervisor is very great in understanding the balance between work and my personal life. This is refreshing and ideal. It will be the \#1 thing that I would miss if I left WPD. D. $100 \%$ E. Most days - yes. Some days are different. That is everywhere though! $F$. I believe so for the most part. | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 6 | Work/personal life balance generally is respected and good however the trend seems to be more and more special events added to our plate/expectations to work more evenings/weekends. | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 7 | e: I really enjoy coming to work and catching up on things happening within the district from other staff members. | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |
| 8 | I really enjoy the position that I have at the Park District and knowing that I am doing my best for the programs. | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 9 | There is no accountability in our department. | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |
| 10 | c. Flexibility/family have always been a high priority with the Park District and a much appreciated benefit. d. Doing what is expected of me gives me a sense of personal satisfaction and pride | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |
| 11 | f. It seems like the golf course staff isn't part of the district and does whatever they want in all aspects - payroll, admin, marketing, programming - and it shows. | 2/12/2019 11:36 AM |
| 12 | a. Spring is a challenging time to keep everything done in a timely manner. f. Not all departments/employees are held accountable as much as others are, especially in areas related to IT and the Golf Course. | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |


| 13 | F - I feel like this varies by each department. Some departments have different standards as to <br> what they expect from their staff. Some department heads are less involved, less proactive and <br> less aware of what's happening with their staff than other department heads. | 2/12/2019 9:37 AM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | I am expected to work the whole summer with no days off. I work every day for three months, <br> including weekends. I have asked for weekends off, but I have n | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| 15 | At the moment, I feel my workload is mostly fair. I do think there needs to be more of an equal <br> distribution of job responsibilities among the full time staff. Some staff I feel have a lot more <br> responsibilities then other due working at the WPD. If I were to take on any more responsibilities it <br> would be a lot to handle for one person. However I truly do like working for the WPD, it would just <br> be more helpful if roles and responsibilities were mostly the same for everyone. | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |

# Q9 If the Park District offered a voluntary pre-tax payroll contribution "Flexible Spending Account" plan for medical expenses, would you consider enrolling? 

Answered: 35 Skipped: 1

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $45.71 \%$ |
| No | 16 |
| Not Sure | $17.14 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $37.14 \%$ |

## Q10 Please share 2 things that you are most satisfied with, value the most, or are most proud of working for the Park District:

Answered: 36 Skipped: 0



| 32 | I like serving the community | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | Proud of our District accomplishments | 2/12/2019 8:14 AM |
| 34 | Sense of community with coworkers and colleagues | 2/11/2019 6:01 PM |
| 35 | The work that I do and the programs that I supervise. | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |
| 36 | Value teamwork the most - departments being willing to help others and staff working across departments | 2/11/2019 3:50 PM |
| \# | 2. | DATE |
| 1 | People that I work with | 2/21/2019 11:36 PM |
| 2 | I enjoy working for Amelia Lozano. | 2/21/2019 6:20 PM |
| 3 | the ARC | 2/20/2019 5:55 PM |
| 4 | Fiscal integrity of District | 2/19/2019 4:16 PM |
| 5 | the opportunity to get involved in many different projects/volunteer events | 2/19/2019 8:17 AM |
| 6 | networking opportunities | 2/19/2019 8:13 AM |
| 7 | I enjoy doing my job | 2/15/2019 5:32 PM |
| 8 | the ability to work in a positive environment | 2/15/2019 2:25 PM |
| 9 | The teamwork and helpfulness of other staff. | 2/15/2019 1:49 PM |
| 10 | Proud of our facilities, parks and our programming. | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |
| 11 | The community is excellent and I rarely have issues dealing with any customers or patrons. | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 12 | Work/Personal life balance. | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 13 | Work Environment | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 14 | Engaging with the community | 2/14/2019 3:18 PM |
| 15 | quality of programs \& parks \& facilities | 2/14/2019 2:25 PM |
| 16 | The people of the Park District are very friendly and helpful when needed. | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |
| 17 | Doing a great job | 2/14/2019 12:30 PM |
| 18 | Capital Replacement Program | 2/14/2019 12:09 PM |
| 19 | Pride in quality of Parks, Facilities, and Programs | 2/14/2019 11:47 AM |
| 20 | Our patrons satisfaction with what we offer and provide | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 21 | The state of the facility | 2/13/2019 8:11 AM |
| 22 | The team I work directly with | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |
| 23 | The facilities and programs we offer the community | 2/12/2019 2:02 PM |
| 24 | Flexibility/Family | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |
| 25 | The District's accomplishments and awards | 2/12/2019 11:36 AM |
| 26 | Work Ethic from my employees | 2/12/2019 10:53 AM |
| 27 | Services that are often to our community | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |
| 28 | The diversity of programs, services and facilities we provide the community for people of all ages. | 2/12/2019 9:37 AM |
| 29 | My department has respect and appreciation for one another. Which allows each other to grow and learn and that ensures better quality of work. | 2/12/2019 9:23 AM |
| 30 | The importance placed on work/life balance | 2/12/2019 8:59 AM |
| 31 | i like to work outdoors | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| 32 | Team work | 2/12/2019 8:14 AM |
| 33 | Multiple opportunities for growth and improvement | 2/11/2019 6:01 PM |
| 34 | We offer such a wide variety of programs for all ages | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |
| 35 | Most proud of the awards the District and Staff receive - shows this to be a good place to work | 2/11/2019 3:50 PM |

## Q11 What do you feel is the Park District's biggest strength?
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| \# | RESPONSES | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Nice coworkers and good facilities | 2/21/2019 11:36 PM |
| 2 | The people who work there | 2/21/2019 6:20 PM |
| 3 | Community's positive opinion on the district and its facilities. | 2/20/2019 5:55 PM |
| 4 | The Board's and staff's dedication to the community of Woodridge. | 2/19/2019 4:16 PM |
| 5 | the work environment | 2/19/2019 8:17 AM |
| 6 | Our networking and participation in outside organizations such as IPRA, MIPE, etc. | 2/19/2019 8:13 AM |
| 7 | working for the community and the residents | 2/15/2019 5:32 PM |
| 8 | the biggest strength is our relationship with the public | 2/15/2019 2:25 PM |
| 9 | The ability of the different departments to work together. | 2/15/2019 1:49 PM |
| 10 | We provide state of the art facilities, beautiful parks and diverse programming. | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |
| 11 | A sense of community among workers and customers makes the experience enjoyable and stressfree. | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 12 | The positive image that the district gives to the community. | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 13 | Industry Professional Leadership | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 14 | The fact that anyone, regardless of membership or residential status, can come visit and use the facility. | 2/14/2019 3:18 PM |
| 15 | Dedication of the staff to do their job well and to making sure WPD parks \& facilities are the best they can be. | 2/14/2019 2:25 PM |
| 16 | Communication among staff. | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |
| 17 | programs offered | 2/14/2019 12:30 PM |
| 18 | Constant pursuit of quality park and improvements to existing parks and facilities. | 2/14/2019 12:09 PM |
| 19 | Longevity of high quality staff. | 2/14/2019 11:47 AM |
| 20 | Reaching out to the community, working closely with the village and school district to form a cohesive Community within our town. | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 21 | The ARC and Cypress Cove because the are revenue facilities. | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |
| 22 | The people | 2/13/2019 8:11 AM |
| 23 | Opportunity for growth | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |
| 24 | The staff | 2/12/2019 2:02 PM |
| 25 | The reputation and trust that has been built within the community. | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |
| 26 | Our reputation within the community and the industry. | 2/12/2019 11:36 AM |
| 27 | community participation | 2/12/2019 10:53 AM |
| 28 | The spirit of serve of many of our team. | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |
| 29 | Prioritizing the community's needs - continually looking for ways to improve and serve its residents and provide them with the best recreational opportunities. | 2/12/2019 9:37 AM |
| 30 | Working together to accomplish goals and exceeding expectations. The Park District does not settle for average, they try to always go above and beyond. | 2/12/2019 9:23 AM |
| 31 | Unwaivering support from upper management and solid direction from the board | 2/12/2019 8:59 AM |
| 32 | to have the resources to serve the community | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |


| 33 | Our Boards confidence in us | $2 / 12 / 20198: 14$ AM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34 | How the Park District treats their employees at all levels as valuable members of it's community. | $2 / 11 / 2019$ |
| 35 | Offering so many different events, programs and facilities for all ages. | $2 / 11 / 2019$ |
| 36 | The Park District allows employees to work in an environment that is not super stressful. The <br> Board is involved but fair and makes upper management decisions easier to present. Overall, the <br> feeling of family comes to mind. | $2 / 11 / 2019$ |
|  | $3: 50$ PM |  |

# Q12 What do you feel is one internal process that the Park District can improve on? 

Answered: 36 Skipped: 0

| \# | RESPONSES | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | None | 2/21/2019 11:36 PM |
| 2 | Better communication | 2/21/2019 6:20 PM |
| 3 | Not one process that stands out at the moment. | 2/20/2019 5:55 PM |
| 4 | We need to address our IT. I feel it is reactive not proactive. Upgrades are not applied in a timely manner and issues at our workstations are not addressed in a timely manner, if at all. | 2/19/2019 4:16 PM |
| 5 | improving leave benefits for those on FMLA as well as improving comp benefits when volunteering. | 2/19/2019 8:17 AM |
| 6 | Work orders and tasks - better software and tracking is needed | 2/19/2019 8:13 AM |
| 7 | Better planning with big decisions and equal pay. | 2/15/2019 5:32 PM |
| 8 | I have none | 2/15/2019 2:25 PM |
| 9 | Communication | 2/15/2019 1:49 PM |
| 10 | The park district is growing and with this in mind, we need to purposefully solidify our organizational culture, not fractionalize. We need to regain that feeing of work family. | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |
| 11 | More organized scheduling for events held within the ARC. (ie. small time gaps between events held within the same gym/turf) | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 12 | One internal process that WPD can improve on is the way that issues are communicated. The gossip around the office regarding issues that come up in the workplace and the reminder of etiquette emails are poor ways of dealing with the root of the particular problem. I believe that issues should be handled with the person/people that are causing the issue, instead of sending out a general notice (squash the bug by privately explaining the issue to the person). The general emails just cause everyone to wonder who caused the issue and creates more gossip among everyone, which is poor and not beneficial to the real solution. | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 13 | Better cooperation from the parks department, despite frequent communications with adequate notice they are often ill-prepared, forgetful and disorganized especially when it comes to special events. | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 14 | Training new staff - maybe there should be a binder/checklist to go over so that new hires are able to work through processes themselves, and aren't bombarded with so much information. | 2/14/2019 3:18 PM |
| 15 | Been told by several people that online registration could be more user friendly. | 2/14/2019 2:25 PM |
| 16 | In the orientation process for new hires - meeting with other department heads and hear about their job responsibilities. | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |
| 17 | Communication between departments | 2/14/2019 12:30 PM |
| 18 | IT | 2/14/2019 12:09 PM |
| 19 | Being more efficient and training staff to utilize software and other technologies that improve performance and efficiencies. | 2/14/2019 11:47 AM |
| 20 | clear cut benefit information for permanent part time employees. Example, If you work an event, how do you get compensated for it. | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 21 | Work orders and task need to be improved on. | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |
| 22 | Room for growth | 2/13/2019 8:11 AM |
| 23 | Accountability of staff | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |
| 24 | comp time | 2/12/2019 2:02 PM |


| 25 | Communication and Customer Service are areas that are always the most challenging and can always be improved, but I still feel overall, we do a pretty good job in both areas | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Time off for working at special events or on weekends/evenings that are above and beyond the typical work week. | 2/12/2019 11:36 AM |
| 27 | Move payroll info to Tuesday | 2/12/2019 10:53 AM |
| 28 | Application process | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |
| 29 | Accountability, oversite and overall performance of IT Department. When IT is "in" the office never quite sure where he is or what is being worked on - seems like he is working independently and does not have any direction or reporting accountability. Response times to work requests are very slow which is extremely frustrating when you have something that needs to be addressed. Also - I am not always comfortable with his knowledge base. There have been a couple circumstances where I have been told something cannot be done, but when you go to Sterling they give you a different answer and say that it can be done. Doesn't seem to have a true sense of urgency. Reactive, not proactive. | 2/12/2019 9:37 AM |
| 30 | I believe the Park District would benefit from having a full time IT person. | 2/12/2019 9:23 AM |
| 31 | Holding abusive customers accountable | 2/12/2019 8:59 AM |
| 32 | open communication between upper management and employees. upper management must listen employees concerns | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| 33 | Allow more time to achieve goals | 2/12/2019 8:14 AM |
| 34 | Not having as much separation between departments and especially between buildings. | 2/11/2019 6:01 PM |
| 35 | Distribution of a fair workload for all full time staff. | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |
| 36 | Vacation time. I feel the policy is outdated and in need of being reassessed. | 2/11/2019 3:50 PM |

## Q13 What are 3 words you would use to describe the Park District's work culture?
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| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | $100.00 \%$ | 36 |
| 2. | $100.00 \%$ | 36 |
| 3. | $94.44 \%$ | 34 |


| \# | 1. | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Friendly | 2/21/2019 11:36 PM |
| 2 | Hard working | 2/21/2019 6:20 PM |
| 3 | flexible/family oriented | 2/20/2019 5:55 PM |
| 4 | Professional | 2/19/2019 4:16 PM |
| 5 | Integrity | 2/19/2019 8:17 AM |
| 6 | ? | 2/19/2019 8:13 AM |
| 7 | Friendly | 2/15/2019 5:32 PM |
| 8 | positive | 2/15/2019 2:25 PM |
| 9 | Collaborative | 2/15/2019 1:49 PM |
| 10 | Professional | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |
| 11 | Fun | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 12 | collaborative | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 13 | Relaxed | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 14 | Friendly | 2/14/2019 3:18 PM |
| 15 | friendly | 2/14/2019 2:25 PM |
| 16 | Professional | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |
| 17 | Flexible | 2/14/2019 12:30 PM |
| 18 | Independent | 2/14/2019 12:09 PM |
| 19 | stubborn AKA oldschool | 2/14/2019 11:47 AM |
| 20 | Enjoyable | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 21 | N/A | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |
| 22 | comfortable | 2/13/2019 8:11 AM |
| 23 | Rollercoaster | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |
| 24 | Productive | 2/12/2019 2:02 PM |
| 25 | Rewarding | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |
| 26 | Friendly | 2/12/2019 11:36 AM |
| 27 | informative | 2/12/2019 10:53 AM |
| 28 | Service Orientated | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |
| 29 | Flexible | 2/12/2019 9:37 AM |
| 30 | Collaborative | 2/12/2019 9:23 AM |

2019 Employee Input \& Satisfaction Survey

| 31 | Fun | 2/12/2019 8:59 AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | independance | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| 33 | Committed | 2/12/2019 8:14 AM |
| 34 | Friendly | 2/11/2019 6:01 PM |
| 35 | hardworking | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |
| 36 | Flexible | 2/11/2019 3:50 PM |
| \# | 2. | DATE |
| 1 | Flexible | 2/21/2019 11:36 PM |
| 2 | Dedicated | 2/21/2019 6:20 PM |
| 3 | becoming more corporate | 2/20/2019 5:55 PM |
| 4 | Involved | 2/19/2019 4:16 PM |
| 5 | Results Orientation | 2/19/2019 8:17 AM |
| 6 | ? | 2/19/2019 8:13 AM |
| 7 | Community | 2/15/2019 5:32 PM |
| 8 | reliable | 2/15/2019 2:25 PM |
| 9 | Determined | 2/15/2019 1:49 PM |
| 10 | Integrity | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |
| 11 | Collaborative | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 12 | office cliques | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 13 | Fun | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 14 | Dedicated | 2/14/2019 3:18 PM |
| 15 | supportive | 2/14/2019 2:25 PM |
| 16 | Satisfaction | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |
| 17 | Relaxed and productive atmosphere | 2/14/2019 12:30 PM |
| 18 | Congenial | 2/14/2019 12:09 PM |
| 19 | gossipy | 2/14/2019 11:47 AM |
| 20 | Calm | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 21 | N/A | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |
| 22 | friendly | 2/13/2019 8:11 AM |
| 23 | Passionate | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |
| 24 | Flexible | 2/12/2019 2:02 PM |
| 25 | Busy | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |
| 26 | Supportive | 2/12/2019 11:36 AM |
| 27 | helpful | 2/12/2019 10:53 AM |
| 28 | Adaptable | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |
| 29 | Family | 2/12/2019 9:37 AM |
| 30 | Caring | 2/12/2019 9:23 AM |
| 31 | Rewarding | 2/12/2019 8:59 AM |
| 32 | unsupervision | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| 33 | Pressure | 2/12/2019 8:14 AM |
| 34 | Focused | 2/11/2019 6:01 PM |
| 35 | dedicated | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |

## 2019 Employee Input \& Satisfaction Survey

| 36 | Team | 2/11/2019 3:50 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | 3. | DATE |
| 1 | Helpful | 2/21/2019 11:36 PM |
| 2 | Friendly | 2/21/2019 6:20 PM |
| 3 | Changing | 2/19/2019 4:16 PM |
| 4 | teamwork | 2/19/2019 8:17 AM |
| 5 | ? | 2/19/2019 8:13 AM |
| 6 | Work ethic | 2/15/2019 5:32 PM |
| 7 | fun | 2/15/2019 2:25 PM |
| 8 | Positive | 2/15/2019 1:49 PM |
| 9 | Engaging | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |
| 10 | Efficient | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 11 | adaptability | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 12 | Efficient | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 13 | Collaborative | 2/14/2019 3:18 PM |
| 14 | dedicated | 2/14/2019 2:25 PM |
| 15 | Fun | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |
| 16 | Fun | 2/14/2019 12:30 PM |
| 17 | Interdiscaplinary | 2/14/2019 12:09 PM |
| 18 | segmented | 2/14/2019 11:47 AM |
| 19 | Professional | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 20 | N/A | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |
| 21 | Inconsistant | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |
| 22 | Divided by facility one works at | 2/12/2019 2:02 PM |
| 23 | Fun | 2/12/2019 12:18 PM |
| 24 | Fun-loving | 2/12/2019 11:36 AM |
| 25 | careful | 2/12/2019 10:53 AM |
| 26 | Separated | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |
| 27 | Supportive | 2/12/2019 9:37 AM |
| 28 | Driven | 2/12/2019 9:23 AM |
| 29 | Understanding | 2/12/2019 8:59 AM |
| 30 | nonaccountabilty for work bad done | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| 31 | Fun | 2/12/2019 8:14 AM |
| 32 | Driven | 2/11/2019 6:01 PM |
| 33 | Helpful | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |
| 34 | Intelligent | 2/11/2019 3:50 PM |

## Q14 Please share any suggestions that the Park District can do to reasonably improve overall job satisfaction (if any).
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## 2019 Employee Input \& Satisfaction Survey

| 31 | Hold abusive customers accountable | 2/12/2019 8:59 AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | equal employee treatment | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| 33 | Create defined "comp" time plan to avoid confusion | 2/12/2019 8:14 AM |
| 34 | Be more celebratory for all staff, such as holiday parties. | 2/11/2019 6:01 PM |
| 35 | Fair workloads for all staff | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |
| 36 | More team building - the annual staff meetings with a guest speaker are great but doing something semi-annually or quarterly would be highly valuable | 2/11/2019 3:50 PM |
| \# | 2. | DATE |
| 1 | Better communication | 2/21/2019 6:20 PM |
| 2 | n/a | 2/19/2019 8:17 AM |
| 3 | more paid time off such as sick days, personal, holidays | 2/19/2019 8:13 AM |
| 4 | Team building to promote better teamwork | 2/15/2019 5:32 PM |
| 5 | n/a | 2/15/2019 2:25 PM |
| 6 | Offer more full time positions. | 2/15/2019 1:49 PM |
| 7 | Provide more opportunities for team building. | 2/15/2019 10:12 AM |
| 8 | Find more ways to utilize part time staff in alternate roles | 2/14/2019 9:57 PM |
| 9 | survey regarding employee job duties - identify what the employee likes/dislikes. | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 10 | Replace/address the big bus safety situation | 2/14/2019 3:57 PM |
| 11 | none that can think of | 2/14/2019 2:25 PM |
| 12 | Everyone seems happy in their job and always look for ways to work together to make it better. | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |
| 13 | none | 2/14/2019 12:30 PM |
| 14 | Improve Staff Spaces, Lunch Room, etc. | 2/14/2019 12:09 PM |
| 15 | when staff perform at high levels, allow mechanism to incentivize high performance levels of staff to increase job satisfaction levels | 2/14/2019 11:47 AM |
| 16 | communicating important deadlines with permanent part time staff | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 17 | N/A | 2/13/2019 11:09 AM |
| 18 | better pay | 2/13/2019 8:11 AM |
| 19 | Accountability for all employess | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |
| 20 | NA | 2/12/2019 2:02 PM |
| 21 | Ability to earn more than a 3\% salary increase | 2/12/2019 11:36 AM |
| 22 | Improve teamwork culture | 2/12/2019 10:08 AM |
| 23 | N/A | 2/12/2019 9:37 AM |
| 24 | Hold abusive customers accountable | 2/12/2019 8:59 AM |
| 25 | communication | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| 26 | As we come up with all these gret ideas consider the labor involved at all staff levels to achieve | 2/12/2019 8:14 AM |
| 27 | hold everyone accountable for the same things | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |

# Q15 General comments you wish to share: 

Answered: 11 Skipped: 25

| \# | RESPONSES | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1. "Volunteering" for Events: A clear understanding of what is required for volunteering needs to be presented. One year I volunteered for most events and it was not recognized. The next year, I opted out of volunteering as much and was criticized for it. There needs to be a definite procedure to ensure everyone is on the same page. There should be more to reward/thank employees for taking time away from their regular work schedules and leave their families in order to help the district. 2. The cliques that everyone falls into makes it very unwelcoming at "special events" such as holiday parties. It feels uncomfortable and awkward to mingle with everyone when there are so many cliques. Forcing people to sit by certain people is not right, but I think a lot of people opt out to save themselves from dealing with the anxiety of feeling unwelcome. It is unfortunate, and I am not sure what can be done about it. | 2/14/2019 4:12 PM |
| 2 | Woodridge Park District if a great place to work with fun people. Everyone works as a team and supports other departments. | 2/14/2019 1:13 PM |
| 3 | I'm proud to work for WPD! Would like to see a more proactive approach to employee satisfaction verses "if they don't like it, they can leave". Our staff is both our greatest asset and greatest liability. It would be nice to see that acknowledged from the top. | 2/14/2019 11:47 AM |
| 4 | I feel blessed that I can come to a place everyday that I truly enjoy. | 2/13/2019 3:53 PM |
| 5 | The WPD has the potential to be a great place to work for just needs to acknowledge the issues that we are having. I think this survey is the first step in doing so. I feel bad answering some of the questions honestly but I thought if I was going to answer this I would be truthful. One of the things that drew me to the WPD was the opportunity to make a difference and to help launch us to the next level. There are times that ideas are glassed over or even made fun of. There are groups that make it known that people don't belong. Honestly I am hopeful for the opportunity for us to grow but I worry that we will hide behind the statement "This is how it has always been done". Ideas are shared but if you aren't part of the club they are dismissed or even someone will take credit for your achievements. Mike and Don and Julie do an incredible job letting us know we are doing great and we are appreciated but at the Supervisor level there needs to be more acceptance and support. | 2/12/2019 7:19 PM |
| 6 | When the ARC first opened, employees were told that we needed to workout at the ARC on our own time (before or after work or if on lunch break that we needed to keep it within the hour break although that was discouraged). However, there is someone who abuses this, spends A LOT of time working out at the ARC at all times of the day. This is not fair as many other employees would love to do the same but were told otherwise. | 2/12/2019 11:36 AM |
| 7 | I hope the Holiday Party was well received by the Park District Staff. | 2/12/2019 10:53 AM |
| 8 | leadership by fear and retaliation is a horrible way of management. Ignoring serious issues will bring serious problems to the Park Dist. | 2/12/2019 8:30 AM |
| 9 | Thank you | 2/12/2019 8:14 AM |
| 10 | I came back to the Park District after leaving due to class schedule conflicts and am so happy I did. I am so thankful to be working with a great group of individuals in my direct team as well as being a part of this great organization yet again. | 2/11/2019 6:01 PM |
| 11 | Overall, I really do enjoy working for the WPD. I enjoy working with my co workers and have learned a lot from them while working in the field and overall just learned a lot more about programming and customer service. | 2/11/2019 3:56 PM |

## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX B

(WOODRIDGE PD MASTER SWOT SUMMARY 021720)

# Exhibit 'B' <br> <br> Woodridge Park District Master SWOT Summary - 2/17/20 <br> <br> Woodridge Park District Master SWOT Summary - 2/17/20 <br> (reflects input from Board, Leadership Team, FT employees and Permanent PT employees) 

## Strengths

- Experienced, knowledgeable, hardworking staff
- Staff and board longevity
- Supportive and committed Board
- Good leadership
- Quality and well-maintained facilities and parks
- Variety of programs/events; free and/or affordable
- Goal Setting and Prioritization
- Fiscally responsible (sound budgeting, planning, fund balances, capital replacement program)
- Maximizes grant opportunities
- Strong IGAs with Village and School District
- Reputation within community and in recreation industry
- Customer Service and Responsiveness to concerns
- Community involvement and engagement/proactive communication with community
- High safety ratings at Cypress Cove
- Exceptional golf course value
- Support for educational opportunities for staff
- Relationships among staff/Teamwork/proemployee environment
- High quality marketing pieces
- Healthy work environment


## Weaknesses

- Need for a more balanced workload, appropriate staffing levels and restructuring some roles within organization
- Fear/reluctance to change; complacency in some areas
- Lack of career pathing/growth/promotions/mentoring for staff
- Keeping up with employment trends (flexibility, work from home recruiting trends)
- Opportunities to reward staff
- Pay rates \& employee benefits for both full and part time employees
- Staff "Pidgeon-holing", lack of cross training
- Succession planning for directors retiring or leaving within 3-5 years
- Shortage of seasonal staff and volunteers/Part time employee turnover
- Non- compliance with internal policies and procedures/Accountability
- Rules are limiting managers ability to manage their facilities
- Need for more automated processes
- Limited storage space; shared office spaces
- Inequity in mandatory volunteering requirements for special events
- Internal Communication
- Office politics/gossip
- Board continuity with recent changes
- New board members may need more development and training
- Not expanding free kids programs at Jubilee more than one day
- Not utilizing Chamber 630 Partnerships for Sponsoring events
- Not utilizing local restaurants at Jubilee
- Performance workshops for front line customer service workers
- Relationships, changes to Nicor and Com ED
- Too many Day Camps at Cypress Cove
- Cost of golf course operation vs revenue
- Drainage on some athletic fields
- Lack of participation from community going to Sipley, Goodrich, Edgewood and Murphy schools
- Maintenance on-call expectations
- Outdated registration policies (N/NR rates, proof of residency requirements, setting up accounts online)
- Over-reaction to a single instance of negative feedback
- Lack of diversity
- Lack of news coverage and awareness of District as a

|  | separate government entity and its facilities |
| :---: | :---: |
| Opportunities <br> - An establishment for food and libations for post activity team bonding <br> - Board and staff meeting with other taxing bodies <br> - Board - Regular board meetings, committee participation, Workshops <br> - Golf course viability helped out with dining facility expansion <br> - Grants--state and Federal <br> - Having Special Roll Back Price Events for Residents Only <br> - Involving Chamber 630 Businesses to Sponsor the ARC with Signage <br> - Involving local businesses to sponsor P.D. Events <br> - Use of technology to streamline processes and improve customer service (online registration; website; employee portal; tablets, AP processes, work order system increased use of shared drive etc.) <br> - Create an internal communication link with customer service to keep them informed of pertinent matters to help respond to customer inquiries <br> - Use of contracted vs in-house services (i.e. mowing) <br> - Making Octoberfest an Annual Event <br> - More notoriety for Woodridge with events similar to Mini-Tri <br> - Partnerships with neighboring Park Districts; public/private partnerships <br> - Public participation in planning for the future <br> - Sponsorship dollars to help offset capital costs <br> - Naming rights <br> - Town Center Development- Start <br> - Year- long programming at Cypress Cove with dome over lap pool <br> - LED lighting <br> - Better promotion of Park District Program Assistance program <br> - Increased staff training <br> - Change volunteer recognition to quarterly <br> - Development of non-programmed spaces for teen/active adult recreation, bike trails, BMX, outdoor fitness <br> - Create a playground installation team <br> - Green and healthy living movements <br> - Increase Parents night Out childcare opportunities <br> - Non-sports programming for teens and adults <br> - Cross country skiing at golf course | Threats <br> - Aging facilities <br> - Competition from other recreation providers <br> - Legis/ation: property tax freeze, minimum wage increases, recreational marijuana <br> - Anticipated retirements <br> - Competition for employees <br> - Increasing costs of staff salaries and benefits <br> - Increasing taxes for residents <br> - Increasing fees making programs unaffordable <br> - Future of the golf course/declining interest in golf <br> - Managing influx of golfers from golf membership program <br> - Cypress Cove financial stability <br> - Security concern at ARC (check in procedures) <br> - ARC growth of public use <br> - Lack of focus on middle age resident involvement <br> - Funding for development and maintenance of existing properties <br> - VOW relationship not as strong as it could be <br> - Social media/media scrutiny <br> - Overall communication (right message at right time) <br> - Public requests for pesticide fee/reduced use of pesticides/Communication expectations for pesticide applications <br> - Increased phishing attacks <br> - Park encroachment issues <br> - Competition for space at ARC (programs/rentals) <br> - Decreasing sports enrollment due to travel programs |

## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX C

(RESULTS OF DEPARTMENT FUTURE EXERCISE)

## Exhibit 'C'

2020 Strategic Plan<br>"Futures Exercise"

## Special Facilities

## Group A

- More storage with easy access (Village Greens and FHCC)
- Appropriate staffing levels
- Understand and flex to current employment trends
- Incentivize seasonal employees
- Varying ways to target specific department seasonal staff
- Flexibility with cloud-based technology
- Superintendent of Facilities

Group B

- Staffing-Assistant Managers or Empowerment Porters/Cleaning
- Flexibility in Administration of Department:
- Technology
- Pricing
- Programming
- Value in Employment:
- Monetary
- Incentives
- Respect


## Recreation

## Group A

- User friendly website
- Open to investing in Part time staff
- Work Place Flexibility (work from home; non-standard hours)
- Stay up to date with trends to keep people engaged
- Growth in teen and dance programs
- Increase staff morale


## Group B

- On-boarding Training with departments
- Better communication between Rec Facilities
- Consistent expectations of staff
- Balance of Special Events:
- Expectations of mandatory hours
- Supervisor provides clear expectations
- Role at events
- Clarity of flex time
- Better balancing of organizational chart


## Administration/Finance/HR/IT

## Group A

- Self-service portal for employees
- Improved hiring process
- Simplify/Automate AP process (budget sheets/petty cash)
- Offsite backups, no manual intervention
- Phishing awareness campaign
- Budget for employees/position recruiting, marketing and advertising

Group B

- Automated hiring/employee self- service portal
- All departments follow same procedures- compliance and follow thru if do not comply
- Re-assessing organizational structure w/future retirements
- Employees hit ceiling- no place to move up (promotions/continue learning)
- Eliminate complacency- individuals and Leadership
- District should be more proactive and not reactive
- Forming committees for better group decisions/input


## Maintenance/PDNRM

Group A

- Consolidate special events:
- Reduce weekends staff is required to work
- Contract ball field renovations
- Restructure Departments to improve management:
- Eliminate staff that cannot work with others
- Hire additional staff:
- Seasonals, more FT staff, GIS staff
- Cost of living increases along with merit increases
- More regulated hours/less on-call time or compensated time
- Empower staff to take action on their own:
- Management needs to support staff decisions
- New facility for Landscape and NR staff

Group B

- Hiring of additional FT/PPT skilled labor
- Cross training staff
- Contractual services
- Restructured shared drive
- Open minded for different ways of thinking
- Reorganization of maintenance building (i.e. equipment)


## Leadership Team

- Automation of technology to improve operational efficiencies / assess affordability
- Proactive plan to deal with State mandated minimum wages
- Additional aquatic feature for older teen group
- Additional synthetic soccer fields
- Online registration system accessibility / improve ease of use to maximize revenue
- Seek partnerships / sponsorships to increase alternate revenue
- Simplify systems for customer service coordinators and customers
- Purchase an electronic work order/task management system to improve District-wide and park maintenance operational efficiencies
- Proactively plan for staff transitions and succession due to imminent retirements in leadership positions
- Create hiring incentives to better attract quality seasonal hires
- Continue to make it a priority to invest in existing assets to ensure quality
- Deal with personnel changes to ensure a happy workplace


## Park Board

- Maintain momentum with continued growth of superior parks, facilities and events to create a "Woodridge" identity recognized throughout the region as a destination suburb.
- Continue efforts to provide affordable recreational programs and opportunities while justifying wise-use of tax dollars (ensure great value received)
- Continued investment in high quality skilled staff
- Sustain financial viability of revenue producing facilities such as Cypress Cove and Village Greens taking into costs related to aging facilities
- Focus programming and event opportunities for individuals of middle age
- Strategize opportunities to maintain high quality recreational and competitive youth sports to combat the trend of Woodridge youth gravitating to non-Woodridge private clubs
- Initiate Town Centre development
- Continue Oktoberfest and expand additional high quality event offerings


## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX D

(WPD OPERATIONALASSESSMENTREPORTFINAL)

Woodridge Park District
Organization Assessment

Prepared by Chuck Balling and<br>Barb Cremin

October 2020

## INTRODUCTION

The Woodridge Park District has retained the services of consultants Chuck Balling and Barb Cremin, to assist management with the assessment of its operations, organization structure, functions and efficiencies. The scope of the consulting assignment was to solicit feedback from groups of employees based on areas identified during the strategic planning process as well as identify any other opportunities to bring about organizational efficiencies and improved customer service.

The Executive Director and Deputy Director selected the employees to participate in each of the feedback sessions and provided specific topics to be addressed. Each of the participating employees completed a worksheet prior to the feedback session to provide an opportunity for individual input in a confidential setting in addition to participating in the group discussion.

The consultants were able to prepare this summary document of findings from the staff feedback sessions, distill and analyze the input, assist in interpreting the findings through the lens of experience and then make suggested recommendations. This will also allow the WPD to then move forward with updates to the organizational chart when needed and develop cost estimates, time-lines and communication plans for implementation. With this in mind, the recommendations should be used as a guide for the WPD Director and Leadership team going forward as they align employee responsibilities to best serve the community. Continued monitoring of program offerings and participation levels is necessary, particularly if the impact of Covid-19 on the recreation industry continues for any extended period of time. Planning should also continue for a new normal that could potentially require some operations to constrict. The key is to maintain a structure that is flexible to adapt to changing needs and an employee succession plan that focuses on identifying, developing and proactively mentoring future leaders to reach their fullest potential and be ready to take on new roles and additional responsibilities.

## CONSULTANT RECOMMENDATIONS

## General Comments

Staff demonstrated their professionalism, knowledge and regard for other employees in providing their insight into the structure and operation of the Woodridge Park District.

Organizational Structure

## Recreation

- Realign program areas by program function rather than by location.
- Have both Recreation Supervisors report to one person. This will allow more flexibility to shift program area responsibilities as changes occur in community needs and to keep work load balanced. (Potentially report to FCHCC Facility Manager)
- Have both Athletics Supervisors report to one person. (potentially report to ARC Facility Manager)
- Have all fitness classes managed by the fitness center.
- Reduce situations where full time employees report to multiple supervisors.
- Consider a Manager on Duty staffing model for evening and weekend coverage at facilities. (may be a staff rotation, use of flex hours)
- While some staff recommended elimination of the Assistant Superintendent of Recreation position, there was also concern about the ARC Facility Manager's scope of responsibility. Consider re-alignment of responsibilities rather than eliminating the position.
- Consider changing FHCC custodial position to part time.
- Have District-wide functions such as Safety, Marketing and Communications report through Administration rather than through Recreation.

Revisit the Superintendent of Recreation position. This position is critical to planning for the overall organizational structure. If this position will continue to be acting as Deputy Director, there will be a capacity issue that will limit the number of direct reports to this position.

## Maintenance

- Have both the Maintenance and PDNRM report to a single department head (eliminate a department head position) and create specialized teams to focus on specific areas.
- Add an Administrative Support person (full time or year-round part time) to provide support in the Maintenance Department.
- Consider using the Maintenance Department as an internal consultant to develop checklists and schedules for completing maintenance tasks at facilities. This can assist with knowledge transfer due to turnover or coverage for absences as well as to assure tasks are completed on a timely basis. Assign a gatekeeper to assure accountability and that tasks are being completed.
- Implement a district-wide work order management system.


## Golf

- Change VGGC to report to Superintendent of Recreation instead of Executive Director to provide more direct oversight and reduce "island" perception.
- Eliminate full time VGGC First Assistant Pro position and replace with a part time seasonal position for golf lessons and other golf related tasks, such as to assist with tournaments, leagues, etc.
- Eliminate the part time Financial Assistant position and replace with a VGGC Operations Supervisor to deal with day to day administration (full time or year-round part time).
- Conduct a thorough study-possibly by an outside consultant specializing in food service operations, including a cost /benefit analysis, of various options to provide food service at the golf course (inhouse, lease arrangement, $3^{\text {rd }}$ party management).


## Customer Service

- Research organizations with a centralized customer service structure to give staff a model on what it looks like and how it can potentially work. Part of staff reluctance to consider a centralized structure is that it was not easily visualized and there were concerns about the different needs at each facility and creating a more complex structure.
- If customer service is not centralized, consider centralized ongoing training possibly managed by the Finance Department on cash handling and financial transactions, system use and upgrades, communicating changes to financial procedures, etc. The Finance Department can be the gatekeeper for District-wide policies and procedures as well as conduct internal audits to assure compliance.
- Consider having the Customer Service Supervisor report to the Business or Recreation Department to eliminate the position reporting to two Recreation Facility Managers and take initial steps toward centralizing the customer service function.


## SUMMARY OF STAFF FEEDBACK SESSIONS:

## Maintenance Function

## (Includes Parks Operations Department, Facility Maintenance and Custodial Services and PDNRM Department)

## General Comments

The respondents generally felt that areas are adequately maintained and the staff members are well-skilled. It was suggested that some improvement can be made in the timeliness of responding to some maintenance requests, utilization of resources and backup support. The desire is to move from a more reactive to proactive approach to maintenance with a focus on preventative maintenance. There was also a desire to develop an organizational structure that moves toward capitalizing on skill sets rather than being jack-of-all-trades, particularly as it relates to administrative tasks in the Maintenance Department and facility maintenance/repairs managed by Recreation Facility Managers. There is some feeling that the overall maintenance function may need additional staff.

## Organizational Structure

It was generally felt that the separation of PDNRM and Park Operations Departments brought more priority to the care of the landscaped and natural areas and allowed for more projects to be completed. Several respondents, however, also expressed that the District would best be served in the long-term by re-combining the two departments under one department head and creating a four team structure to separate park maintenance, landscape and natural resources, building/facility, equipment maintenance and capital replacement and planning. It was felt combining under one department head would be better for communication, project prioritization, utilization of staff and equipment, and provide more consistency in setting staff expectations, accountability, training and culture. Creating the team structure would continue to build on the success seen when separating the PDNRM from the Parks Operations Department but as a team rather than a separate department. There was not strong objection voiced to re-combining the departments.

Facility managers felt it was important to maintain a custodian at each major facility to handle immediate requests for clean-up, minor maintenance and room setups that reported to the facility manager. There was not an overall desire to centralize the building custodians under the maintenance department. However, the Facility Managers felt that other facility repairs, capital replacement items and any preventative maintenance, custodial or maintenance service contracts should be managed by the Maintenance Departments.

Aquatic Maintenance had mixed comments on whether Aquatic Maintenance should be under the Maintenance Department. However it was felt that an immediate plan is needed to transfer knowledge of pool mechanicals and develop a backup person in this critical position.

## Other potential efficiencies:

- Centralize contracts for outsourced custodial services under the management of the Maintenance Department.
- Explore opportunities and evolve into using contract mowing in some areas to free up full time staff for general maintenance tasks and minimize need for hiring additional staff.
- Have department head physically located with the staff.
- Implementing a work order management system was strongly recommended by both the Facility Managers and the maintenance areas as an immediate need.
- Add an administrative support position to the Maintenance Department to help manage a work request system, provide resident notifications, maintain inventory records, maintain capital replacement lists, handle administrative tasks related to bid solicitations/RFPs, etc.
- Implement a better communication tool to communicate between field staff and office staff.
- Review utilization of facility maintenance staff to see if they can also help cover for absences, weekend responsibilities with overtime, if necessary.
- Increase preventative maintenance contracts (HVAC, plumbing, electrical, roofing) to include more scheduled visits and an analysis of remaining useful life and cost to assist with planning.
- Create training videos of a maintenance worker actually explaining the equipment or doing maintenance, especially at pools or ARC.
- Reduce need for custom setups for each meeting at FCHCC.
- Assign specific individuals to provide back up for coverage at facilities rather than rotating individuals so that backup staff is more knowledgeable about the facility.


## Village Greens Golf Course

## General Comments

It is generally felt that the management at VGCC is well-versed in golf-related responsibilities, has a strong customer focus and develops positive relationships with both customers and golf staff.

While VGCC management is satisfied with its overall operation, respondents from other departments identified a need for more delegation of responsibilities currently handled by the Facility Manager, cost reduction, and an increased focus on administrative functions and staff oversight, communication and training.

It was also generally felt that the food and beverage operation is limited due to the physical facility itself. While there are potential opportunities to offer some special events, such as wine tastings, team sports awards dinners, ticketed events or room rentals etc., it was recognized that these need to be profit generators.

## Organizational Structure

A number of respondents expressed the need for an Operations Manager to oversee administrative functions, staff communications, financial transactions and staff training. It was further suggested that the First Assistant Golf Pro position could be changed to a seasonal position and that the current Golf Financial Assistant position could potentially be eliminated and responsibilities handled by the newly created Operations Manager position.

## Other potential efficiencies:

- Uniforms, nametags, or other ways to identify staff
- Radios or other tools to better communicate between golf back office, beverage cart and food and beverage areas and eliminate need for person to leave desk to locate staff member.
- Develop policy and procedure training manuals.
- Institute a communication tool for daily communications with staff, especially part time staff, about manager on duty, events, issues of the day, etc. (i.e. possibly use internal only e mail, posting by time clock, etc.)
- Clear communication/tracking of deals/discounts given to customers.
- Consider outsourcing food and beverage.
- Consider making food and beverage area more of a sports bar to keep golfers at the course and attract other non-golf patrons.
- Minimize staffing levels in off-season.
- Conduct a pre-season group training for staff to refresh on policies, procedures and any changes.
- Increase training on the reservation and POS systems. Management staff should also be trained to fill in as necessary.
- Explore ways to maintain appropriate segregation of duties but not rely only on one person for refunds.
- Consider opportunities to better use Food and Beverage Manager in offseason or change to part time position.


## Recreation

## General Comments

It was generally felt that the recreation areas are well-run with quality, skilled staff. There is some concern about the balance of workload between current Facility Managers and Recreation Supervisors, under-utilization of some positions (i.e. Aquatics Manager in off season; Active Adults supervisor) and also evening coverage at the facilities with a person having the necessary knowledge and skills to handle issues. There was not a clear consensus on this.

## Organizational Structure

- Aquatic manager reports directly to Superintendent of Recreation (same as other facility managers).
- Revisit ARC Facility Manager and Assistant Superintendent of Recreation positions to balance workload.
- Create a full time Superintendent of Customer Service across all facilities.
- Add administrative support for Recreation.
- Eliminate Assistant Superintendent of Recreation position and divide up responsibilities.
- Change Marketing to report through Administration rather than Recreation.
- Consolidate the two Athletics Supervisor positions into one position.
- Better utilization of Aquatics Manager during off season
- Create a Special Event supervisor position.


## Other Potential Efficiencies

- Use of additional reputable outside contractors or co-op with other local park districts to offer variety of programs without adding staff.
- Give more responsibilities to front line customer service staff.
- Active Adult Supervisor - more programs or more responsibilities (overnight trips)
- Institute a staff committee to brainstorm new ideas for new special events /programs (i.e. outside COVID-friendly winter activities such as holiday light display, cross country skiing lessons, snowman building competition).
- Consider use of volunteers to help staff sporting events to minimize the need for hiring staff.
- Consider flex schedules for staff to cover facility in evening.


## Customer Service

## General Comments

There was a general reluctance from Facility Managers to consider changing the reporting relationship for District-wide customer service from the Facility Manager to the Business Department. It was felt that it would complicate the reporting relationship and not address the individual needs of each facility. On the other hand, the Business Department, Human Resources and Customer Service Supervisor strongly supported having a centralized structure. Specific

There was discussion as to whether the need for consistency and accountability was a structural issue vs. an operational issue.

## Other Potential Efficiencies

- Simplify residency registration, password recovery and waiver requirements, to create a more user-friendly process.
- Streamline pricing structures.
- Move toward a "one- stop shopping" culture to provide an easier experience for customers.

Woodridge Park District -Option 3 DRAFT
(Splits Supt of Rec position)


Woodridge Park District- Option 2 Draft (Realigns reporting for parks, golf, aquatics, athletics, option for CS)
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## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

January 5, 2017

Fred Hohnke, President
Jim Duffy, Vice-President
Jack Mahoney, Commissioner
Brian Coleman, Commissioner
Bill Cohen, Commissioner

Dear President Hohnke and Members of the Board of Park Commissioners:
I am pleased to present to you the results from the "Resident Survey" conducted by Ron Vine and Associates in partnership with the Woodridge Park District.

Woodridge Park District households enthusiastically participated in the "Resident Survey". The contract goal was to complete a minimum of 500 surveys. 683 surveys were actually completed resulting in a return rate of $12 \%$. 472 surveys were completed by mail and 211 by web. The margin of error for the 683 completed responses is approximately $+/-3.8$ percent at the $95 \%$ level of confidence.

The Board of Commissioners for the Woodridge Park District were very clear in their directives to Ron Vine and Associates to produce a survey questionnaire that asked questions of the highest priority to residents of the Park District, to conduct the highest quality and comprehensive analysis of survey responses, and to produce a "Resident Survey Report" with actionable findings for priority improvements to short and long-range Park District services for residents. The survey process and resulting findings has accomplished all of these directives.

While all survey findings will be of great assistance to you in strategic decision-making, I would like to particularly draw your attention to the following four (4) types of analysis and findings as you read this report:

1. $\mathbf{2 4}$ Key Findings for short term (next $\mathbf{5}$ years) and long term (1-10 year) actions. Section 3 contains a summary of 24 key findings impacting both short term and long-term actions. Since these findings represent parks, facilities and services that should be emphasized both over the next 5 years and over the next 1-10 years, the findings will serve as an excellent platform for strategic planning and decision-making.
2. Analysis by Households with Children and Households without Children. While all of the cross-tabular analysis is of great importance, my experience on parks and recreation surveys across the country and in Illinois, shows that on average, cross tabular analysis comparing responses from households with children and households without children are particularly enlightening. Section 4 contains a number of comparisons for key survey questions based on households with children 10 and under, households with children 11-19, households with adults 20-54 and no children and households with adults 55 and over and no children.

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

3. Comparisons of 2009 and 2017 Survey Results. Pages $52-55$ show comparisons of the 2009 survey which is a public document and the 2017 survey results for several key indicators, including percentage of households that participated in programs, overall satisfaction with value received from the Woodridge Park District and the types of program spaces households indicated they would use the most in a new indoor community center in the 2009 survey compared to the indoor program spaces most important to resident households in 2017.
4. Current Facilities that are Most Important to Emphasize over the Next 5 Years. (based on sum of the top 4 choices). This analysis is also shown on pages 56-59 and contains an analysis of priority facilities by:

* Geographic Location of Residence
* Households with and without Children.
* Number of Programs Household Participated in Over Past 12 Months

Volume 2 of the Resident Survey report contains cross-tabular analysis of key demographic groups and breakdowns of answers for questions of particularly high importance as well as the open-ended comments.

It has been my pleasure to work with each of you, residents of the Woodridge Park District, the staff of the Woodridge Park District, and your survey leadership team of Mike Adams, Executive Director and Don Ritter, Deputy Director/Supt. of Recreation.

Best regards


Ronald A. Vine, President
Ron Vine and Associates
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## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

## Methodology

Ron Vine and Associates worked with the Woodridge Park District on development of a statistically valid survey of residents to understand issues relating to:

1. Usage and satisfaction with current major facilities provided by the Woodridge Park District.
2. Priorities for improvements to major facilities to emphasize over the next 5 years.
3. Usage and satisfaction with neighborhood/school parks.
4. Needs, unmet needs and most important pathways, parks, playgrounds and picnic areas.
5. Needs, unmet needs and most important sports fields and courts.
6. Needs, unmet needs and most important indoor facility or program spaces.
7. Needs and most important special outdoor facilities.
8. Participation in programs, classes and/or activities provided by the Woodridge Park District.
9. Satisfaction with program, class and/or activity services and most important services.
10. Most important ways to keep your household informed about parks, paths, facilities and programs.
11. Needs, unmet needs and most important programs and/or activities for various ages of residents.
12. Satisfaction with overall value received from the Woodridge Park District.
13. Needs, unmet needs, and most important park
14. Marketing methods currently being used and preferred marketing methods to use in the future.
15. Needs, unmet needs and priorities for parks and recreation facilities.
16. The value of Woodridge Park District services based on property taxes currently being paid.

The survey instrument was designed by Ron Vine, President of Ron Vine and Associates, in partnership with the Woodridge Park District and based in large part from information learned from Focus Groups held with the Woodridge Park District Board and Executive Director, Woodridge Park District residents and Woodridge Park District staff. The administration of the survey was conducted by RRC Associates, of Boulder, Colorado, who conducted the printing, mailing, data entry, tabular data report and cross-tabular tables for the survey.

The survey was conducted using mail-back surveys with an additional option of an online, password-protected web survey if desired by the respondent. A randomized selection of 5,500 residents within the boundaries of the Woodridge Park District were mailed surveys, distributed proportionally based on 6 different geographic areas of the Park District. Respondents were sent a paper survey with a cover letter explaining the project. Included on each cover letter and survey was a unique 5-digit passcode in order to ensure only one response per household and to track by sub-geographic area.
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A total of 5,500 surveys were mailed to a random sampling of households on November 10, 2017, by first class mail, including a postage paid envelope to return the completed survey and an e-mail address to complete the survey over the web if that was preferred rather than a mailed survey. A postcard reminder to complete the survey was sent to all households receiving the survey on November 17, 2017. RRC did a further check of each completed survey to ensure no household completed more than one survey, either by mail or web.

The goal was to complete a minimum of 500 surveys. 683 surveys were actually completed resulting in a return rate of $12 \%$. 472 surveys were completed by mail and 211 by web. The margin of error for the 683 completed responses is approximately + /- 3.8 percent at the $95 \%$ level of confidence.

Responses were analyzed by overall results and cross-tabular analysis was conducted on a variety of factors including age of respondent, gender, households with and without children, geographic location, visited or did not visit a neighborhood/school park, race, ethnicity and participants/non-participants in programs, among others.

## Key Survey Findings

Finding \#1: From a listing of 11 major facilities operated by the Woodridge Park District, respondents were asked to indicate if they used the facility. At least $50 \%$ of households indicated they used the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center (54\%), Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton (53\%), and the Athletic Recreation Center (50\%).


Finding \#2: Over 70\% of households are Very satisfied or Satisfied 9 with the 11 major facilities. Importantly, Very Satisfied and Satisfied Ratings are Very High for Facilities that Are used by the Highest Percent of Households. The Athletic Recreation Center, Hopson Corner Park Splash Pad, Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center, and Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton are four of the five highest used Woodridge Park District facilities. All have very satisfied ratings of $40 \%$ or higher, which is very good. $55 \%$ of households that use the Athletic Recreation Center are very satisfied. The combined very satisfied and satisfied ratings for each of these four facilities is at least 82\%.


## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Finding \#3: Based on a sum of their top 4 choices, the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center, Athletic Recreation Center, the Community Center, and Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton are the facilities that Woodridge Park District household respondents feel should receive the most attention over the next five years.


Cross-tabular analysis by households with and without children. Attention over the next 5 years to the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park, Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad, and Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex were significantly higher by households with children than households that did not have children.


## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Finding \#4: 79\% of households have visited the neighborhood/school park nearest to their residence. 75\% of households are very satisfied (36\%) or satisfied (39\%) with their neighborhood/school park, with an additional 20\% being neutral and only $5 \%$ being very dissatisfied or dissatisfied.
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Finding \#5: Out of nine types of pathways, playgrounds and picnic areas, the facilities that most households had a need for were walking and biking pathways ( $88 \%$ ) and nature pathways. Out of the same types of facilities, usage over the past 12 months was $80 \%$ for pathways and $57 \%$ for playgrounds and picnic areas.

The need and usage over the past 12 months for all nine facilities is shown in the chart below. The usage of a few facilities over the past 12 months, is far below the need for that facility. Generally, these facilities were rated by respondents as lower in importance, i.e. permitted picnic areas ( $>200$ people) and outdoor fitness equipment stations.


Finding \#6: The Woodridge Park District is doing an excellent job in meeting the needs of respondent households for pathways, parks, playgrounds and picnic areas, particularly for those parks and facilities that are of the highest need. For example, $88 \%$ of respondents indicated a need for walking and biking pathways and $90 \%$ of those having a need indicated that their needs are fully met or mostly met. $63 \%$ of households had a need for neighborhood/school parks, and $92 \%$ of those households indicated their needs for fully met or mostly met.

At least $80 \%$ of needs for 8 out of the 9 pathways, parks, playgrounds and picnic areas are fully met/mostly met. The only exception is outdoor fitness equipment stations where $21 \%$ of respondents had a need and only $33 \%$ of these respondents indicated their needs were fully met/mostly met.

The need and need being fully met/most met for all nine facilities is shown in the chart below.


Finding \#7: Out of ten types of sports fields, the sports fields that the most households had a need for were outdoor baseball fields (21\%), outdoor lighted baseball fields (18\%), and outdoor natural turf soccer fields (17\%). Out of the same types of facilities, usage over the past 12 months was outdoor baseball fields (12\%), outdoor lighted baseball fields (8\%), and outdoor natural turf soccer fields (8\%).

The need and usage over the past 12 months for all nine facilities is shown in the chart below.


Finding \#8: The Woodridge Park District is doing a very good job in meeting the needs of respondent households for baseball fields, outdoor lighted baseball fields, outdoor lighted softball fields, and outdoor natural turf soccer fields. At least $76 \%$ of households having a need for these sports fields indicated that their needs were fully met or mostly met. Additionally, $64 \%$ of households indicating a need for outdoor lighted soccer fields indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met.
$11 \%$ of households indicated having a need for outdoor artificial turf athletic fields, with $48 \%$ of those households indicating their needs were fully met or mostly met

The need and need being fully met/most met for all ten sport field types is shown in the chart below.
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Finding \#9: Out of seven types of sports courts, aquatic facilities and ice-skating facilities, the facilities that the most households had a need for were outdoor aquatic parks (45\%), outdoor aquatic splash pads (33\%), and outdoor tennis courts (27\%). Out of the same types of facilities, usage over the past 12 months was outdoor aquatic parks (31\%), outdoor aquatic splash pads (22\%) and outdoor tennis courts (16\%).

The need and usage over the past 12 months for all seven facilities is shown in the chart below.


Finding \#10: The Woodridge Park District is doing a very good job in meeting the needs of respondent households for outdoor aquatic parks, outdoor aquatic splash pads and outdoor tennis courts. At least 84\% of households having a need for these outdoor facilities indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met. Additionally, 75\% of households indicating a need for outdoor lighted tennis courts indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met.
$11 \%$ of households indicated having a need for outdoor pickleball courts, with only $33 \%$ of those households indicating their needs were fully met or mostly met

The need and need being fully met/mostly met for all seven types of sports courts, aquatic facilities and ice-skating facilities is shown in the chart below.
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Finding \#11: Out of twenty-five different types of outdoor parks, paths, sports fields, aquatic facilities and iceskating facilities, walking and biking paths were by a very large margin the most important parks, paths, and facility (based on a sum of their top 4 choices). $51 \%$ of households selected walking and biking pathways as their \#1 most important outdoor facility, and $72 \%$ selected walking and biking pathways as one of their top 4 facilities. Nature pathways (47\%), neighborhood/school parks (41\%), outdoor aquatic parks (24\%) and playground equipment (24\%) were the next 4 most important outdoor facilities.

The chart below shows the 10 outdoor parks, paths, sports fields, aquatic facilities, and ice-skating facilities that received the highest percent of most important facilities (based on a sum of their top 4 choices). The following page shows the percentage of households who selected one of the remaining 15 facilities.
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Cross-tabular analysis by households with and without children. Sports fields were more important to households with children than households without children. The tabular data below shows the highest priority sports fields based on households with children

# Most Important Sports Fields for Households with Youth 10 \& under (Based on Sum of Top 4 Choices) 

$1^{\text {st }}$ Most Important Outdoor baseball fields
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Most Important Outdoor natural turf soccer fields
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Most Important Outdoor lighted soccer fields
$4^{\text {th }}$ Most Important Outdoor softball fields

Most Important Sports Fields for Households with Youth 11-19 (Based on Sum of Top 4 Choices)
$1^{\text {st }}$ Most Important Outdoor baseball fields
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Most Important Outdoor natural turf soccer fields
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Most Important Outdoor lighted baseball fields
$4^{\text {th }}$ Most Important Outdoor lighted soccer fields
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Finding \#12: Out of nine types of INDOOR Facilities or Program Spaces, the highest percentage of respondents have a need for indoor running and walking track (63\%), indoor fitness/cardio equipment (56\%), indoor free weights area (44\%), indoor group exercise (43\%) and gymnasiums (40\%). The percent of respondents that used these facilities and program spaces over the past 12 were indoor running and walking track (32\%), indoor fitness/cardio equipment (26\%), indoor free weights area (22\%), indoor group exercise (16\%) and gymnasiums (22\%).

The need and usage over the past 12 months for all nine facilities is shown in the chart below.


Finding \#13: The Woodridge Park District is doing an excellent job in meeting the needs of respondent households for indoor facilities and program spaces. At least $71 \%$ of households having a need for an indoor running and walking track, indoor fitness/cardio equipment, indoor free weights area, indoor group exercise area, and indoor gymnasium indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met. Indoor performing arts space (39\%) and cultural arts spaces (38\%) are the only two indoor facilities that less than $67 \%$ of households indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met.

The need and need being fully met/mostly met for all nine types of indoor facilities and program spaces are shown in the chart below.
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Finding \#14: Out of nine different INDOOR facilities and program spaces, the facilities and program spaces that are most important to households (based on a sum of their top 4 choices) were indoor running and walking track (57\%), indoor fitness/cardio equipment (46\%), and indoor gymnasiums (29\%). The chart below shows the importance of each of the 9 indoor facilities and program spaces (based on a sum of their top 4 choices).

## Most Important Types of Indoor Facilities and Program Spaces to Respondent Households (Based on Sum of Top 4 Choices)
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Finding \#15: Out of fourteen types of special outdoor facilities, the highest percentage of respondents have a need for Farmers Market (72\%), brush drop-off/mulch pick-up (51\%), sled hill (47\%) and outdoor amphitheater/concert stage (45\%). By a wide-margin, Farmers Market (40\%) was the outdoor special facility that was most important to households (based on sum of top 2 choices). Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up (26\%) and outdoor amphitheater/concert stage (18\%) were the next most important special outdoor facilities.

The need and importance for all fourteen special outdoor facilities is shown in the chart below.
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Finding \#16: 49\% of households participated in programs, classes, and/or activities provided by the Woodridge Park District over the past 12 months. This is a very high percentage. $78 \%$ of households with children 10 and under participated in programs, classes and or activities provided by the Woodridge Park District over the past 12 months. Most households who participated in programs and activities participated in 1-3 programs and activities during the past 12 months, providing opportunities for increasing numbers of programs households participate in.


Finding \#17: Overall satisfaction ratings for programs, classes and activity services is very high, particularly very satisfied ratings. Eight out of eleven class, program and activity services had higher than $40 \%$ "very satisfied ratings". Safety and security of the program ( $59 \%$ ), location of the program ( $58 \%$ ), quality of the facility where the program is offered ( $55 \%$ ), ease of in-person registration ( $55 \%$ ), timeliness of staff in responding to requests ( $55 \%$ ) and quality of instructors (51\%) all had "very satisfied" ratings of over 50\%.
$78 \%$ of household respondents rated the times programs are offered as "very satisfied" (36\%) or satisfied (42\%) and $83 \%$ of household respondents rated the days of the week program offered as "very satisfied" or satisfied (36\%). Generally, satisfaction with times programs are offered and days offered are lower for agencies that have high participation in programs, classes and activities.
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Finding \#18: Out of eleven program, class, and activities services, times programs are offered is the most important to households (based on a sum of their top 4 choices). $74 \%$ of household respondents indicated times programs are offered as one of their top 4 choices, with $39 \%$ of respondents indicating it was the most important service. Fees charged for value received (62\%), location of programs (48\%) and quality of instructors (47\%) were the next three most important services.

As indicated under Finding \#19, $78 \%$ of households are very satisfied or satisfied with "times programs are offered", $76 \%$ are very satisfied or satisfied with fees charged for value received, $88 \%$ are very satisfied or satisfied with location of programs, and $83 \%$ are very satisfied or satisfied with the quality of instructors.

The chart below shows the importance of each of the 11 program, class and activities services (based on a sum of their top 4 choices).
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Finding \#19: Overall satisfaction with program, class and activity services are very high, both for households with children and without children. $87 \%$ of households with children 10 and under are either very satisfied (43\%) or satisfied (44\%) with program, class, and activity services. $12 \%$ are neutral, and $1 \%$ are dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.
$88 \%$ of households with children 10 and under are either very satisfied (44\%) or satisfied (44\%) with program, class, and activity services. $8 \%$ are neutral, and $4 \%$ are dissatisfied or very dissatisfied. $90 \%$ of households without children and all adults 20-54 years of age are either very satisfied (62\%) or satisfied (29\%), with 10\% being neutral and $1 \%$ dissatisfied or very dissatisfied. $79 \%$ of households without children and adults 55 are either very satisfied (52\%) or satisfied (27\%) with $19 \%$ being neutral, and $2 \%$ dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.

Clearly satisfaction with overall program, class and activity services is very high.


Finding \#20: Out of nineteen types of programs or activities, the highest percentage of respondents have a need for special events (63\%), followed by fitness programs (49\%) and lifelong learning programs (44\%). The percent of respondents that used these programs or activities over the past 12 months were special events, i.e. jubilee (47\%), fitness programs (16\%), and lifelong learning classes (7\%).

The need and usage over the past 12 months for the 8 programs or activities that at least $25 \%$ of households have a need for are shown in the chart below. On the following page are the needs for programs and activities where less than $25 \%$ of households have a need.


Finding \#20: (Continued for Needs and Usage for Programs and Activities where less than 25\% of households have a need).


Finding \#21: The Woodridge Park District is doing an excellent job in meeting the needs of respondent households for programs and activities, with significant opportunities to increase household participation. Programs or activities where the highest percent of those having a need participated in the program or activity, are special events ( $85 \%$ of those households having a need participated in special events), fitness programs ( $73 \%$ of those having a need for fitness programs indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met), recreational team sports ( $76 \%$ of those having a need indicated their needs were fully met or mostly met) and youth learn to swim classes ( $68 \%$ of those having a need indicated their needs are fully met or mostly met).

The chart below shows the percent of needs being fully met or mostly met for those programs and activities where at least $25 \%$ of households indicated a need. The following page shows the percent of needs being fully met or mostly met for programs and activities where less than $25 \%$ of households having a need.


Finding \#21: (Continued for Needs being Fully Met or Mostly Met for Programs and Activities where less than 25\% of households have a need).
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Finding \#22: Respondents were asked to indicate the 2 types of programs or activities that were most important to members of their household of different ages. The chart below indicates the 2 most important programs or activities for members of household ages 0-11.


Finding \#22 (continued): Respondents were asked to indicate the 2 types of programs or activities that were most important to members of their household of different ages. The chart below indicates the 2 most important programs or activities for members of household ages 12-17


## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Finding \#22 (continued): Respondents were asked to indicate the 2 types of programs or activities that were most important to members of their household of different ages. The chart below indicates the 2 most important programs or activities for members of household ages 18-39


Finding \#22 (continued): Respondents were asked to indicate the 2 types of programs or activities that were most important to members of their household of different ages. The chart below indicates the 2 most important programs or activities for members of household ages 40-59.
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Finding \#23: 85\% of household respondents indicated the printed Activity Guide (delivered) and 75\% of respondents indicated the On-Line Activity Guide when asked to indicate the four ways they felt would be most important for the Woodridge Park District to focus on in the future in order to keep their household informed about parks, paths, facilities and programs.

The chart below indicates the percentage of household respondents who indicated each way to keep their household informed (based on the sum of their top 4 choices)
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Finding \#24: 75\% of household respondents indicated they were very satisfied (32\%) or satisfied with the overall value their household receives from the paths, parkways, sports, indoor and outdoor recreation facilities, classes and programs provided by the Woodridge Park District. 19\% are neutral and 6\% are either dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.

The chart below shows satisfaction levels based on households with children and households without children. On the following page is a chart showing the positive impact that participation in programs and activities has on satisfaction with the overall value received.


## Key Survey Findings by Households With and Without Children

Comparison \#1: Usage of the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park, Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad, and Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex were significantly higher by households with children than households that did not have children. (Chart Below)
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## Households With and Without Children

Comparison \#2: A significantly higher percentage of households with children 10 and under (71\%) and children 11-19 (62\%) indicated they felt that the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center should receive the most attention over the next five years (based on sum of top 4 choices) than households without children. Additionally, significantly more households with children 10 and under indicated the Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad should receive the most attention than other types of households, and significantly more households with children 1118 indicated that the Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex should receive the most attention than other types of households. (Chart Below). Note: The Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) is not shown on the chart below since a high percent of households with and without children indicated the ARC was of high importance to emphasize over the next 5 years.

Existing Facilities that Have Signficant Differences in Importance to Receive Attention Over Next Five Years Based on Households With and Without Children (Based on Sum of Top 4 Choices)
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#3: Neighborhood/school parks are among the highest used facilities in the Woodridge Park District. As the chart below shows, significantly higher percent of households with children have used neighborhood/school parks over the past 12 months than households without children. Satisfaction levels by visitors are very high for both households with and without children. (Chart Below)
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#4: A significantly higher percent of households with children indicated several facilities, including outdoor baseball fields, outdoor natural turf soccer fields, outdoor aquatic parks, outdoor aquatic splash pads and playground equipment as being more important (based on a sum of top 4 choices) to their households than for households without children. (Chart Below)

It is also important to note that even among households with children there are some significant differences in the importance of some facilities. For example, playground equipment and outdoor aquatic splash pads are more important to households with youth 10 and under than households without children

A Significantly Higher Percent of Households with Children Indicated Outdoor Baseball Fields, Out Aquatic Parks, Outdoor Aquatic Splash Pads, Playground Equipment and Outdoor Natural Turf Soccer Fields a More Important than Households Without Children


## Households With and Without Children

Comparison \#5: Out of 11 indoor facilities rated, some facilities were important to both households with and without children, while other facilities were only important to either households with children or households without children. For example, all four types of households (with children 10 and under, with children 11-19, with adults 20-54 and no children, and with adults 55 and over and no children) indicated that indoor running and walking track was the most important indoor facility to their household (based on a sum of their top 3 choices). Indoor fitness/cardio equipment were in the top 3 most important indoor facilities for all four types of households. At the same time, indoor gymnasiums and indoor artificial turf sports fields were significantly more important to households with children than without children.

Indoor Running and Walking Track and Indoor Fitness/Cardio Equipment Program Spaces are Important to Households With and Without Children while Indoor Gym and Indoor Artificial Turf Sports Fields are Significantly More Important to Households With Chidren
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#6: Out of 9 types of parks, paths and outdoor facilities, walking and biking trails were the most important facility for both households with and without children, based on a sum of respondents top 4 choices. Nature trails were significantly more important to households without children than households with children. Playgrounds were significantly more important in households with young children 10 and other than for other types of households.
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#7: Out of 14 types of special outdoor facilities, the Farmer's Market was one of the 2 most important facilities for all types of households with and without children (based on sum of top 2 choices). Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up was one of the 4 most important facilities for all types of households with and without children. An outdoor adventure area and a sled hill were significantly more important to households with children than without children. The chart below shows survey responses for the 7 special outdoor facilities most important to respondents.

Farmers Market is the 1st or 2nd Most Important Special Outdoor Facility for Households With Children and for Households Without Children. A Nature Playground is a Very Important Outdoor Special Facility for Households With Children 10 and Under
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## Households With and Without Children

Comparison \#8: Participation in programs, classes and/or activities is considerably higher by households with children than households without children. Satisfaction levels are very high for programs, classes and activities provided by the Woodridge Park District for both households with children and households without children. At least $43 \%$ of respondents in both households with and without children indicated they were very satisfied with programs, classes and activities and at least 79\% were very satisfied or satisfied. Only 2\% of household respondents were very dissatisfied or dissatisfied, with the remaining households being neutral.

The chart below shows the percentage of respondents in each type of household that participated in programs, classes and activities and their satisfaction levels.
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#9: Out of 10 program services, the times programs are offered and fees charged for value received were most important to respondents with and without children (Based on sum of Top 4 choices). Quality of instructors was in the Top 4 for households with and without children, while location of the program was in the Top 4 in all types of households, with the exception of households with youth 10 and under.
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#10: 75\% of household respondents indicated they were very satisfied (32\%) or satisfied with the overall value their household receives from the paths, parkways, sports, indoor and outdoor recreation facilities, classes and programs provided by the Woodridge Park District. 19\% are neutral and 6\% are either dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.

Satisfaction is slightly higher in households with children than households without children. $82 \%$ of households with children 10 and under are either very satisfied ( $27 \%$ ) or satisfied ( $55 \%$ ) with the overall value they receive. $80 \%$ of households with children 11-19 years of age are either very satisfied (35\%) or satisfied (45\%). $77 \%$ of households with adults 20-54 years of age and no children and $73 \%$ of households with adults 55 and over are either very satisfied or satisfied with the overall value they receive.

The chart below shows satisfaction levels based on households with children and households without children. On the following page is a chart showing the positive impact that participation in programs and activities has on satisfaction with the overall value received.


## Households With and Without Children

Comparison \#10: (continued. Below is a chart showing the positive impact that participation in programs and activities has on satisfaction with the overall value received.
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Households With and Without Children
Comparison \#11: The printed Activity Guide, on-line Activity Guide and e-mail blasts are the 3 ways that all types of households with and without children feel are the most important for the Woodridge Park District to focus on in order to keep their household informed about parks, paths, facilities and programs.

The Printed Activity Guide, the On-line Activity Guide and E-Mail Blasts are the Most Important Ways Respondents Feel the Woodridge Park District Should Focus on Keeping Households Informed About Parks, Paths, Facilities and Programs
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## Households With and Without Children

Comparison \#12: At least $70 \%$ of households with children and without children were very satisfied or satisfied with the overall value their household receives from the parks, pathways, sports, indoor and outdoor facilities, classes and programs provided by the Woodridge Park District. Only 6\% of households were dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with the remainder being neutral.

> Satisfaction with the Overall Value Your Household Receives from the Parks, Pathsways, Sports, Indoor and Outdoor Facilities, Classes and Programs Provided by the Woodridge Park District is High for Households With and Without Children
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## Trends Comparisons from 2009 Survey to 2017 Survey

In 2009 a parks and recreation citizen survey was conducted for the Woodridge Park District. The survey is a public document. Some questions were similar on the 2009 survey to the 2017 survey and other questions were dissimilar. Below and on the following pages are 4 key trends comparisons from the 2 surveys for similar questions.

Trend Comparison \#1: 8 out of the 10 most important outdoor parks paths and facility types were the same in both the 2009 and 2017 surveys (based on a sum of respondents top 4 choices). In some cases, the types of parks, paths or facilities were identified with a slightly different description. The 8 parks, paths and facilities that were in both surveys top 10 most important are starred. It should also be noted that four out of the five most important parks, paths and facilities, i.e. walking and biking pathways, nature pathways, neighborhood/school parks, and outdoor aquatic parks were in the top 5 most important in both 2009 and 2017.

## Most Important Outdoor Parks, Paths and Facilities (2017)

1. Walking and biking pathways*
2. Nature pathways*
3. Neighborhood/school parks*
4. Outdoor aquatic parks*
5. Playground equipment*
6. Large community parks*
7. Outdoor aquatic splash pads
8. Fishing/non-motorized fishing ponds*
9. Outdoor tennis courts*
10. Outdoor baseball fields

## Most Important Outdoor Parks, Paths and Facilities (2009)

1. Neighborhood parks*
2. Bicycle Pathway System*
3. Outdoor swimming pool/leisure pool*
4. Nature center with trails*
5. Large community parks*
6. Playground equipment*
7. Golf Course
8. Fishing piers*
9. Park shelters
10. Outdoor tennis courts*

Trend Comparison \#2: In the 2009 survey, 37\% of households have participated in recreation programs provided by the Woodridge Park District over the past 12 months. In the 2017 survey, a significantly higher 49\% of households had participated in programs, classes and activities.

## Household Participation in Programs, Classes, and Activities Over

 the Past 12 Months Has Increased Significantly Since 2009

Trend Comparison \#3 Participation in Programs, Classes and Activities is Significantly Higher in 2017 than in 2009 for Both Households with Children and without Children. Note: The 2009 question also included "utilized any recreation facility" so the increases are most likely even greater.


Trend Comparison \#4: The chart directly below shows the 5 "potential indoor programming spaces" respondent households indicated they would use the most often in the 2008 survey plus the indoor artificial turf sports. The bottom chart shows the 6 indoor facilities/program spaces that are most important to household respondents in the 2017 survey. Clearly, the ARC facility was designed to provide program features based on the Vision of Wooldridge Park District residents



## Existing Facilities to Emphasize Over the Next 5 Years

Respondent households were asked questions on the survey regarding usage, satisfaction and importance of 11 existing major facilities operated by the Woodridge Park District. The following pages show graphs illustrating the importance of these 11 facilities based on factors including:

1. Location of Respondent Household
2. Households with and without Children
3. Participation in Programs

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report
Q2: Which of the Following 11 Major Facilities Should Receive the Most Attention Over the Next 5 Years (Sum of Top 4 Choices) -By Neighborhood Area

Based on a sum of Top 4 choices, the ARC, Community Center and Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton were in the top 5 in all 6 neighborhood areas. the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center was in the top 5 choices in 5 of the 6 neighborhood areas

| Facility | Area 1 | Area 2 | Area 3 | Area 4 | Area 5 | Area 6 | Number of Neighborhood Areas in Top 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | 5 |
| Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 6 |
| Community Center | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 6 |
| Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 6 |
| Village Greens Golf Course | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 6th | 6th | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 3 |
| Hobson Corner Park Splash Pool | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 6th | 6th | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 4 |
| Forest Glen Park | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | 1 |
| Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Costaldo Park Disc Golf | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Janes Avenue Park Inline Hockey/Futsal Ct. | 11th | 11th | 11th | 11th | 11th | 11th | 0 |

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Q2: Which of the Following 11 Major Facilities Should Receive the Most Attention Over the Next 5 Years (Sum of Top 4 Choices) -By Households With and Without Children

Based on a sum of Top 4 choices the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center was the facility that received the highest percentage support as the existing facility that should receive the most attention over the next five years. At the same time, for all households and types of households that had children and did not have children, the Athletic Recreation Center was the \#1 choice. The Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center, ARC and Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton were in the top 5 choices for all types of households

| Facility | Most Important Rating (sum of top 4 choices) | Households with Youth 10 and under Rating | Households with Youth 11-19 | Households with no <br> Youth - ALL <br> Adults 20-54 | Households with no Youth <br> - ALL Adults 55 and Over | Number of Household Types in Top 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 5 |
| Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 5 |
| Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | 5 |
| Community Center | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 4 |
| Village Greens Golf Course | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 7th | 6th | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 3 |
| Hobson Corner Park Splash Pool | $6^{\text {th }}$ | 4th | 6th | 6th | 6th | 1 |
| Forest Glen Park | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 7th | 1 |
| Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | 1 |
| Costaldo Park Disc Golf | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 10th | 0 |
| Janes Avenue Park Inline Hockey/Futsal Ct. | 11th | $11^{\text {th }}$ | $11^{\text {th }}$ | $11^{\text {th }}$ | 11th | 0 |

Q2: Which of the Following 11 Major Facilities Should Receive the Most Attention Over the Next 5 Years (Sum of Top 4 Choices) -By Number of Programs Household Participated in Over Past 12 Months

Based on a sum of Top 4 choices the Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center was the facility that received the highest percentage support as the existing facility that should receive the most attention over the next five years. The Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Center, ARC, Community Center, and Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton where in the top 5 choices for all types of households

|  | Most <br> Important <br> Rating <br> (sum of <br> top 4 <br> choices) | 1 Program | 2-4 Programs | 5 Programs <br> or More | Number Program <br> Types in Top 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cypress Cove Family <br> Aquatic Center | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {td }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | 4 |
| Athletic Recreation <br> Center (ARC) | $2^{\text {td }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 4 |
| Community Center | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 4 |
| Lake Harriet/Lake <br> Carleton | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $4^{4^{\text {th }}}$ | 4 |
| Village Greens Golf <br> Course | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ | 2 |
| Hobson Corner Park <br> Splash Pool | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | 3 |
| Forest Glen Park | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Orchard Hill Park <br> Sportsfield Complex | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Costaldo Park Disc Golf | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
| Janes Avenue Park <br> Skateboard Facility | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 0 |
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|  |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | Percent use | 50\% |
|  | Don't use | 50\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 636 |
| Community Center (2600 Center Drive District) | Percent use | 49\% |
|  | Don't use | 51\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 635 |
| Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | Percent use | 54\% |
|  | Don't use | 46\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 635 |
| Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | Percent use | 33\% |
|  | Don't use | 67\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 636 |
| Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | Percent use | 19\% |
|  | Don't use | 81\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 629 |
| Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park | Percent use | 24\% |
|  | Don't use | 76\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 626 |
| Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | Percent use | 39\% |
|  | Don't use | 61\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 635 |
| Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | Percent use | 12\% |
|  | Don't use | 88\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 635 |
| Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | Percent use | 11\% |
|  | Don't use | 89\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 634 |
| Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | Percent use | 53\% |
|  | Don't use | 47\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 635 |
| Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | Percent use | 18\% |
|  | Don't use | 82\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 636 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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| Satisfaction with the following Woodridge Park District Facilities |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 5\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 8\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 29\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 55\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 315 |
| Community Center (2600 Center Drive District) | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 3\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 20\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 37\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 39\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 310 |
| Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 5\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 11\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 39\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 44\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 342 |
| Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 4\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 5\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 13\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 45\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | $33 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 211 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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| Satisfaction with the following Woodridge Park District Facilities |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 3\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 26\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 38\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 33\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 117 |
| Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 25\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 28\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 44\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 151 |
| Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 0\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 4\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 13\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | $34 \%$ |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 48\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 245 |
| Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 4\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 40\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | $32 \%$ |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 23\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.7 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 75 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| Satisfaction with the following Woodridge Park District Facilities |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 4\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 41\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 35\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 19\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 69 |
| Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 14\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 36\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 46\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 338 |
| Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Dissatisfied | 5\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 22\% |
|  | 4-Satisfied | 30\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 41\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 116 |

[^0]Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which of the four facilities should receive the most attention from Woodridge Park District over the next FIVE years |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 25\% |
|  | None | 19\% |
|  | Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 13\% |
|  | Community Center ( 2600 Center Drive) | 12\% |
|  | Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 12\% |
|  | Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 9\% |
|  | Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 4\% |
|  | Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 3\% |
|  | Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 2\% |
|  | Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 2\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 589 |
| Second Rank | No second choice | 28\% |
|  | Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 20\% |
|  | Community Center ( 2600 Center Drive) | 10\% |
|  | Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 9\% |
|  | Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 9\% |
|  | Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 8\% |
|  | Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 7\% |
|  | Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 3\% |
|  | Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 3\% |
|  | Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 2\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 1\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 589 |

## 29 Jan 18

Source: RRC Associates
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| Which of the four facilities should receive the most attention from Woodridge Park District over the next FIVE years |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Third Rank | No third choice | 37\% |
|  | Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 12\% |
|  | Community Center ( 2600 Center Drive) | 11\% |
|  | Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 9\% |
|  | Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 7\% |
|  | Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 7\% |
|  | Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 5\% |
|  | Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 4\% |
|  | Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 3\% |
|  | Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 3\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 2\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 589 |
| Fourth Rank | No fourth choice | 49\% |
|  | Community Center ( 2600 Center Drive) | 8\% |
|  | Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 7\% |
|  | Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 6\% |
|  | Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 5\% |
|  | Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 5\% |
|  | Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 5\% |
|  | Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 5\% |
|  | Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 4\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 2\% |
|  | Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 1\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 589 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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| Which of the four facilities should receive the most attention from Woodridge Park District over the next FIVE years |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 4 Combined | Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 51\% |
|  | Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 47\% |
|  | Community Center (2600 Center Drive) | 41\% |
|  | Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 36\% |
|  | Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 26\% |
|  | Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 25\% |
|  | None | 19\% |
|  | Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 14\% |
|  | Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 11\% |
|  | Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 10\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 5\% |
|  | Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 2\% |
| TOTAL |  | 286\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 589 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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|  |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Over the past 12 months, <br> have you or members of your <br> household visited your <br> neighborhood/school park <br> nearest to your residence? | Yes | No |
| TOTAL |  | $79 \%$ |
|  | n = | $21 \%$ |
| Overall how satisfied is your <br> household with your <br> neighborhood/school park? | Satisfied | $100 \%$ |
|  | Neutral | Dissatisfied |
|  | Very satisfied | $36 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $39 \%$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | $20 \%$ |
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| Percent indicating yes, has a need for.. |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking and biking pathways | Percent need | 88\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Nature pathways | Percent need | 71\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Neighborhood/school parks | Percent need | 63\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Large community parks | Percent need | 47\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Playground equipment | Percent need | 44\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | Percent need | 24\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Permitted picnic areas (>200 people) | Percent need | 12\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | Percent need | 25\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor fitness equipment stations | Percent need | 21\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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| Percent indicating yes, has a need for.. |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor baseball fields | Percent need | 21\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor softball fields | Percent need | 16\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted baseball fields | Percent need | 18\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted softball fields | Percent need | 14\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor natural turf soccer fields | Percent need | 17\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted soccer fields | Percent need | 16\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | Percent need | 11\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor football fields | Percent need | 9\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |

[^2]Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for.. |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | Percent need | 13\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Cricket fields | Percent need | 3\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor pickleball courts | Percent need | 7\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor basketball courts | Percent need | 21\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor tennis courts | Percent need | 27\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted tennis courts | Percent need | 25\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor aquatic parks | Percent need | 45\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor aquatic splash pads | Percent need | 33\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | Percent need | 25\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |

Source: RRC Associates
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| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking and biking pathways | 1-Not Met | 1\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 9\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 40\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 50\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 526 |
| Nature pathways | 1-Not Met | 5\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 15\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 45\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 422 |
| Neighborhood/school parks | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 7\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 42\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 49\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 376 |
| Large community parks | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 8\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 38\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 52\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 279 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Associates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
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| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Playground equipment | 1-Not Met | 1\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 10\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 43\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 46\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 270 |
| Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 1-Not Met | 6\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 13\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 46\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 138 |
| Permitted picnic areas (>200 people) | 1-Not Met | 7\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 6\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 37\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 50\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 68 |
| Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 1-Not Met | 12\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 21\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 31\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 138 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |
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| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor fitness equipment stations | 1-Not Met | 44\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 24\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 19\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 13\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 114 |
| Outdoor baseball fields | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 12\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 43\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 44\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 120 |
| Outdoor softball fields | 1-Not Met | 4\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 16\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 41\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 39\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 80 |
| Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 21\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 41\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 96 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Associates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
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| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor lighted softball fields | 1-Not Met | 9\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 16\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 37\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 37\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 67 |
| Outdoor natural turf soccer fields | 1-Not Met | 7\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 16\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 42\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 35\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 96 |
| Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 1-Not Met | 13\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 24\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 35\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 28\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 92 |
| Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | 1-Not Met | 33\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 21\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 23\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 23\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 61 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Associates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor football fields | 1-Not Met | 20\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 27\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 27\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 27\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 41 |
| Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 1-Not Met | 16\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 27\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 21\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 67 |
| Cricket fields | 1-Not Met | 20\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 20\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 40\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 20\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 10 |
| Outdoor pickleball courts | 1-Not Met | 52\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 19\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 23\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 6\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 1.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 31 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Associates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor basketball courts | 1-Not Met | 9\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 29\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 34\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 27\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 116 |
| Outdoor tennis courts | 1-Not Met | 4\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 9\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 35\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 52\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 146 |
| Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 1-Not Met | 9\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 17\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | $31 \%$ |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 44\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 127 |
| Outdoor aquatic parks | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 12\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 37\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 49\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 248 |
| $29 \text { Jan } 18$ <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor aquatic splash pads | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 14\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 37\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 48\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 183 |
| Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | 1-Not Met | 21\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 20\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 33\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 26\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 129 |
| $29 \text { Jan } 18$ <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) Percent who used this during past 12 months |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking and biking pathways | Percent use | 80\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Nature pathways | Percent use | 57\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Neighborhood/school parks | Percent use | 55\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Large community parks | Percent use | 38\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Playground equipment | Percent use | 37\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | Percent use | 11\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Permitted picnic areas (>200 people) | Percent use | 5\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | Percent use | 13\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor fitness equipment stations | Percent use | 5\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| (lf has need) Percent who used this during past 12 months |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor baseball fields | Percent use | 12\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor softball fields | Percent use | 5\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted baseball fields | Percent use | 8\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted softball fields | Percent use | 4\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor natural turf soccer fields | Percent use | 8\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted soccer fields | Percent use | 6\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | Percent use | 3\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor football fields | Percent use | 1\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| 29 Jan 18 Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) Percent who used this during past 12 months |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | Percent use | 4\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Cricket fields | Percent use | 0\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor pickleball courts | Percent use | 0\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor basketball courts | Percent use | 9\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor tennis courts | Percent use | 16\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor lighted tennis courts | Percent use | 11\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor aquatic parks | Percent use | 31\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Outdoor aquatic splash pads | Percent use | 22\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |
| Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | Percent use | 8\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 624 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Which four of the parks, paths, and facilites from above are most important to your household? |  | $\frac{\text { OVERALL }}{51 \%}$ |
| First Rank | Walking and biking pathways |  |
|  | None | 12\% |
|  | Neighborhood/school parks | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic parks | 6\% |
|  | Playground equipment | 5\% |
|  | Nature pathways | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor baseball fields | 3\% |
|  | Large community parks | 2\% |
|  | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor basketball courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor tennis courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor natural turf soccer fieldsis | 1\% |
|  | Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 1\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor softball fieds | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 0\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (200 people) | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor fitness equipment stations | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor pickleball courts | 0\% |
| total |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 580 |
| Second Rank | Nature pathways | 30\% |
|  | No second choice | 16\% |
|  | Neighborhood/school parks | 12\% |
|  | Walking and biking pathways | 9\% |
|  | Playground equipment | 6\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic parks | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | 3\% |
|  | Large community parks | 3\% |
|  | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor baseball fields | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor tennis courts | 2\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor fitess equipment stations | 1\% |
|  | Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor softball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor natural turf soccer fieldsis | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor football fieds | 0\% |
|  | Permitted picicic areas (200 people) | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 0\% |
|  | Cricket fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor basketball courts | 0\% |
| total |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 580 |
| 29 Jan 18 Source: RRC Ass |  |  |


| Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Which four of the parks, paths, and facilites from above are most important to your household? |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { OVERALL } \\ \hline 24 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Third Rank | No third choice |  |
|  | Neighborhood/school parks | 17\% |
|  | Walking and biking pathways | 7\% |
|  | Playground equipment | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic parks | 7\% |
|  | Large community parks | 6\% |
|  | Nature pathways | 6\% |
|  | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | $3 \%$ |
|  | Outdor fitness equipment stations | 3\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 2\% |
|  | Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor basketball courts | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor tennis courts | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor natural turf soccer fieldsis | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor baseball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor softball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 1\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (>200 people) | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor artificial turf athetic fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor pickleball courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted softball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor football fields | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 580 |
| Fourth Rank | No fourth choice | 34\% |
|  | Nature pathways | 7\% |
|  | Large community parks | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic parks | 6\% |
|  | Playground equipment | 6\% |
|  | Neighborhood/school parks | 5\% |
|  | Walking and biking pathways | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | 4\% |
|  | Temporary ice skat/hockey rinks | 3\% |
|  | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor tennis courts | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor fitess equipment stations | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor baseball fields | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 2\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor basketball courts | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor natural turf soccer fieldsis | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 1\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (2000 people) | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor pickleball courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor softball fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 0\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted softball fields | 0\% |
|  | Cricket fields | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 580 |
| 29 Jan 18 Source: RRC |  |  |
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Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which four of the parks, paths, and facilites from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 4 Combined | Walking and biking pathways | 72\% |
|  | Nature pathways | 47\% |
|  | Neighborhood/school parks | 41\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic parks | 24\% |
|  | Playground equipment | 23\% |
|  | Large community parks | 18\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | 12\% |
|  | None | 12\% |
|  | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | 11\% |
|  | Outdoor tennis courts | 8\% |
|  | Outdoor baseball fields | 8\% |
|  | Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | 7\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | 6\% |
|  | Outdoor fitness equipment stations | 6\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | 6\% |
|  | Outdoor basketball courts | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor natural turf soccer fieldsis | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor multi-use fields (lacrosse, rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor softball fields | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | 2\% |
|  | Permitted picnic areas (>200 people) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor pickleball courts | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor lighted softball fields | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor football fields | 1\% |
|  | Cricket fields | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 326\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 580 |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for the following Indoor Facilities or Program Spaces... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indoor gymnasiums | Percent need | 40\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor running and walking track | Percent need | 63\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor free weights area | Percent need | 44\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor fitness/cardio equip /group | Percent need | 56\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor group exercise | Percent need | 43\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor pickleball courts | Percent need | 8\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor artificial turf sports fields | Percent need | 19\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor cultural arts space | Percent need | 19\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor performing arts space | Percent need | 20\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indoor gymnasiums | 1-Not Met | 9\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 15\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 30\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 45\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 217 |
| Indoor running and walking track | 1-Not Met | 11\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 11\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 26\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | $52 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 330 |
| Indoor free weights area | 1-Not Met | 9\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 12\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 27\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 51\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 226 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indoor fitness/cardio equip /group | 1-Not Met | 8\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 12\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 30\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 50\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 282 |
| Indoor group exercise | 1-Not Met | 10\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 19\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 30\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 41\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 214 |
| Indoor pickleball courts | 1-Not Met | 8\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 26\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 26\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 41\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 39 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) How well are your needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indoor artificial turf sports fields | 1-Not Met | 2\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 7\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 32\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 60\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.5 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 104 |
| Indoor cultural arts space | 1-Not Met | 30\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 31\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 23\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 15\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 86 |
| Indoor performing arts space | 1-Not Met | 36\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 24\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 22\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 17\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 94 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| (If has need) Percent who used this during past <br> 12 months | OVERALL |  |
| Indoor gymnasiums | Percent use | $22 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
|  | Percent use | $32 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor free weights area | Percent use | $22 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
|  | Percent use | $26 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor group exercise | Percent use | $16 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor pickleball courts | Percent use | $2 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor artificial turf sports <br> fields | Percent use | $12 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor cultural arts space | Percent use | $4 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| Indoor performing arts space | Percent use | $4 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 612 |
| 29 Jan 18 |  |  |
| Source: RRC Associates |  | 4 |



Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which three of spaces from a household? | OR facilities/program most important to your | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 4 Combined | Indoor running and walking track | 57\% |
|  | Indoor fitness/cardio equip /group | 46\% |
|  | Indoor gymnasiums | 28\% |
|  | Indoor group exercise | 25\% |
|  | Indoor free weights area | 23\% |
|  | None | 21\% |
|  | Indoor artificial turf sports fields | 13\% |
|  | Indoor performing arts space | 10\% |
|  | Indoor cultural arts space | 8\% |
|  | Indoor pickleball courts | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 233\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 560 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for the following pecial Outdoor Facilities... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up area | Percent need | 51\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Off-road mountain/BMX bike trails | Percent need | 15\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Outdoor amphitheater/concert stage | Percent need | 45\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Farmers market | Percent need | 72\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Sled hill | Percent need | 47\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Nature playground | Percent need | 42\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Outdoor adventure area (ropes course, zip lines, climbing wall) | Percent need | 40\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for the following pecial Outdoor Facilities... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leash-free dog park | Percent need | 31\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Community garden plots | Percent need | 20\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Ornamental gazebo (for personal special events such as weddings, etc.) | Percent need | 15\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Outdoor running track | Percent need | 35\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Fishing piers | Percent need | 28\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Kayak/canoe launch ramp | Percent need | 28\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| Bocce ball / lawn bowling / horseshoe / shuffleboard courts | Percent need | 27\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 622 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

## Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which TWO of the Special Outdoor facilities from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Farmers market | 21\% |
|  | Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up area | 18\% |
|  | Outdoor amphitheater/concert stage | 11\% |
|  | None | 9\% |
|  | Leash-free dog park | 8\% |
|  | Sled hill | 7\% |
|  | Nature playground | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor adventure area (ropes course, zip lines, climbing wall) | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor running track | 4\% |
|  | Fishing piers | 4\% |
|  | Off-road mountain/BMX bike trails | 3\% |
|  | Kayak/canoe launch ramp | 2\% |
|  | Community garden plots | 2\% |
|  | Bocce ball / lawn bowling / horseshoe / shuffleboard courts | 1\% |
|  | Ornamental gazebo (for personal special events such as weddings, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 582 |
| Second Rank | Farmers market | 19\% |
|  | No second choice | 16\% |
|  | Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up area | 8\% |
|  | Nature playground | 8\% |
|  | Outdoor adventure area (ropes course, zip lines, climbing wall) | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor amphitheater/concert stage | 7\% |
|  | Sled hill | 7\% |
|  | Leash-free dog park | 6\% |
|  | Fishing piers | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor running track | 4\% |
|  | Kayak/canoe launch ramp | 4\% |
|  | Community garden plots | 3\% |
|  | Bocce ball / lawn bowling / horseshoe / shuffleboard courts | 3\% |
|  | Off-road mountain/BMX bike trails | 2\% |
|  | Ornamental gazebo (for personal special events such as weddings, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 583 |
| 29 Jan 18 Source: RRC A |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which TWO of the Special Outdoor facilities from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Farmers market | 40\% |
|  | Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up area | 26\% |
|  | Outdoor amphitheater/concert stage | 19\% |
|  | Leash-free dog park | 15\% |
|  | Sled hill | 14\% |
|  | Nature playground | 13\% |
|  | Outdoor adventure area (ropes course, zip lines, climbing wall) | 12\% |
|  | None | 9\% |
|  | Fishing piers | 8\% |
|  | Outdoor running track | 8\% |
|  | Kayak/canoe launch ramp | 5\% |
|  | Off-road mountain/BMX bike trails | 5\% |
|  | Community garden plots | 4\% |
|  | Bocce ball / lawn bowling / horseshoe / shuffleboard courts | 4\% |
|  | Ornamental gazebo (for personal special events such as weddings, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 184\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 583 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

|  |  | OVERALL |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Over the past 12 months, <br> approximately how many <br> programs, classes and/or <br> activities provided by the <br> Woodridge Park District have <br> you and members of your <br> household participated in? | 1 program | 2-4 programs |
|  | None | $12 \%$ |
|  |  | $27 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathrm{n}=$ | $11 \%$ |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Please rate your overall satisfaction with the following services provided by Woodridge Park District |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Times programs are offered | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 3\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 9\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 11\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 42\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 36\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 277 |
| Location of programs | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 0\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 10\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 30\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 58\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 283 |
| Quality of instructors | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 15\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 32\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 51\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 251 |
| Fees charged for value received | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 3\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 7\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 13\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 38\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 38\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 276 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Please rate your overall satisfaction with the following services provided by Woodridge Park District |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 12\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 29\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 55\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 230 |
| Ease of online registration process | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 3\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 5\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 14\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | $32 \%$ |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 45\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 244 |
| Ease of in-person registration | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 11\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 31\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 55\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 247 |
| Days of the week program offered | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 8\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 17\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 37\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 36\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 278 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| Please rate your overall satisfaction with the following services provided by Woodridge Park District |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quality of the facility where program is offered | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 11\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 32\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 55\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 278 |
| Quality of program information on the website | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 2\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 4\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 15\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 33\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 46\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 265 |
| Safety \& security of the program | 1-Very Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 2-Somewhat Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | 3-Neutral | 9\% |
|  | 4-Somewhat Satisfied | 29\% |
|  | 5-Very Satisfied | 59\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 4.5 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 274 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which FOUR of the services from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Times programs are offered | 39\% |
|  | Fees charged for value received | 17\% |
|  | Quality of instructors | 13\% |
|  | Location of programs | 11\% |
|  | None | 7\% |
|  | Safety \& security of the program | 5\% |
|  | Days of the week program offered | 3\% |
|  | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 2\% |
|  | Ease of in-person registration | 1\% |
|  | Ease of online registration process | 1\% |
|  | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 0\% |
|  | Quality of program information on the website | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 284 |
| Second Rank | Location of programs | 18\% |
|  | Times programs are offered | 15\% |
|  | Fees charged for value received | 13\% |
|  | Days of the week program offered | 13\% |
|  | Quality of instructors | 12\% |
|  | No second choice | 10\% |
|  | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 5\% |
|  | Safety \& security of the program | 5\% |
|  | Ease of online registration process | 4\% |
|  | Ease of in-person registration | 3\% |
|  | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 2\% |
|  | Quality of program information on the website | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 284 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which FOUR of the services from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Third Rank | Fees charged for value received | 22\% |
|  | No third choice | 14\% |
|  | Times programs are offered | 13\% |
|  | Quality of instructors | 12\% |
|  | Days of the week program offered | 11\% |
|  | Location of programs | 10\% |
|  | Ease of online registration process | 6\% |
|  | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 5\% |
|  | Ease of in-person registration | 4\% |
|  | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 1\% |
|  | Safety \& security of the program | 1\% |
|  | Quality of program information on the website | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 284 |
| Fourth Rank | No fourth choice | 22\% |
|  | Days of the week program offered | 11\% |
|  | Fees charged for value received | 10\% |
|  | Location of programs | 10\% |
|  | Quality of instructors | 10\% |
|  | Safety \& security of the program | 9\% |
|  | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 8\% |
|  | Times programs are offered | 7\% |
|  | Ease of online registration process | 6\% |
|  | Quality of program information on the website | 4\% |
|  | Ease of in-person registration | 2\% |
|  | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 284 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Which FOUR of the services from above are most important to your household? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 4 Combined | Times programs are offered | 74\% |
|  | Fees charged for value received | 62\% |
|  | Location of programs | 48\% |
|  | Quality of instructors | 47\% |
|  | Days of the week program offered | 38\% |
|  | Safety \& security of the program | 20\% |
|  | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 20\% |
|  | Ease of online registration process | 17\% |
|  | Ease of in-person registration | 11\% |
|  | Quality of program information on the website | 7\% |
|  | None | 7\% |
|  | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 5\% |
| TOTAL |  | 354\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 284 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

|  |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall how satisfied are you with the programs, classes and activities you and members of your household have participated in over the past 12 months? | Very satisfied | 45\% |
|  | Satisfied | 40\% |
|  | Neutral | 13\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 1\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 302 |
| Looking to the future, please check the FOUR ways you feel will be most important for the Woodridge Park District to focus on in order to keep your household informed about parks, paths, facilities and programs | Printed Activity Guide (delivered) | 85\% |
|  | On-line Activity Guide (website) | 75\% |
|  | E-mail blasts | 64\% |
|  | Flyers | 54\% |
|  | Facebook | 34\% |
|  | Newspaper | 19\% |
|  | Twitter | 5\% |
|  | Instagram | 3\% |
| TOTAL |  | 338\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 608 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for.. |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | Percent need | 63\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Athletic special events (races, etc.) | Percent need | 23\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Youth Learn to Swim programs | Percent need | 25\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | Percent need | 21\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Team sports (recreational) | Percent need | 30\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Team sports (competitive) | Percent need | 23\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | Percent need | 24\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Sports camps/clinics | Percent need | 19\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Summer day camps | Percent need | 15\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |

[^3]Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Percent indicating yes, has a need for.. |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fitness programs | Percent need | 49\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Before/after school programs | Percent need | 15\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Child watch programs/opportunities | Percent need | 15\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Outdoor education programs | Percent need | 21\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | Percent need | 26\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Cultural arts programs | Percent need | 28\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Performing arts programs | Percent need | 28\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Program for persons with disabilities | Percent need | 12\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Mixed martial arts classes | Percent need | 14\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | Percent need | 44\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (lf yes) How well are you needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 1-Not Met | 3\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 13\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 42\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 43\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 353 |
| Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 1-Not Met | 8\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 24\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 38\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 30\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 127 |
| Youth Learn to Swim programs | 1-Not Met | 14\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 18\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 39\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 29\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 132 |
| Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 1-Not Met | 40\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 20\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 28\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 12\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 97 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If yes) How well are you needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Team sports (recreational) | 1-Not Met | 8\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 16\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 41\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 35\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 158 |
| Team sports (competitive) | 1-Not Met | 5\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 14\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 43\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 38\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 120 |
| Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 1-Not Met | 13\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 17\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 40\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 30\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 127 |
| Sports camps/clinics | 1-Not Met | 5\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 17\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 48\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 30\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 94 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (lf yes) How well are you needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer day camps | 1-Not Met | 10\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 17\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 46\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 27\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 71 |
| Fitness programs | 1-Not Met | 11\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 26\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 38\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 26\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 239 |
| Before/after school programs | 1-Not Met | 11\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 22\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 44\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 23\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 73 |
| Child watch programs/opportunities | 1-Not Met | 20\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | $32 \%$ |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 28\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 20\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.5 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 69 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (lf yes) How well are you needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor education programs | 1-Not Met | 24\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 28\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 32\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 17\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 101 |
| Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 1-Not Met | 15\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 27\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 22\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 132 |
| Cultural arts programs | 1-Not Met | 24\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 34\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 29\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 13\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 136 |
| Performing arts programs | 1-Not Met | 25\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 37\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 25\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 12\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 134 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If yes) How well are you needs being met? |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program for persons with disabilities | 1-Not Met | 31\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 24\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 36\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 9\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 45 |
| Mixed martial arts classes | 1-Not Met | 24\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | $31 \%$ |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | 32\% |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 13\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 62 |
| Lifelong Learning classes, (photography, computers, etc.) | 1-Not Met | 18\% |
|  | 2-Partly Met | 34\% |
|  | 3-Mostly Met | $34 \%$ |
|  | 4-Fully Met | 14\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 2.4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 196 |
| $29 \text { Jan } 18$ <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) Percent who used this during past 12 months |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | Percent Use | 47\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Athletic special events (races, etc.) | Percent Use | 9\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Youth Learn to Swim programs | Percent Use | 7\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | Percent Use | 2\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Team sports (recreational) | Percent Use | 14\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Team sports (competitive) | Percent Use | 10\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | Percent Use | 9\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Sports camps/clinics | Percent Use | 7\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Summer day camps | Percent Use | 3\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| (If has need) Percent who used this during past 12 months |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fitness programs | Percent Use | 16\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Before/after school programs | Percent Use | 3\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Child watch programs/opportunities | Percent Use | 2\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Outdoor education programs | Percent Use | 2\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | Percent Use | 8\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Cultural arts programs | Percent Use | 6\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Performing arts programs | Percent Use | 6\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Program for persons with disabilities | Percent Use | 1\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Mixed martial arts classes | Percent Use | 2\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |
| Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | Percent Use | 7\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 614 |

[^4]Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 0-11 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 21\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 16\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 16\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 11\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 9\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 8\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 4\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 2\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 2\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 2\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 2\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 1\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 1\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 1\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 178 |
| Second Rank | Team sports (recreational) | 20\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 16\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 8\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 7\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 7\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 7\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 6\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 4\% |
|  | No second choice | 4\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 4\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 2\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 1\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 1\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 1\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 178 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC As } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 0-11 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 37\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 36\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 23\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 19\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 15\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 13\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 11\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 8\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 6\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 6\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 3\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 2\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 1\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 1\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 1\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 196\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 178 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 12-17 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 19\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 19\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 17\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 10\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 5\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 4\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 4\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 4\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 3\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 2\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 2\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 1\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 113 |
| Second Rank | Team sports (competitive) | 12\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 12\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 9\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 9\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 8\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 8\% |
|  | No second choice | 8\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 7\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 6\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 4\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 4\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 3\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 3\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 1\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 113 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC A |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 12-17 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Team sports (competitive) | 32\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 28\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 26\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 21\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 12\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 11\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 11\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 10\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 10\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 7\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 6\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 4\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 4\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 2\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 2\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 1\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 192\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 113 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Asso } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Importance to members of household ages 18-39 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 38\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 20\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 7\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 7\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 6\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 4\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 3\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 3\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 2\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 1\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 1\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 1\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 1\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 182 |
| Second Rank | No second choice | 18\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 15\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 12\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 10\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 8\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 8\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 4\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 4\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 4\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 3\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 3\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 2\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 1\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 182 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC A } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
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| Importance to members of household ages 18-39 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 53\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 31\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 15\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 14\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 13\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 10\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 8\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 5\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 5\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 5\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 2\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 2\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 2\% |
|  | Sports camps/clinics | 1\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 182\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 182 |
| $29 \text { Jan } 18$ <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 40-59 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 38\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 24\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 11\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 5\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 3\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 2\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 1\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 1\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 1\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 1\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 0\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 226 |
| Second Rank | Fitness programs | 19\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 18\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 15\% |
|  | No second choice | 14\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 6\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 4\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 3\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 3\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 2\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 1\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 1\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 226 |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Importance to members of household ages 40-59 |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 53\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 43\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 29\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 10\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 8\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 8\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 7\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 7\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 6\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 4\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 4\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 2\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 2\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 1\% |
|  | Child watch programs/opportunities | 1\% |
|  | Before/after school programs | 1\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 0\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 186\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 226 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Asso |  |  |
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| Importance to members of household ages 60 and older |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Rank | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 30\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 23\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 20\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 4\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 4\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 4\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 4\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 3\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 3\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 1\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 1\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 1\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 0\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 231 |
| Second Rank | No second choice | 24\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 20\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 15\% |
|  | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 13\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 8\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 4\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 4\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 2\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 2\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 2\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 1\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 231 |

[^5]
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| Importance to members of household ages 60 and older |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top 2 Combined | Special events (jubilee, concerts, etc.) | 43\% |
|  | Lifelong Learning classes (photography, computers, etc.) | 41\% |
|  | Fitness programs | 38\% |
|  | Cultural arts programs | 12\% |
|  | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | 9\% |
|  | Performing arts programs | 8\% |
|  | Program for persons with disabilities | 7\% |
|  | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | 5\% |
|  | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | 5\% |
|  | Outdoor education programs | 3\% |
|  | Athletic special events (races, etc.) | 3\% |
|  | Youth Learn to Swim programs | 1\% |
|  | Team sports (recreational) | 1\% |
|  | Mixed martial arts classes | 1\% |
|  | Team sports (competitive) | 0\% |
|  | Summer day camps | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 176\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 231 |

[^6]Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

|  |  | OVERALL |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| How satisfied are you with <br> the overall value your <br> household receives from the | Very satisfied | $32 \%$ |
|  | Satisfied | Dissatisfied |
|  | Very dissatisfied | $43 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  | $19 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{n}=$ | $4 \%$ |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

| Number of people in household... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 5 years old | 0 | 85\% |
|  | 1 | 9\% |
|  | 2 | 5\% |
|  | 3 | 1\% |
|  | 4 | 0\% |
|  | 5 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between 5-9 years old | 0 | 85\% |
|  | 1 | 12\% |
|  | 2 | 3\% |
|  | 3 | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between 10-14 years old | 0 | 85\% |
|  | 1 | 9\% |
|  | 2 | 5\% |
|  | 3 | 1\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| $29 \text { Jan } 18$ |  |  |


| Number of people in household... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| between 15-19 years old | 0 | 88\% |
|  | 1 | 9\% |
|  | 2 | 3\% |
|  | 3 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between 20-24 years old | 0 | 91\% |
|  | 1 | 6\% |
|  | 2 | 2\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 1 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between 25-34 years old | 0 | 81\% |
|  | 1 | 12\% |
|  | 2 | 7\% |
|  | 3 | 0\% |
|  | 4 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 3 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } 18 \\ & \text { Source: RRC Associates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Number of people in household... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| between 35-44 years old | 0 | 77\% |
|  | 1 | 9\% |
|  | 2 | 14\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between $45-54$ years old | 0 | 73\% |
|  | 1 | 14\% |
|  | 2 | 12\% |
|  | 3 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| between 55-64 years old | 0 | 69\% |
|  | 1 | 14\% |
|  | 2 | 17\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 5 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| 29 Jan 18Source: RRC Associates |  |  |

Woodridge Park District Citizen Survey

| Number of people in household... |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| between 65-74 years old | 0 | 76\% |
|  | 1 | 11\% |
|  | 2 | 13\% |
|  | 15 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 4 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| Over 75 years old | 0 | 90\% |
|  | 1 | 5\% |
|  | 2 | 5\% |
|  | 4 | 1\% |
|  | 6 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | . 2 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| Total number of people in household | 1 | 11\% |
|  | 2 | 41\% |
|  | 3 | 15\% |
|  | 4 | 20\% |
|  | 5 | 10\% |
|  | 6 | 2\% |
|  | 7 | 1\% |
|  | 8 | 1\% |
|  | 9 | 0\% |
|  | 10 | 0\% |
|  | 14 | 0\% |
|  | 15 | 0\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 587 |
| 29 Jan 18 <br> Source: RRC Associates |  |  |
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|  |  | OVERALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age of respondent | Under 18 | 0\% |
|  | 18-24 | 0\% |
|  | 25-34 | 9\% |
|  | 35-44 | 18\% |
|  | 45-54 | 19\% |
|  | 55-64 | 26\% |
|  | 65-74 | 20\% |
|  | 75 or older | 8\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
| Average |  | 54.7 |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 544 |
| Are you or any member of your household of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish ancestry? | Yes | 7\% |
|  | No | 93\% |
| TOTAL |  | 100\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 600 |
| What is your race? | White/Caucasian | 92\% |
|  | Asian | 7\% |
|  | Black/African American | 3\% |
|  | Other | 2\% |
| TOTAL |  | 103\% |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 596 |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates
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|  |  | What is your gender? |  | Male | OVERALL |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | $39 \%$ |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | $61 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{n}=$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 599 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $5 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | $16 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $25 \%$ |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | $24 \%$ |  |  |  |

29 Jan 18
Source: RRC Associates

## Cover Letter:



Woodridge PARK DISTRICT
November 2017

Name
Address
City, IL Zip
Dear Woodridge Park District Resident:
Your response to the enclosed survey is extremely important...
The Woodridge Park District is conducting a Community Survey to incorporate resident feedback in a new Strategic Master Plan to establish priorities for the future improvement of parks, recreation facilities, programs and services within the community. Your household was one of a limited number selected at random to receive this survey: therefore, it is very important that you participate.

We realize that this survey will take approximately 10-12 minutes to complete, but each question is important. The time you invest in completing this survey will aid the Woodridge Park District in taking a resident-driven approach to making decisions that will enrich the future of the Woodridge community and positively affect the lives of its residents.

Please return your questionnaire within 10 days of receipt in the enclosed selfaddressed, postage-paid envelope to ensure all responses are recorded. As an alternative method, you can complete the survey online using the website below and logging in with the password provided:

## www.woodridgesurvey.org and enter the password: $x x x x x$

We appreciate and thank you for your time. The planning of future parks and recreation opportunities relies on the feedback from our residents!

Sincerely,
We appreciate your
participation in our survey and
encourage you to enter a
drawing to win!
Three winners will be
randomly selected to each
receive their choice of.
3-Month Individual ARC
Fitness Membership
OR
2018 Cypress Cove Season
Individual
Season Pass
To enter the drawing, simply
follow the directions provided at
the bottom of the page. Or, if
you respond online, you will have
the opportunity to enter the
drawing after completing the
survey. Winners of the random
drawing will be contacted by
phone andfor email.

WOODRIDGE PARK DISTRICT
BOARD OF PARK COMMISSIONERS

Survey:

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey

The Woodridge Park District is conducting a resident survey to understand usage and satisfaction with current parks, paths, recreation facilities, and needs, unmet needs, and priorities for the future park system. This survey will take only 10-12 minutes to complete. The survey was sent to randomly selected Woodridge Park District residents. Thank you for your valuable input!

1. On a scale of 5 to 1 where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," please rate your household's overall satisfaction with the following major facilities provided by the Woodridge Park District. If you don't use, please select " 9 " for "Don't Use".

| How satisfied are you with the following Woodridge Park District facilties? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very } \\ & \text { Satisfied } \end{aligned}$ | Somewhat Satisfied | Neutral | Somewhat Dissatisfied | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vivery } \\ & \text { Disatisfied } \end{aligned}$ | Don'Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Athletic Recreation Center (ARC) (8201 Janes) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| B. Community Center (2600 Center Drive) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| C. Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| D. Village Greens of Woodridge Golf Course | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| E. Castaldo Park Disc Golf Course | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| F. Forest Glen Park (Universal Barrier Free Park) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| G. Hobson Corner Park Splash Pad | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| H. Janes Avenue Park Skateboard Facility | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| I. Janes Avenue Park In-line Hockey/Futsal Court | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| J. Lake Harriet/Lake Carleton | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| K. Orchard Hill Park Sportsfield Complex | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |

2. Which FOUR of the above facilities should receive the most attention from the Woodridge Park District over the next FIVE years for you and members of your household? [Using the letters in the left-hand column of Question \#1 above, please write in the letters below for your $1^{\text {tr }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {nd }}$, and $4^{\text {h }}$ choices, or circle "NONE"].
$1^{12}$ : $\qquad$
$\qquad$ $3^{\mathrm{nd}}$ : $\qquad$ $4^{\mathrm{t}}$ : $\qquad$ NONE
3. Over the past 12 months have you or members of your household visited your neighborhood/school park nearest to your residence?
___( ${ }^{1}$
1) Yes
$\qquad$ (2) No
4. Overall how satisfied is your household with your neighborhood/school park?(1) Very satisfied
(4) Dissatisfied (please answer Question 4a)
(2) Satisfied
(5) Very dissatisfied (please answer Question 4a)(3) Neutral

4a. Please write down the name of your neighborhood/school park and if dissatisfied, how it could be improved.
Name of your neighborhood/school park $\qquad$
How could it be improved? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report
5. Please indicate if YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD has a need for each type of OUTDOOR park, path or facility listed below by circling YES or NO to the right of each type of park or facility.
If YES, please answer the questions to the right of the OUTDOOR park, path or facility regarding "How Well Are Your Needs Being Met?" and "Did You Use This Type of Park, Path, or Facility during the Past 12 Months?" If NO, please go to the next type of park or facility.

| Type of Outdoor Park, Path, or Facility | Do You <br> Have a Need for this <br> Outdoor Park, <br> Path, or Facilty? <br> Facility? | If Yes, How Well are Your Needs Being Met? (Circle ONE Response) |  |  |  | If Yes, Did You Use This Type of Park, Path or Facility During the Past 12 Months? (Circle ONE Response) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fully Met | Mostly Met | Partly Met | Not Met | Yes | No |

Pathways, Parks, Playgrounds and Picnic Areas

| A. | Walking and biking pathways | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B. | Nature pathways | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| C. | Neighborhood/school parks | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| D. | Large community parks | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| E. | Playground equipment | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| F. | Permitted picnic areas (<200 people) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| G. | Permitted picnic areas ( $>200$ people) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| H. | Fishing/non-motorized boating ponds | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| I. | Outdoor fitness equipment stations | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |

Sports Fields, Courts and Golf Courses

| J. | Outdoor baseball fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K. | Outdoor softball fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| L. | Outdoor lighted baseball fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| M. | Outdoor lighted softball fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| N. | Outdoor natural turf soccer fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| O. | Outdoor lighted soccer fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| P. | Outdoor artificial turf athletic fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| Q. | Outdoor football fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| R. | Outdoor multi-use fields (e.g., lacrosse, <br> rugby, Ultimate Frisbee, etc.) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| S. | Cricket fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| T. | Outdoor pickleball courts | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| U. | Outdoor basketball courts | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| V. | Outdoor tennis courts | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| W. | Outdoor lighted tennis courts | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| X. | Outdoor aquatic parks | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| Y. | Outdoor aquatic splash pads | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| Z. | Temporary ice skate/hockey rinks | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |

6. Which FOUR of the parks, paths, and facilities from the list in Question \#5 are most important to your household? [Using the letters in the left-hand column of Question \#5 above, please write in the letters below for your $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$, and $4^{\text {h }}$ choices, or circle "NONE"].
$1^{\text {st }}$ $\qquad$
$2^{\text {nd }}:$ $\qquad$ $3^{\text {rd }}$ $\qquad$ $4^{\text {th }}$ $\qquad$ NONE
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7. Please indicate if YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD has a need for each type of INDOOR facility or program space listed below by circling YES or NO to the right of each type of INDOOR facility or program space.
If YES, please answer the questions to the right of the INDOOR facility or program space regarding "How Well Are Your Needs Being Met?" and "Did You Use This Type of Facility or Program Space during the Past 12 Months?" If NO, please go to the next type of INDOOR facility or program space.

| Type of Indoor Facility or Program Space |  | Do You Have a Need for this Indoor Facility or Program Space? |  | If Yes, How Well are Your Needs Being Met? (Circle ONE Response) |  |  |  | If Yes, Did You Use This Type of Indoor Facility or Program Space During the Past 12 Months? (Circle ONE Response) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fully Met | Mostly Met | Partly Met | Not <br> Met | Yes | No |
| A. | Indoor gymnasiums |  |  | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| B. | Indoor running and walking track | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| C. | Indoor free weights area | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| D. | Indoor fitness/cardio equip./group | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| E. | Indoor group exercise | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| F. | Indoor pickleball courts | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| G. | Indoor artificial turf sports fields | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| H. | Indoor cultural arts space | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| 1. | Indoor performing arts space | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |

8. Which THREE of the INDOOR facilities/program spaces from the list in Question \#7 are most important to your household? [Using the letters in the left-hand column of Question \#7 above, please write in the letters below for your 1 :t, $2^{\text {nd }}$, and $3^{\text {rd }}$ choices, or circle "NONE".]

$$
1^{\text {st }}
$$

9. Please indicate if YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD has a need for each type of SPECIAL OUTDOOR facility listed below by circling YES or NO to the right of each type of SPECIAL OUTDOOR facility.

| Type of SPECIAL OUIDOOR Facility |  | Do You Have a Need for This Outdoor Facility? |  | Type of SPECIAL OUTDOOR Facility |  | Do You Have a Need for This Outdoor Facility? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Outdoor Facilities |  |  |  | Special Outdoor Facilities |  |  |  |
| A. | Brush drop-off/mulch pick-up area | Yes | No | H. | Leash-free dog park | Yes | No |
| B. | Off-road mountain/BMX bike trails | Yes | No | 1. | Community garden plots | Yes | No |
| C. | Outdoor amphitheater/concert stage | Yes | No | J. | Ornamental gazebo (for personal special events such as weddings, etc.) | Yes | No |
| D. | Farmers market | Yes | No | K. | Outdoor running track | Yes | No |
| E. | Sled hill | Yes | No | L. | Fishing piers | Yes | No |
| F. | Nature playground | Yes | No | M. | Kayak/canoe launch ramp | Yes | No |
| G. | Outdoor adventure area (ropes course, zip lines, climbing wall) | Yes | No | N. | Bocce ball / lawn bowling / horseshoe / shuffleboard courts | Yes | No |

10. Which TWO of the Special Outdoor facilities from the list in Question \#9 are most important to your household? [Using the letters in the left-hand column of Question \#9 above, please write in the letters below for your $1 \%$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ choices, or circle "NONE".]
$\qquad$

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

11. Over the past 12 months, approximately how many programs, classes and/or activities provided by the Woodridge Park District have you and members of your household participated in?
$\qquad$ (1) 1 program
(3) 5 programs or more
_ (2) 2-4 programs
___ (4) None [please skip to Question \#15]
12. On a scale of 5 to 1 where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied," please rate your overall satisfaction with the following services provided by the Woodridge Park District. If you don't use or have no opinion, please select " 9 " for "Don't Know."

|  | How satisfied are you with the following Woodridge Park District services? | $\begin{gathered} \text { Very } \\ \text { Satisfied } \end{gathered}$ | Somewhat Satisfied | Neutral | Somewhat Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | Don't Know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | Times programs are offered | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| B. | Location of programs | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| C. | Quality of instructors | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| D. | Fees charged for value received | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| E. | Timeliness of staff in responding to requests | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| F. | Ease of online registration process | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| G. | Ease of in-person registration | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| H. | Days of the week program offered | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| 1. | Quality of the facility where program is offered | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| J. | Quality of program information on the website | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| K. | Safety \& security of the program | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |

13. Which FOUR of the services from the list in Question \#12 are most important to your household? [Using the letters in the lefthand column of Question \#12 above, please write in the letters below for your $1^{\text {th }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {nd }}$, and $4^{\text {th }}$ choices, or circle "NONE'].
1st. $\qquad$
$2^{\text {nd }}$ :
$\qquad$ 3 d: $\qquad$ $4^{\mathrm{th}}$ : $\qquad$ NONE
14. Overall how satisfied are you with the programs, classes and activities you and members of your household have participated in over the past 12 months?
$\qquad$ (1) Very satisfied
(2) Satisfied
(3) Neutral
(4) Dissatisfied
(5) Very dissatisfied
15. Looking to the future, please check the FOUR ways you feel will be most important for the Woodridge Park District to focus on in order to keep your household informed about parks, paths, facilities and programs.
$\qquad$ (1) Printed Activity Guide (delivered)
(2) On-line Activity Guide (website)
(3) Flyers
(4) E-mail blasts
(5) Newspaper
(6) Facebook
(7) Twitter
(8) Instagram

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

16. Please indicate if YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD has a need for each type of program or activity listed below by circling YES or NO to the right of each type of program or activity.
If YES, please answer the questions to the right of the program or activity regarding "How Well are Your Needs Being Met?" and "Did You Participate in this Program or Activity During the Past 12 Months?" If NO, please go to the next type of program.

| Type of Program or Activity |  | Do You <br> Have a <br> Need for This <br> Program or <br> Activity? |  | If Yes, How Well Are Your Needs Being Met? <br> (Circle ONE Response) |  |  |  | If Yes, Did You Participate in This Program or Activity During the Past 12 Months? (Circle ONE Response) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fully Met | Mostly Met | Partly Met | Not Met | Yes | No |
| A. | Special events, e.g., jubilee, concerts |  |  | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| B. | Athletic special events, e.g., races | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| C. | Youth Learn to Swim programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| D. | Outdoor aquatics fitness classes | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| E. | Team sports (recreational) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| F. | Team sports (competitive) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| G. | Individual sports (tennis, etc.) | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| H. | Sports camps/clinics | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| 1. | Summer day camps | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| J. | Fitness programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| K. | Before/after school programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| L. | Child watch programs/opportunities | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| M. | Outdoor education programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| N. | Drop-in indoor open gym/turf time | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| 0. | Cultural arts programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| P. | Performing arts programs | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| Q. | Program for persons with disabilities | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| R. | Mixed martial arts classes | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |
| S. | Lifelong Learning classes, e.g., photography, computers, etc. | Yes | No | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes | No |

7. For each of the age groups shown below, please indicate which TWO programs or activities listed in Question \#16 are most important for the Woodridge Park District to offer. Using the letters in the left-hand column of Question \#16 above, please write in the letters below for your $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ choices for members of your household. [NOTE: If you do not have anyone in the age group, please skip that age group].

$$
\text { 1st Most Important } \quad \underline{2}^{\text {nd }} \text { Most Important }
$$

Ages 0 to 11 years old
Ages 12 to 17 years old
Ages 18 to 39 years old
Ages 40 to 59 years old
Ages 60 and older
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

18. How satisfied are you with the overall value your household receives from the parks, pathways, sports, indoor and outdoor recreation facilities, classes, and programs provided by the Woodridge Park District?
_- (1) Very satisfied
(3) Neutral
(5) Very dissatisfied
(2) Satisfied
(4) Dissatisfied
19. Please share any suggestions/ideas regarding any existing or new programs, parks, paths and facilities of the Woodridge Park District.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
20. Counting yourself, how many people in your household are:

21. What is your age? $\qquad$
22. Are you or any member of your household of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish ancestry?
$\qquad$ (1) Yes $\qquad$ (2) No
23. What is your race? (Check ALL that apply)
__ (1) White/Caucasian
(2) Black/African American
(3) Asian

- 

(4) Other: $\qquad$
24. What is your gender? $\qquad$ (1) Male $\qquad$ (2) Female
25. Please check the number that represents your neighborhood on the map.
1
2
4 56


Thank you for your participation in this important survey of Woodridge Park District Residents.

Postcard:


Woodridge Park District Resident Survey Report

## A FRIENDLY REMINDER! WE INVITE YOUTO <br> MAKE YOUR VOICE HEARD



Woodridge PARK DISTRICT
2600 Center Drive
Woodridge, IL 60517
To complete your survey, please type the entire URL into the address bar of your Internet browser (not search engine):

WWW.WOODRIDGESURVEY.ORG

## AND LOG IN WITH THE

 VERIFICATION CODE BELOW:Only a limited number of households have been randomly selected to receive this survey, so your responses are critical to the future of the Woodridge Park District's parks, programs, facilities and services. Responses will be kept confidential.

## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX 'G'

WPD FINAL TAX LEVY EXTENSION \& TAX RATES DISTRIBUTION BY FUND COMPARISON REPORT

Wooditige
PARK DISTRICT
FINAL TAX LEVY EXTENSION ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION by FUND COMPARISON REPORT


|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { ?co11 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Actual |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actualal } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Actual 2014 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actualal } \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } 1 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Levy Year Fiscal Year |  | 2009 | Tax | $\begin{gathered} \text { \%} \\ \text { Chage } \\ \text { cham } \\ \text { Preve. Yr. } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2010 | Tax Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { hang } \\ \text { Chane } \\ \text { freme. } \\ \text { Prev. } \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {FY } 12 / 13}$ | Tax Rate | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \begin{array}{c} \% \\ \text { Change } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Prev. Yr. } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | 2012 | Tax Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chang } \\ \substack{\text { change } \\ \text { trom }} \end{gathered}$ |  | 2013 | Tax Rate |  |  | 2014 | Tax Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { chang } \\ \substack{\text { change } \\ \text { freom } \\ \text { Prev. } Y \text {. }} \end{gathered}$ |  | 2015 | Tax Rate | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \begin{array}{c} \% \text { Change } \\ \text { Chom } \\ \text { Prev, } Y \text { r. } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | 2016 | Tax Rate | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \begin{array}{c} \% \text { Change } \\ \text { Chom } \\ \text { Prev. } \mathrm{Pr} \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | 2017 | Tax Rate | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \begin{array}{c} \% \text { Change } \\ \text { Cheon } \\ \text { Prev. } Y \text { r. } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Previous } 10 \\ \text { rrithy } 10 \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| EAV |  | 7,811,087 |  | 0.3\% |  | 225,700,478 |  | 5.6\% |  | 1,175,412,790 |  | 4.1\% |  | 099,898,476 |  | 6.4\% |  | ,043,248,243 |  | 5.2\% |  | 1.040,478,686 |  | 0.3\% |  | , $072,401,364$ |  | 3.1\% |  | ,137,890,528 |  | 6.1\% |  | ,188,220,68 |  | 4.42 | 2.7\% |
| Corporate | \$ | 3,051,154 | 0.2351 | 0.6\% | \$ | 3,202,755 | 0.2613 | 5.0\% | \$ | 3,341,699 | 0.2843 | 4.3\% | \$ | 3,476,477 | 0.3161 | 4.0\% | \$ | 3,629,461 | 0.3479 | 4.4\% | \$ | 3,602,137 | 0.3462 | -0.8\% |  | 3,683,699 | 0.3435 | 2.3\% | \$ | 3,780,072 | 0.3322 | 2.6\% | \$ | 3,948,512 | 0.3323 | \% | 3.2\% |
| *Bond \& Interest - Limited | \$ | 1,121,309 | 0.0864 | 0.0\% | \$ | 1,153,384 | 0.0941 | 2.9\% | \$ | 1,170,711 | 0.0996 | 1.5\% | \$ | 1,206,590 | 0.1097 | 3.1\% | \$ | 1,225,817 | 0.1175 | 1.6\% | \$ | 1,245,453 | 0.1197 | 1.6\% | \$ | 1,254,710 | 0.1170 | 0.7\% | \$ | 1,262,324 | 0.1109 | 0.6\% | \$ | 1,289,634 | 0.1085 |  | 1.2\% |
| IMRF | \$ | 186,885 | 44 | 8.0\% | \$ | 194,886 | 0.0159 | 4.3\% | \$ | 200,996 | 0.0171 | 3.1\% | \$ | 214,586 | 0.0195 | 6.8\% | \$ | 189,871 | 0.0182 | -11.5\% | \$ | 219,54 | 0.0211 | 15.6\% | \$ | 225,20 | 0.02 | 2.6\% | \$ | 185,4 | 0.01 | 7.6\% |  | 191,304 | 0.0161 | 3.1\% | .0\% |
| ${ }^{\text {Audit }}$ | \$ | 10,382 | 0.0008 | 14.6\% | \$ | 13,483 | 0.0011 | 29.9\% | \$ | 117,754 | 0.0010 | -12.8\% | \$ | 12,929 | 0.0012 | 10.0\% | \$ | 12.519 | 0.0012 | 3.2\% | \$ | 12,486 | 0.0012 | ${ }^{0.3 \%}$ | \$ | 19,303 | 0.0018 | 54.6\% | \$ | 15.930 | 0.0014 | -17.5\% | \$ | 16,635 | 0.0014 | 4.4\% | 7.9\% |
| Tort Judgement | \$ | 76,571 | 0.0059 | 3.8\% | \$ | 82,122 | 0.0067 | 7.2\% | \$ | 81,103 | 0.0069 | -1.2\% | \$ | 80,637 | 0.0073 | -0.6\% | \$ | 85.546 | 0.0082 | 6.1\% | \$ | 90,522 | 0.0087 | 5.8\% | \$ | 97,589 | 0.0091 | 7.8\% | \$ | 100,134 | 0.0088 | 2.6\% | \$ | 65,352 | 0.0055 | -34.7\% | 9.9\% |
| Social Securi | \$ | 119,399 | 0.0092 | 6.1\% | \$ | 121,344 | 0.0099 | 1.6\% | \$ | 124,594 | 0.0106 | 2.7\% | \$ | 129,817 | 0.0118 | 4.2\% | \$ | 114,757 | 0.0110 | 11.6\% | \$ | 146,707 | 0.0141 | 27.8\% | \$ | 144,774 | 0.0135 | -1.3\% |  | 1058 | 0.0093 | 26.9\% |  | 1223 | 0.0103 | 15.7\% | 4\% |
| Recre | \$ | 800,749 | 0.0617 | 2.6\% |  | 770,966 |  | -3.7\% |  | 734,633 | 0625 | -4.7\% |  |  | 0.0667 | -0.1\% | \$ | 713,582 |  | -2.7\% |  |  | 0.0759 | 10.7\% |  | 787,143 | 0.073 | 0.3\% |  | 853,418 |  | 8.4\% |  | 864,899 |  |  |  |
| *Rec for Handicapped | \$ | 247,882 | 0.0191 | 1.9\% |  | 247,592 | 0.0202 | -0.1\% | \$ | 251,538 | 0.0214 | 1.6\% |  | 246,377 | 0.0224 | -2.1\% | \$ | 253,509 | 0.0243 | 2.9\% |  | 252,836 | 0.0243 | 0.3\% |  | 269,173 | 0.0251 | 6.5\% |  | 268,409 | 0.0236 | 0.3\% |  | 268,397 | 0.0226 | 0.0\% | 6.5\% |
| Total Cap Funds | \$ | 4,245,14 | 0.3271 | 0.8\% | \$ | 4,385,556 | 0.3578 | 3.3\% | \$ | 4,494,779 | 0.3824 | 2.5\% | \$ | 4,648,172 | 0.4226 | 3.4\% | \$ | 4,745,7 | 0.4549 | 2.1\% | \$ | 4,861, | 0.4672 | 2.4\% |  | 4,957 | 0.4623 | 2.0\% | \$ | 5,040,855 | 0.4430 | 1.7\% | \$ | 5,209,089 | 0.4384 | 3.3\% | 3.5\% |
| ${ }^{\text {*Total Non Cap Funds }}$ | \$ | 1,369,191 | 0.1055 | 0.3\% | \$ | 1,400,976 | 0.1143 | 2.3\% | \$ | 1,422,249 | 0.1210 | 1.5\% | \$ | 1,452,967 | 0.1321 | 2.2\% | \$ | 1,479,326 | 0.1418 | 1.8\% |  | 1,498,289 | 0.1440 | 1.3\% |  | 1,523,882 | . 11421 | 1.7\% |  | 1,530,732 | 0.1345 | 0.4\% |  | 1,558,031 | 0.1311 | 1.8\% | 1.8\% |
| Grand Total |  | 5,614,331 | 0.4326 | 0.7\% | \$ | 5,786,532 | 0.4721 | 3.07\% | s | 5,917,028 | 0.5034 | 2.26\% | s | 6,101,139 | 0.55 | 3.11\% | s | 6,225,062 | 0.5967 | 2.03\% | \$ | 6,359,406 | 0.6112 | 2.16\% | s | 6,481,594 | 0.60 | 1.92\% | \$ | 6,571,587 | 0.5775 | 1.39\% | \$ | 6,767,120 | 0.5695 | 2.98\% | 3.0\% |



## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX H

(REC REPORT MAY 2018-APRIL 2019)

## MAY 2018-APRIL 2019



## MAY 2018-APRIL 2019 RECREATION HIGHLIGHTS

» Kids Thrill at the Hill event, 56 participants-May 2018
» Recreation Supervisor Kim Jay resigns— July 2018
» Recreation Supervisor Kathi Wencewicz started
» in September 2018
» Fall Festival Soccer Tournament increased to 45 teams in 2018 (26 teams in 2017)
» Active Adult Crafting for a Cause has donated thousands of hats, mittens, scarves, etc. to local organizations in need
» Santa's Bedtime Stories had 75 kids ready to see Santa!
" Adult Doubles Pickleball league has doubled in numbers from last year with 34 players enrolled

## SAMPLING OF NEW PROGRAMS FOR MAY 2018-APRIL 2019

» Kids Thrill at the Hill
» Daddy Daughter Dance
» Daddy \& Me
» Advanced Cooking \& World Cuisine
» Mom/Son Sock Hop » Messy Art
» Mommy \& Me

## PROGRAM REGISTRATION

|  | \# OF | \# FRONT DESK | \# ONLINE | \# NONRESIDENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FISCAL YEAR | REGISTRANTS | REGISTRATIONS <br> REGISTRATIONS | REGISTRATIONS |  |
| FY2018-2019 | 9594 | $6287(66 \%)$ | $3307(34 \%)$ | $1957(20 \%)$ |
| FY2017-2018 | 9354 | $6547(70 \%$ | $2807(30 \%)$ | $1286(14 \%)$ |
| FY2016-2017 | 8980 | $6014(67 \%)$ | $2966(33 \%)$ | $1327(15 \%)$ |

ATHLETIC TOURNAMENT STATISTICS

| SEASON | TEAMS | PARTICIPANTS | NET REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fall 2018 | 100 | 1248 | $\$ 9,828.75$ |
| Winter/Spring 2019 | 133 | 1504 | $\$ 10,393.18$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 2 2 1 . 9 3}$ |

Fall 2018-4 tournaments held
Winter/Spring 2019—11 tournaments held

## SEASPAR (SRA) INCLUSION

| SEASON | PARTICIPANTS | INCLUSION COSTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winter/Spring 2019 | 5 | $\$ 5,533.13$ |
| Fall 2018 | 6 | $\$ 8,977.35$ |
| Summer 2018 | 11 | $\$ 15,522.71$ |
| Total | 22 | $\$ 30,033.19$ |
| Winter/Spring 2018 | 8 | $\$ 6,405.41$ |
| Fall 2017 | 3 | $\$ 4,692.68$ |
| Spring 2017 | 14 | $\$ 21,959.30$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 3 , 0 5 7 . 3 9}$ |

## PROGRAM CANCELLATION PERCENTAGE

| PROGRAM SESSION | \# OF PROGRAMS | \# CANCELLED | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winter/Spring 2019 | 506 | 132 | $26.08 \%$ |
| Fall 2019 | 462 | 132 | $28.57 \%$ |
| Summer 2019 | 396 | 133 | $33.58 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 3 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 0 \%}$ |
| Winter/Spring 2018 | 477 | 163 | $34.17 \%$ |
| Fall 2018 | 345 | 96 | $27.82 \%$ |
| Summer 2018 | 365 | 123 | $33.69 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1 8 \%}$ |
| Winter/Spring 2017 | 553 | 217 | $39.24 \%$ |
| Fall 2017 | 311 | 100 | $32.15 \%$ |
| Summer 2017 | 364 | 119 | $32.69 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 2 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 5 0 \%}$ |

## TOTSCHOOL / EARLY CHILDHOOD

| TOTSCHOOL | STUDENTS | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| May 2018—April 2019 | 94 | $\$ 77,522,68$ |
| EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS | PARTICIPANTS | REVENUE |
| Summer 2019 | 73 | $\$ 7,384$ |
| Fall 2019 | 154 | $\$ 13,909$ |
| Winter/Spring 2020 | 102 | $\$ 11,086$ |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 9 0 1 . 6 8}$ |

## SPECIAL EVENTS

| EVENT | ESTIMATED ATTENDANCE | YEAR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Motion Explosion | 480 | 2018 |
| Kids Thrill at the Hill | 56 | 2018 |
| Jubilee | 10,000 | 2018 |
| Summer Concerts | 550 | 2018 |
| Movies Under the Moon | 253 | 2018 |
| Indian Summer Fest | 1800 | 2018 |
| Haunted Forest Walk | 2358 | 2018 |

## FITNESS

| SEASON | RESIDENT MEMBER | NONRESIDENT | TOTAL FITNESS REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer 2018 | 1916 | 499 | $\$ 240,266.27$ |
| Fall 2018 | 2113 | 504 | $\$ 188,620.48$ |
| Winter 2019 | 1955 | 656 | $\$ 200,300.76$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 2 9 , 1 8 7 . 5 1}$ |
| Total Fitness Revenue is from all Fitness sources. |  |  |  |

## CAMPS

| SEASON | RESIDENT | NONRESIDENT | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer 2018: Adventure Camp | 664 | 41 | $\$ 126,478$ |
| Summer 2018: Trek \&Travel | 363 | 9 | $\$ 80,833$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 7 , 3 1 1}$ |

## KIDZ SQUAD

| SEASON 2019/2020 | RESIDENT | NONRESIDENT | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Before Care | 39 | 3 | $\$ 31,951$ |
| After Care | 171 | 15 | $\$ 315,951$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 7 , 9 0 2}$ |

## RECREATION PROGRAMS - NET PROFIT MARGIN ANALYSIS

|  |  | Fund 02 <br> (Programs) | Fund 12 (ARC Fitness) | Fund 12 <br> (ARC Rentals) | Fund 12 <br> (ARC Programs) | Fund 02/12 (Combined) | Fund 02 (Programs) | Fund 12 (ARC Fitness) | Fund 12 (ARC Rentals) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY15-16 | FY16-17 | FY16-17 | FY16-17 | FY16-17 | FY16-17 | FY17-18 | FY17-18 | FY17-18 |
| REVENUE | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL |
| Budget | 1,080,400 | 1,117,931 | 267,090 | 87,304 | 51,250 | 1,523,575 | 697,317 | 990,092 | 160,040 |
| Actual | 1,039,622 | 1,045,832 | 176,020 | 96,512 | 175,312 | 1,493,677 | 571,363 | 590,807 | 212,270 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 842,348 | 904,985 | 237,688 | 24,579 | 40,774 | 1,208,026 | 504,389 | 432,933 | 51,638 |
| Actual | 754,225 | 782,584 | 161,842 | 17,403 | 77,253 | 1,039,082 | 433,484 | 341,773 | 51,223 |
| NET DIFFERENCE (REVENUE OVER EXPENSES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | \$238,052 | \$212,946 | \$29,402 | \$62,725 | \$10,476 | \$315,549 | \$192,928 | \$557,159 | \$108,402 |
| Actual | \$285,397 | \$263,248 | \$14,178 | \$79,109 | \$98,060 | \$454,595 | \$137,880 | \$249,034 | \$161,047 |
| NET PROFIT MARGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 22.0\% | 19.0\% | 11.0\% | 71.8\% | 20.4\% | 20.7\% | 27.7\% | 56.3\% | 67.7\% |
| Actual | 27.5\% | 25.2\% | 8.1\% | 82.0\% | 55.9\% | 30.4\% | 24.1\% | 42.2\% | 75.9\% |
| NET \% OF COST (TARGET 18\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget |  | 23.5\% | 12.4\% | 255.2\% | 25.7\% |  | 38.2\% |  |  |
| Actual | 37.8\% | 33.6\% | 8.8\% | 454.6\% | 126.9\% | 43.7\% | 31.8\% | 72.9\% | 314.4\% |
|  | Fund 12 <br> (ARC Programs) |  | Fund 02/12 <br> (Combined) | Fund 02 <br> (Programs) | Fund 12 <br> (ARC Fitness) | Fund 12 (ARC Rentals) |  | Fund 12 <br> (ARC Programs) | Fund 02/12 <br> (Combined) |
|  | FY17 |  | FY17-18 | FY18-19 | FY18-19 |  | -19 | FY18-19 | FY18-19 |
| REVENUE | ACT |  | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL |  | AL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL |
| Budget | 717, |  | 2,565,286 | 577,318 | 690,844 |  |  | 876,000 | 2,377,166 |
| Actual | 844, |  | 2,219,004 | 516,061 | 629,188 |  |  | 960,056 | 2,394,516 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 474, |  | 1,463,718 | 395,323 | 404,269 |  |  | 496,931 | 1,362,557 |
| Actual | 461, |  | 1,288,344 | 439,026 | 349,107 |  |  | 502,654 | 1,343,982 |
| NET DIFFERENCE (REVENUE OVER EXPENSES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | \$243 |  | \$1,101,568 | \$181,995 | \$286,575 |  | 970 | \$379,069 | \$1,014,609 |
| Actual | \$382 |  | \$930,660 | \$77,035 | \$280,081 |  | ,016 | \$457,402 | \$1,050,534 |
| NET PROFIT MARGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 33.9 |  | 42.9\% | 31.5\% | 41.5\% |  |  | 43.3\% | 42.7\% |
| Actual | 45.3 |  | 41.9\% | 14.9\% | 44.5\% |  |  | 47.6\% | 43.9\% |
| NET \% OF COST (TARGET 18\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 51.2 |  | 75.3\% | 46.0\% | 70.9\% |  |  | 76.3\% | 74.5\% |
| Actual | 82.9 |  | 72.2\% | 17.5\% | 80.2\% |  |  | 91.0\% | 78.2\% |

## APPENDIX

## APPENDIXI

(REC REPORT MAY 2019-APRIL 2020)

## MAY 2019-APRIL 2020



## MAY 2019-APRIL 2020 RECREATION HIGHLIGHTS

» Kids Thrill at the Hill event, 112 participants
» Woodridge Park District 50th Anniversary 2019 Celebration all year long
» Partnered with Library to provide a
» StoryWalk for special events
» Anniversary Parade-September 2019
» Oktoberfest Special Event-new
» Purchase Laser Tag Equipment
» Purchase new passenger bus

SAMPLING OF NEW PROGRAMS FOR MAY 2019-APRIL 2020
» Parent's Night Out
» After School Cooking
» Oktoberfest
» My Saturday School
» Yoga with Bumblebee Yoga
» Cabin Fever Family Fun
» Body Back by Fit4Mom

## PROGRAM REGISTRATION

$\left.\begin{array}{lllll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { \# OF } \\ \text { FISCAL YEAR }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { \# FRONT DESK }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { \# ONLINE } \\ \text { REGISANTS }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { \# NONRESIDENT } \\ \text { REGISTRATIONS }\end{array} \\ \text { REGISTRATIONS }\end{array}\right]$ REGISTRATIONS

## ATHLETIC TOURNAMENT STATISTICS

| SEASON | TEAMS | PARTICIPANTS | NET REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer 2019 | 23 | 276 | $\$ 1,792.18$ |
| Fall 2019 | 146 | 1804 | $\$ 16,743.82$ |
| Winter/Spring 2020 | 48 | 496 | $\$ 3,978.92$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 , 5 1 4 . 9 2}$ |

Summer 2019-1 tournament held
Fall 2019-4 tournaments held
Winter/Spring 2020—3 tournaments held
Fall 2018-4 tournaments held
Winter/Spring 2019—11 tournaments held

## SEASPAR (SRA) INCLUSION

| SEASON | PARTICIPANTS | INCLUSION COSTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winter/Spring 2020 | 3 | $\$ 3,101.19$ |
| Fall 2019 | 4 | $\$ 5,465.40$ |
| Summer 2019 | 11 | $\$ 18,871.42$ |
| Total | 18 | $\$ 27,438.01$ |
| Winter/Spring 2019 | 5 | $\$ 5,533.13$ |
| Fall 2018 | 6 | $\$ 8,977.35$ |
| Summer 2018 | 11 | $\$ 15,522.71$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 , 0 3 3 . 1 9}$ |

## PROGRAM CANCELLATION PERCENTAGE

| PROGRAM SESSION | \# OF PROGRAMS | \# CANCELLED | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winter/Spring 2020 | 528 | 317 | $60.03 \%$ |
| Fall 2020 | 450 | 190 | $42.44 \%$ |
| Summer 2020 | 575 | 414 | $72.00 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 5 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 3 0 \%}$ |
| Winter/Spring 2019 | 506 | 132 | $26.08 \%$ |
| Fall 2019 | 462 | 132 | $28.57 \%$ |
| Summer 2019 | 396 | 133 | $33.58 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 3 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 0 \%}$ |
| Winter/Spring 2018 | 477 | 163 | $34.17 \%$ |
| Fall 2018 | 345 | 96 | $27.82 \%$ |
| Summer 2018 | 365 | 123 | $33.69 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 1 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1 8 \%}$ |

## TOTSCHOOL / EARLY CHILDHOOD

| TOTSCHOOL | STUDENTS | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| May 2019—April 2020 | 111 | $\$ 84,656.13$ |
| EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS | PARTICIPANTS | REVENUE |
| Summer 2019 | 81 | $\$ 8,761$ |
| Fall 2019 | 107 | $\$ 10,750$ |
| Winter/Spring 2020 | 156 | $\$ 11,051$ |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 5 , 2 1 8 . 1 3}$ |

## SPECIAL EVENTS

| EVENT | ESTIMATED ATTENDANCE | YEAR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Motion Explosion | 500 | 2019 |
| Kids Thrill at the Hill | 112 | 2019 |
| Jubilee | 11000 | 2019 |
| Summer Concerts | 600 | 2019 |
| Movies Under the Moon | 230 | 2019 |
| Indian Summer Fest | 1000 | 2019 |
| Haunted Forest Walk | 3141 | 2019 |
| Oktoberfest | 1750 | 2019 |
| Cabin Fever Family Fun | 600 | 2020 |

## FITNESS

| SEASON | RESIDENT MEMBER | NONRESIDENT | TOTAL FITNESS REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer 2019 | 1995 | 695 | $\$ 257,215.19$ |
| Fall 2019 | 2151 | 821 | $\$ 198,335.76$ |
| Winter 2020 | 2058 | 874 | $\$ 158,703.44$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{6 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 1 4 , 2 5 4 . 3 9}$ |

Total Fitness Revenue is from all Fitness sources.

## CAMPS

| SEASON | RESIDENT | NONRESIDENT | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer 2019: Adventure Camp | 628 | 47 | $\$ 128,522$ |
| Summer 2019: Trek \&Travel | 317 | 10 | $\$ 70,581$ |
| Winter Camp 2019 | 57 | 1 | $\$ 2,503$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 1 , 6 0 6}$ |

## KIDZ SQUAD

| SEASON 2019/2020 | RESIDENT | NONRESIDENT | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Before Care | 56 | 1 | $\$ 35,416$ |
| After Care | 156 | 14 | $\$ 240,190$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 5 , 6 0 6}$ |

## RECREATION PROGRAMS - NET PROFIT MARGIN ANALYSIS

|  | FUND 02 <br> (Programs) | FUND 12 (ARC Fitness) | FUND 12 (ARC Rentals) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Programs) | FUND 02/12 <br> (Combined) | FUND 02 <br> (Programs) | FUND 12 (ARC Fitness) | FUND 12 (ARC Rentals) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Programs) | FUND 02/12 <br> (Combined) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY16-17 | FY16-17 | FY16-17 | FY16-17 | FY16-17 | FY17-18 | FY17-18 | FY17-18 | FY17-18 | FY17-18 |
| REVENUE | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL |
| Budget | 1,117,931 | 267,090 | 87,304 | 51,250 | 1,523,575 | 697,317 | 990,092 | 160,040 | 717,837 | 2,565,286 |
| Actual | 1,045,832 | 176,020 | 96,512 | 175,312 | 1,493,677 | 571,363 | 590,807 | 212,270 | 844,564 | 2,219,004 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 904,985 | 237,688 | 24,579 | 40,774 | 1,208,026 | 504,389 | 432,933 | 51,638 | 474,758 | 1,463,718 |
| Actual | 782,584 | 161,842 | 17,403 | 77,253 | 1,039,082 | 433,484 | 341,773 | 51,223 | 461,864 | 1,288,344 |
| NET DIFFERENCE (REVENUE OVER EXPENSES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | \$212,946 | \$29,402 | \$62,725 | \$10,476 | \$315,549 | \$192,928 | \$557,159 | \$108,402 | \$243,079 | \$1,101,568 |
| Actual | \$263,248 | \$14,178 | \$79,109 | \$98,060 | \$454,595 | \$137,880 | \$249,034 | \$161,047 | \$382,700 | \$930,660 |
| NET PROFIT MARGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 19.0\% | 11.0\% | 71.8\% | 20.4\% | 20.7\% | 27.7\% | 56.3\% | 67.7\% | 33.9\% | 42.9\% |
| Actual | 25.2\% | 8.1\% | 82.0\% | 55.9\% | 30.4\% | 24.1\% | 42.2\% | 75.9\% | 45.3\% | 41.9\% |
| NET \% OF COST (TARGET 18\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 23.5\% | 12.4\% | 255.2\% | 25.7\% | 26.1\% | 38.2\% | 128.7\% | 209.9\% | 51.2\% | 75.3\% |
| Actual | 33.6\% | 8.8\% | 454.6\% | 126.9\% | 43.7\% | 31.8\% | 72.9\% | 314.4\% | 82.9\% | 72.2\% |
|  | FUND 02 <br> (Programs) | FUND 12 (ARC Fitness) | FUND 12 (ARC Rentals) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Programs) | FUND 02/12 <br> (Combined) | FUND 02 <br> (Programs) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Fitness) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Rentals) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Programs) | FUND 02/12 <br> (Combined) |


|  | FY18-19 | FY18-19 | FY18-19 | FY18-19 | FY18-19 | FY19-20 | FY19-20 | FY19-20 | FY19-20 | FY19-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUE | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL |
| Budget | 577,318 | 690,844 | 233,004 | 876,000 | 2,377,166 | 589,742 | 652,550 | 291,150 | 934,064 | 2,467,506 |
| Actual | 516,061 | 629,188 | 289,211 | 960,056 | 2,394,516 | 502,347 | 614,254 | 281,928 | 900,740 | 2,299,269 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 395,323 | 404,269 | 66,034 | 496,931 | 1,362,557 | 488,360 | 392,811 | 59,434 | 532,533 | 1,473,138 |
| Actual | 439,026 | 349,107 | 53,195 | 502,654 | 1,343,982 | 453,764 | 338,403 | 42,767 | 498,079 | 1,333,013 |
| NET DIFFERENCE (REVENUE OVER EXPENSES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | \$181,995 | \$286,575 | \$166,970 | \$379,069 | \$1,014,609 | \$101,382 | \$259,739 | \$231,716 | \$401,531 | \$994,368 |
| Actual | \$77,035 | \$280,081 | \$236,016 | \$457,402 | \$1,050,534 | \$48,583 | \$275,851 | \$239,161 | \$402,661 | \$966,256 |
| NET PROFIT MARGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 31.5\% | 41.5\% | 71.7\% | 43.3\% | 42.7\% | 17.2\% | 39.8\% | 79.6\% | 43.0\% | 40.3\% |
| Actual | 14.9\% | 44.5\% | 81.6\% | 47.6\% | 43.9\% | 9.7\% | 44.9\% | 84.8\% | 44.7\% | 42.0\% |
| NET \% OF COST (TARGET 18\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 46.0\% | 70.9\% | 252.9\% | 76.3\% | 74.5\% | 20.8\% | 66.1\% | 389.9\% | 75.4\% | 67.5\% |
| Actual | 17.5\% | 80.2\% | 443.7\% | 91.0\% | 78.2\% | 10.7\% | 81.5\% | 559.2\% | 80.8\% | 72.5\% |

## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX J

(REC REPORT MAY 2020—DECEMBER 2020)

## MAY 2020 -DECEMBER 2020

ALL PROGRAMMING IMPACTED BY COVID-19

## RECREATION REPORT

Woodridge PARK DISTRICT

## MAY 2020—DECEMBER 2020 RECREATIONHIGHLIGHTS

» COVID-19 Pandemic-not a highlight but reality
» Fiscal budget year to calendar budget year
» Breakout Summer Camp
» Camp Kidz Squad
» Variety of virtual programs and classes
» Active Adult virtual BINGO and conference calls
» Halloween House decorating contest
» Walk Among the Monsters special event at maintenance
» Holiday House decorating contest
» Drive In Movie at Cypress Cove parking lot

## SAMPLING OF NEW PROGRAMS FOR MAY 2020-DECEMBER 2020

» Breakout Summer Camp (COVID version of summer camp)
" Walk Among the Monsters and Drive In Movie
» Camp Kidz Squad (COVID version of Kidz Squad) » Halloween and Holiday decorating house contests

## PROGRAM REGISTRATION

|  | \# OF <br> FISCAL YEAR | \#EGISTRANTS FRONT DESK <br> REGISTRATIONS | \# ONLINE <br> REGISTRATIONS | \# NON-RESIDENT <br> REGISTRATIONS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FY2020-2020 | 2506 | $977(39 \%)$ | $1529(61 \%)$ | $696(28 \%)$ |
| FY2019-2020 | 8190 | $5288(65 \%)$ | $2902(35 \%)$ | $1704(21 \%)$ |
| FY2018-2019 | 9594 | $6287(66 \%)$ | $3307(34 \%)$ | $1957(20 \%)$ |

## ATHLETIC TOURNAMENT STATISTICS

| SEASON | TEAMS | PARTICIPANTS | NET REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer 2020 | - | - | - |
| Fall 2020 | - | - | - |
| Tournaments cancelled or not offered due to the pandemic |  |  |  |

SEASPAR (SRA) INCLUSION

| SEASON | PARTICIPANTS | INCLUSION COSTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fall 2020 | 2 | $\$ 307.63$ |
| Summer 2020 | 3 | $\$ 5,734.24$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 4 1 . 8 7}$ |
| Winter/Spring 2020 | 3 | $\$ 3,101.19$ |
| Fall 2019 | 4 | $\$ 5,465.40$ |
| Summer 2019 | 11 | $\$ 18,871.42$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\$ \mathbf{2 7 , 4 3 8 . 0 1}$ |

## PROGRAM CANCELLATION PERCENTAGE

| PROGRAM SESSION | \# OF PROGRAMS | \# CANCELLED | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winter/Spring 2020 | 528 | 317 | $60.03 \%$ |
| Fall 2020 | 450 | 190 | $42.44 \%$ |
| Summer 2020 | 575 | 414 | $72.00 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 5 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 3 0 \%}$ |
| Winter/Spring 2019 | 506 | 132 | $26.08 \%$ |
| Fall 2019 | 462 | 132 | $28.57 \%$ |
| Summer 2019 | 396 | 133 | $33.58 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 3 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 0 \%}$ |
| Winter/Spring 2018 | 477 | 163 | $34.17 \%$ |
| Fall 2018 | 345 | 96 | $27.82 \%$ |
| Summer 2018 | 365 | 123 | $33.69 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 1 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1 8 \%}$ |

## TOTSCHOOL / EARLY CHILDHOOD

| TOTSCHOOL | STUDENTS | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| May 2020—December2020 | 76 | $\$ 50,654.74$ |
| EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS | PARTICIPANTS | REVENUE |
| Summer 2020 | 8 | $\$ 1,180$ |
| Fall 2020 | 37 | $\$ 4,084$ |
| TOTAL |  | $\$ 55,918.74$ |

## SPECIAL EVENTS

| EVENT | ESTIMATED ATTENDANCE | YEAR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Motion Explosion | Cancelled | 2020 |
| Kids Thrill at the Hill | Cancelled | 2020 |
| Jubilee | Cancelled | 2020 |
| Summer Concerts | Cancelled | 2020 |
| Movies Under the Moon | Cancelled | 2020 |
| Indian Summer Fest | Cancelled | 2020 |
| Haunted Forest Walk | Cancelled | 2020 |
| Oktoberfest | Cancelled | 2020 |
| Walk Among the Monsters | 428 | 2020 |
| Drive-In Movie at Cypress Cove | 40 | 2020 |

## FITNESS

| SEASON | RESIDENT MEMBER | NONRESIDENT | TOTAL FITNESS REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer 2020 | 1906 | 816 | $\$ 82,210.58$ |
| Fall 2020 | 1595 | 777 | $\$ 123,283.05$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 5 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 5 , 4 9 3 . 6 3}$ |

Total Fitness Revenue is from all Fitness sources.

## CAMPS

| SEASON | RESIDENT | NONRESIDENT | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer 2020* <br> *Breakout Camp | 227 | 27 | $\$ 41,643$ |
| Winter Camp | 16 | 3 | $\$ 1,635$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 3 , 2 7 8}$ |

## KIDZ SQUAD

| SEASON 2020 | RESIDENT | NONRESIDENT | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Before Care | - | - | - |
| Hybrid | 28 | 3 | $\$ 12,770$ |
| After Care | 24 | 3 | $\$ 6,832$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 , 6 0 2}$ |

## RECREATION PROGRAMS - NET PROFIT MARGIN ANALYSIS

|  | FUND 02 <br> (Programs) | FUND 12 (ARC Fitness) | FUND 12 (ARC Rentals) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Programs) | FUND 02/12 <br> (Combined) | FUND 02 <br> (Programs) | FUND 12 (ARC Fitness) | FUND 12 (ARC Rentals) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Programs) | FUND 02/12 (Combined) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY17-18 | FY17-18 | FY17-18 | FY17-18 | FY17-18 | FY18-19 | FY18-19 | FY18-19 | FY18-19 | FY18-19 |
| REVENUE | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL |
| Budget | 697,317 | 990,092 | 160,040 | 717,837 | 2,565,286 | 577,318 | 690,844 | 233,004 | 876,000 | 2,377,166 |
| Actual | 571,363 | 590,807 | 212,270 | 844,564 | 2,219,004 | 516,061 | 629,188 | 289,211 | 960,056 | 2,394,516 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 504,389 | 432,933 | 51,638 | 474,758 | 1,463,718 | 395,323 | 404,269 | 66,034 | 496,931 | 1,362,557 |
| Actual | 433,484 | 341,773 | 51,223 | 461,864 | 1,288,344 | 439,026 | 349,107 | 53,195 | 502,654 | 1,343,982 |
| NET DIFFERENCE (REVENUE OVER EXPENSES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | \$192,928 | \$557,159 | \$108,402 | \$243,079 | \$1,101,568 | \$181,995 | \$286,575 | \$166,970 | \$379,069 | \$1,014,609 |
| Actual | \$137,880 | \$249,034 | \$161,047 | \$382,700 | \$930,660 | \$77,035 | \$280,081 | \$236,016 | \$457,402 | \$1,050,534 |
| NET PROFIT MARGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 27.7\% | 56.3\% | 67.7\% | 33.9\% | 42.9\% | 31.5\% | 41.5\% | 71.7\% | 43.3\% | 42.7\% |
| Actual | 24.1\% | 42.2\% | 75.9\% | 45.3\% | 41.9\% | 14.9\% | 44.5\% | 81.6\% | 47.6\% | 43.9\% |
| NET \% OF COST (TARGET 18\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 38.2\% | 128.7\% | 209.9\% | 51.2\% | 75.3\% | 46.0\% | 70.9\% | 252.9\% | 76.3\% | 74.5\% |
| Actual | 31.8\% | 72.9\% | 314.4\% | 82.9\% | 72.2\% | 17.5\% | 80.2\% | 443.7\% | 91.0\% | 78.2\% |
|  | FUND 02 <br> (Programs) | FUND 12 (ARC Fitness) | FUND 12 (ARC Rentals) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Programs) | FUND 02/12 <br> (Combined) | FUND 02 <br> (Programs) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Fitness) | FUND 12 (ARC Rentals) | FUND 12 <br> (ARC Programs) | FUND 02/12 <br> (Combined) |
|  | FY19-20 | FY19-20 | FY19-20 | FY19-20 | FY19-20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 12 / 31 / 2020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 12 / 31 / 2020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 12 / 31 / 2020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 12 / 31 / 2020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 12 / 31 / 2020 \end{gathered}$ |
| REVENUE | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL |
| Budget | 589,742 | 652,550 | 291,150 | 934,064 | 2,467,506 | 211,149 | 347,869 | 135,110 | 350,368 | 1,044,496 |
| Actual | 502,347 | 614,254 | 281,928 | 900,740 | 2,299,269 | 66,445 | 208,165 | 69,325 | 144,849 | 488,784 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 488,360 | 392,811 | 59,434 | 532,533 | 1,473,138 | 145,032 | 213,627 | 30,887 | 223,017 | 612,563 |
| Actual | 453,764 | 338,403 | 42,767 | 498,079 | 1,333,013 | 90,861 | 158,793 | 3,307 | 75,044 | 328,005 |
| NET DIFFERENCE (REVENUE OVER EXPENSES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | \$101,382 | \$259,739 | \$231,716 | \$401,531 | \$994,368 | \$66,117 | \$134,242 | \$104,223 | \$127,351 | \$431,933 |
| Actual | \$48,583 | \$275,851 | \$239,161 | \$402,661 | \$966,256 | -\$24,416 | \$49,372 | \$66,018 | \$69,805 | \$160,779 |
| NET PROFIT MARGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 17.2\% | 39.8\% | 79.6\% | 43.0\% | 40.3\% | 31.3\% | 38.6\% | 77.1\% | 36.3\% | 41.4\% |
| Actual | 9.7\% | 44.9\% | 84.8\% | 44.7\% | 42.0\% | -36.7\% | 23.7\% | 95.2\% | 48.2\% | 32.9\% |
| NET \% OF COST (TARGET 18\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget | 20.8\% | 66.1\% | 389.9\% | 75.4\% | 67.5\% | 45.6\% | 62.8\% | 337.4\% | 57.1\% | 70.5\% |
| Actual | 10.7\% | 81.5\% | 559.2\% | 80.8\% | 72.5\% | -26.9\% | 31.1\% | 1996.3\% | 93.0\% | 49.0\% |

## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX K

(CDP PRIORITY CRITERIA ASSESSMENT)102720

| CDP ASSESSMENT RATING－Update October 27， 2020 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \\ \hline 10 / 5 / 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | 5 |  |  | 4 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Major Development \＆Facility Additions（Greater than \＄1 Million Estimated Cost） Hawthorne Hill Woods Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nature Center or Outdoor Education Program Building |  | \＄5，000，000 | 0 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 4 |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 50 | 3 | 16.7 |  |
| Nature Playground |  | \＄500，000 | 0 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 4 |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  | 5 | 4 | 49 | 3 | 16.3 |  |
| Access Drive \＆Parking Lot |  | \＄1，000，000 | 0 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 4 |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 47 | 3 | 15.7 |  |
| Dog Park |  | \＄100，000 | 0 | 7 |  | 5 | 4 |  |  | 9 |  | 3 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 43 | 3 | 14.3 |  |
| Outdoor Adventure Facilities（Zip Line／Ropes Course／Tree House） |  | TBD | 0 | 7 |  | 5 | 4 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 7 | 3 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 43 | 3 | 14.3 |  |
| Trail Development（Multi Use and Interpretive） |  | 300,000 | 10 | 7 |  | 5 | 4 |  |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 | 57 | 2 | 28.5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \text { /FY2021 } \end{array}$ |
| Camping Cabins w／support facilities |  | TBD | 0 |  |  | 5 | 4 |  |  | 9 |  | 3 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 35 | 3 | 11.7 |  |
| Town Centre Property Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sled Hill Development \＆Parking Lot Expansion（in progress） |  | \＄171，301 | 10 | 7 |  | 5 | 4 | 4 |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  | 5 |  | 62 | 1 | 62.0 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \text { /FY2021 } \end{array}$ |
| Civic Center Area Parking Lot Addition（multi－government shared project） |  | \＄75，000 | 10 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 1 | 27.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Phase 1 Development |  | \＄3，933，817 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 71 | 3 | 23.7 |  |
| Phase 2 Development（Community Gardens／Mulch\＆Chipping／Parking Lot／Amphitheater） |  | \＄1，516，159 | 10 | 7 |  | 5 | 4 | 4 |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 71 | 3 | 23.7 |  |
| Phase 3 Development（Parking Lot Expansion West Village Hall \＆Memorial Park Parking Lot） |  | \＄1，803，000 | 0 | 7 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 9 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 3 | 8.7 |  |
| Village Greens Golf Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation System Replacement | \＄ | 1，000，000 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 37 | 3 | 12.3 |  |
| New Major Development \＆Facility Additions（Less than \＄1 Million Estimated Cost） <br> Lake Carleton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Carleton <br> FitCourt（National Fitness Campaign <br> Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park Improvements | \＄ | 224，000 | 0 |  |  | 5 | 4 |  |  | 9 |  | 3 | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 4 | 39 | 3 | 13.0 | On Hold |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cypress Cove Family Aquatic Park Improvements <br> New Feature（Alligator Alley Shade／Lounge Area） | \＄ | 100，000 | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 45 | 2 | 22.5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \text { /FY2021 } \end{array}$ |
| Light Standard LED Replacement Project | \＄ | 50，000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 2 | 9.0 |  |
| New Feature（i．e．Dry Playground，Sand Volleyball Courts，ett．） | \＄ | 150，000 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 7 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 26 | 2 | 13.0 |  |
| Orchard Hill Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orchard Soccer Fields \＃1 \＆\＃2 Lighting |  | 350，000 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 7 | 3 | 8 |  | 2 |  |  | 38 | 2 | 19.0 |  |
| Orchard Hill Soccer Fields \＃1 \＆\＃2 Drainage \＆Permanent Irrigation Additions |  | 120，000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 4 | 41 | 2 | 20.5 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \text { I FY2021 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Orchard Walking／Jogging Trail | \＄ | 150，000 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 39 | 3 | 13.0 | FY21 |
| Orchard Play Equipment \＆Shelter | \＄ | 250，000 | 10 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 35 | 3 | 11.7 |  |
| Village Greens Golf Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storm Sewer Replacement（Grant） |  | 335，000 | 10 | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 43 | 2 | 21.5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \hline \text { FYY2021 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Re－Purpose ProShop／Office／Lockers Rooms \＆Add Bar | \＄ | 525，000 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 26 | 3 | 8.7 |  |
| Patio Pavilion | \＄ | 400，000 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 33 | 3 | 11.0 |  |
| Golf Cart Path Additions | \＄ | 50，000 | 10 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 47 | 3 | 15.7 |  |
| Major Replacement \＆Renovation of Existing Parks \＆Facilities <br> Boundary Hill Woods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boundary Hill Woods <br> Parking Lot Paving |  | 100，000 | 0 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 9 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 3 | 6.3 |  |



| CDP ASSESSMENT RATING - Update October 27, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 10/5/0 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description | Estimated Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd Park Shelter | \$ | 75,000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 27 | 3 | 9.0 |  |
| Wetland Boardwalk | \$ | 200,000 | 0 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 | 36 | 3 | 12.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Shelter | \$ | 75,000 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 32 | , | 10.7 |  |
| Drinking Fountain | \$ | 15,000 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 3 | 6.0 |  |
| Land Acquisitions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83rd Street Property (1.75 +/- Acres) (Pending) (OSLAD Grant?) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 7 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 5 |  | 41 | 3 | 13.7 |  |
| Miscellaneous Capital Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARC Soccer Field Perimeter Fence | \$ | 60,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 2 |  |  | 20 | 1 | 20.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \text { / FY2021 } \end{gathered}$ |
| ARC Safety Alert/ Mass Notitication / PA Systems | \$ | 89,724 | 10 | 7 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 29 | 1 | 29.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \text { / FY2021 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| ARC Signage - close to north parking lot entrance | \$ | 15,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 12 | 1 | 12.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \text { / FY2021 } \end{array}$ |
| Bikeway Signage Trail Heads | \$ | 10,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 3 | 6.7 |  |
| Community Center Irrigation | \$ | 20,000 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 11 | 3 | 3.7 |  |
| Community Center HVAC Software replacements (CRP - still investigating) | \$ | 70,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Falconridge Park Irigation Water Main | \$ | 10,000 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 3 | 3.0 |  |
| Falconridge Park Drinking Fountain | \$ | 15,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 7 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 22 | 3 | 7.3 |  |
| Janes Avenue Park Batting Cages (WAA) |  | WAA |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 13 | 3 | 4.3 |  |
| Lake Harriet Landscape Improvements (Boulder Retaining Wall) | \$ | 12,500 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 15 | 1 | 15.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \text { I FY2021 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Lightning Protection System for Sports Complexes | \$ | 20,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 15 | 1 | 15.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \text { / FY2021 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Light Fixtures LED Conversion Engineering (Maintenance Facility \& Cypress Cove) | \$ | 10,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 4 | 26 |  |  |  |
| Mending Wall Park Drinking Fountain | \$ | 15,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 1 | 20.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Mending Wall Park Playground Shade Structure | \$ | 40,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 7 |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mending Wall Park Playground Security Lighting | \$ | 70,000 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  | 6.7 |  |
| Orchard Hill Park Batting Cages (WAA) | \$ | 58,000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 5 | 3 | 1.7 |  |
| Orchard Hill Park Foul Poles | \$ | 6,000 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 7 | 1 | 7.0 |  |
| Orchard Hill Park Baseball Core Netting System | \$ | 75,000 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 2 |  |  | 26 | 1 | 26.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \hline \text { / FY2021 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Orchard Hill Park Baseball Scoreboards | \$ | 30,000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 9 | 3 | 3.0 |  |
| Orchard Hill Park Baseball Windscreens | \$ | 20,000 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 7 | 3 | 2.3 |  |
| PDNRM Equipment (Off Road Vehicle - Kubota) | \$ | 13,700 | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 4 | 27 | 1 | 27.0 | FY20 (Stub) <br> / FY2021 |
| PDNRM Equipment (Ventrac Slope Mower Attachment) | \$ | 15,000 | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |  | 4 | 29 | 7 | 29.0 | FY2021 |
| Portable Electronic Marquee (solar powered LED) | \$ | 30,000 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 1.7 |  |
| ADA Transition Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Center Interior Improvements | \$ | 20,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 1 | 19.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \text { / FY2021 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Echo Point Park Picnic Amenities |  | 35,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 1 | 19.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Hobson Corner Park Tennis/Pickleball Courts Accessibility | \$ | 4,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 1 | 19.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FY20 (Stub) } \\ \hline \text { / FY2021 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |



## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX L

(031820 CDP PRIORITY RANKING
EXERCISE RESULTS)

# Q1 If you're a Park Commissioner, please check the box next to your name and proceed to Question \#3. 

Answered: 5 Skipped: 13

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Commissioner Bill Cohen | $20.00 \%$ | 1 |
| Commissioner Brian Coleman | $20.00 \%$ | 1 |
| Commissioner Mary Kranz | $20.00 \%$ | 1 |
| Commissioner Jack Mahoney | $20.00 \%$ | 1 |
| Commissioner Sam Venouziou | $20.00 \%$ | 1 |

Total Respondents: 5

## Q2 If you're a staff member, please check the box next to your name and proceed to Question \#3.

Answered: 13 Skipped: 5

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mike Adams | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Ryan Bordewick | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Suzy Chudzick | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Brandon Evans | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| John Karesh | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Jenny Knitter | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Angie McGrath | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Blake McMahon | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Julie Rhodes | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Don Ritter | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Megan Romano | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Chris Webber | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |
| Amanda Widloe | $7.69 \%$ | 1 |

[^7]Q3 Please rate each Capital Project as either High Priority (Complete within the next 1-4 years), Medium Priority (Complete within 5-10 years) or Low Priority (Complete 11+ Years).

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0

|  | HIGH PRIORITY (COMPLETE WITHIN 1-4 YEARS) | MEDIUM PRIORITY (COMPLETE WITHIN 5-10 YEARS) | LOW PRIORITY (COMPLETE 11+ YEARS) | TOTAL | WEIGHTED <br> AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hobson Corner Park - Splash Pad Shade Structure \| $\$ 50,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.44 \% \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.06 |
| Village Greens Golf Course - Bunker Renovations \& Drainage \| $\$ 25,000$ Annually | $\begin{array}{r} 77.78 \% \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.22 |
| ARC - Driveway Entrance WPD Branding Signage \| $\$ 15,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.67 \% \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.78 \% \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.39 |
| Village Greens Golf Course - Storm Water Main Line Replacement \| \$300,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 61.11 \% \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.39 |
| Community Center - Hickory Room Audio/Visual Addition \| \$25,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 70.59 \% \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.65 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.76 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 17 | 1.41 |
| Village Greens Golf Course - Cart Path Repairs/Improvements \| \$50,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 61.11 \% \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.33 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.44 |
| Castaldo Park - Picnicking Amenity Additions (Bags Toss, Fire Pit, Table Tennis, Grills, etc.) \|\$50,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 55.56 \% \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.50 |
| Orchard Hill Park - Soccer Field Drainage \| \$50,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.50 |
| Hawthorne Hill Woods - Trail Development (Trails w/ possible bridge structures) \| $\$ 250,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.56 |
| Echo Point Park - Picnicking Amenity Additions (Bag Toss, Table Tennis, Grills, etc.) \| $\$ 25,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.56 |
| ARC - Safety (Police \& Medical) Alert \& Mass Notification System \| \$50,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 61.11 \% \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.56 |
| Orchard Hill Park - Soccer Fields \#1 \& \#2 Permanent Irrigation \| \$70,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.56 \% \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.56 |
| Village Greens Golf Course - General Landscape Improvements \| \$30,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.56 \% \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.56 |
| Cypress Cove - Pavilion Roof Addition to Snapper's Shack Concession Building \| \$100,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.11 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.61 |
| Falconridge Park - Drinking Fountain \| \$15,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.33 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.67 |
| Lake Carleton - Playground Rubberized <br> Safety Surface \| \$150,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 33.33 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.67 \% \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \% \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.67 |
| Mending Wall Park Drinking Fountain \| \$15,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 55.56 \% \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.78 \% \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.72 |
| Lake Carleton - Tot School Raised Garden \| \$5,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 44.44 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.89 \% \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.67 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.72 |
| Miscellaneou - Bike Path Trail Head \& Bike Repair Stations \| \$15,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.78 \% \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.22 \% \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 18 | 1.72 |
| Orchard Hill Park - Park Shelter \| \$100,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 35.29 \% \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.94 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.76 \% \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 17 | 1.76 |
| Village Greens Golf Course - Maintenance | 27.78\% | 66.67\% | 5.56\% |  |  |


| Yard Renovations \| \$80,000 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 18 | 1.78 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mending Wall Park - Playground Seating | 33.33\% | 50.00\% | 16.67\% |  |  |
| Area Shade Structure \| \$40,000 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 18 | 1.83 |
| Castaldo Park - Expand Pathway Lighting (Shelter to Roberts Drive) \| \$150,000 | 22.22\% | 72.22\% | 5.56\% |  |  |
|  | 4 | 13 | 1 | 18 | 1.83 |
| Lake Carleton - Park Shelter \| \$75,000 | 27.78\% | 61.11\% | 11.11\% |  |  |
|  | 5 | 11 | 2 | 18 | 1.83 |
| Village Greens Golf Course - Patio Pavilion \| \$400,000 | 17.65\% | 76.47\% | 5.88\% |  |  |
|  | 3 | 13 | 1 | 17 | 1.88 |
| Orchard Hill Park Baseball Field Foul Poles / \$6,000 | 38.89\% | 33.33\% | 27.78\% |  |  |
|  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 1.89 |
| Orchard Hill Park - Play Equipment \|$\$ 150,000$ | 22.22\% | 66.67\% | 11.11\% |  |  |
|  | 4 | 12 | 2 | 18 | 1.89 |
| Falconridge Park - Turf Irrigation Main Extension from Orchard Hill Park \|$\$ 10,000$ | 33.33\% | 44.44\% | 22.22\% |  |  |
|  | 6 | 8 | 4 | 18 | 1.89 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Echo Point Park - Conversion of Sand Volleyball Court to Futsal/Multi-Play Court \| \$120,000 | 22.22\% | 66.67\% | 11.11\% |  |  |
|  | 4 | 12 | 2 | 18 | 1.89 |
| Orchard Hill Park - Baseball/Softball Backstop/Outfield Fence Windscreens \| \$20,000 | 38.89\% | 33.33\% | 27.78\% |  |  |
|  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 1.89 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orchard Hill Park - Walking/Jogging Trail \| \$175,000 | 22.22\% | 61.11\% | 16.67\% |  |  |
|  | 4 | 11 | 3 | 18 | 1.94 |
| 63rd Street Park - Prentiss Creek Erosion Control \| \$500,000 | 31.25\% | 43.75\% | 25.00\% |  |  |
|  | 5 | 7 | 4 | 16 | 1.94 |
| Mending Wall Park Playground Security Lighting \| \$70,000 | 33.33\% | 38.89\% | 27.78\% |  |  |
|  | 6 | 7 | 5 | 18 | 1.94 |
| Village Greens Golf Course - Irrigation Phase 2 Replacement/Improvements \|\$1,000,000 | 27.78\% | 50.00\% | 22.22\% |  |  |
|  | 5 | 9 | 4 | 18 | 1.94 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Carleton - Pathway \& Tree Lighting \| \$110,000 | 22.22\% | 61.11\% | 16.67\% |  |  |
|  | 4 | 11 | 3 | 18 | 1.94 |
| Crabtree Creek - Erosion Control - Phase$2 \mid \$ 70,000$ | 22.22\% | 55.56\% | 22.22\% |  |  |
|  | 4 | 10 | 4 | 18 | 2.00 |
| Cypresss Cove - New Feature Addition (i.e. Dry Playground, Sand Volleyball Cts. Etc.) \| $\$ 150,000$ | 27.78\% | 44.44\% | 27.78\% |  |  |
|  | 5 | 8 | 5 | 18 | 2.00 |
| Ide's Legacy Park - Lighting for Existing Shelter \& Pathway \| $\$ 50,000$ | 27.78\% | 44.44\% | 27.78\% |  |  |
|  | 5 | 8 | 5 | 18 | 2.00 |
| Village Greens Golf Course - Re-purpose ProShop/Offices/Locker Room to Accommodate Bar \| \$525,000 | 22.22\% | 55.56\% | 22.22\% |  |  |
|  | 4 | 10 | 4 | 18 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous - Digital Marquee \| \$30,000 | 35.29\% | 29.41\% | 35.29\% |  |  |
|  | 6 | 5 | 6 | 17 | 2.00 |
| Orchard Hill Park - Soccer Fields \#1 \& \#2 / <br> West Parking Lot Lighting \| $\$ 350,000$ | 16.67\% | 61.11\% | 22.22\% |  |  |
|  | 3 | 11 | 4 | 18 | 2.06 |
| Community Center - Turf \& Plant Bed Irrigation \| $\$ 20,000$ | 16.67\% | 61.11\% | 22.22\% |  |  |
|  | 3 | 11 | 4 | 18 | 2.06 |


| Lake Carleton - Canoe/Kayak ADA Accessible Pier/Launch \| \$75,000 | 33.33\% | 27.78\% | 38.89\% |  | 2.06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 5 | 7 | 18 |  |
| Hobson Corner Park - Renovation (Bronco | 5.56\% | 77.78\% | 16.67\% |  |  |
| Size Baseball Fld.) \| \$210,000 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 18 | 2.11 |
| 63rd Street Park - Pathway Lighting \| | 16.67\% | 55.56\% | 27.78\% |  |  |
| \$100,000 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 18 | 2.11 |
| Smoter Development Neighborhood Park | 11.11\% | 61.11\% | 27.78\% |  |  |
| - \| \$400,000 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 18 | 2.17 |
| Falconridge Park - Park Shelter \| \$75,000 | 17.65\% | 41.18\% | 41.18\% |  |  |
|  | 3 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 2.24 |
| Lake Carleton - Outdoor FitCourt Feature | 11.11\% | 50.00\% | 38.89\% |  |  |
| w/ Amenities (Shade, Drinking Fountain, | 2 | 9 | 7 | 18 | 2.28 |
| Walkways, etc.) \| \$224,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Harriet - Pergola \| \$85,000 | 12.50\% | 43.75\% | 43.75\% |  |  |
|  | 2 | 7 | 7 | 16 | 2.31 |
| Orchard Hill Park Baseball/Softball | 16.67\% | 33.33\% | 50.00\% |  |  |
| Scoreboards (3) / \$30,000 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 2.33 |
| Castaldo Park - Entrance <br> Gateway/Monument Signage \| \$20,000 | 22.22\% | 22.22\% | 55.56\% |  |  |
|  | 4 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 2.33 |
| Village Greens Golf Course - Maintenance Facility Replacement \| \$3,000,000 | 16.67\% | 33.33\% | 50.00\% |  |  |
|  | 3 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 2.33 |
| Hawthorne Hill Woods - Access Drive \& Parking Lot \| \$1,000,000 | 5.56\% | 50.00\% | 44.44\% |  |  |
|  | 1 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 2.39 |
| Hawthorne Hill Woods - Nature Play Playground \| \$500,000 | 5.88\% | 47.06\% | 47.06\% |  |  |
|  | 1 | 8 | 8 | 17 | 2.41 |
| Echo Point Park - Entrance Gateway/Monument Signage \| $\$ 20,000$ | 11.11\% | 27.78\% | 61.11\% |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 11 | 18 | 2.50 |
| Westminster Park - 2nd Park \| \$75,000 | 5.88\% | 35.29\% | 58.82\% |  |  |
|  | 1 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 2.53 |
| Hawthorne Hill Woods - Nature Center \|$\$ 5,000,000$ | 0.00\% | 38.89\% | 61.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 2.61 |
| Hawthorne Hill Woods - Dog Park \|\$100,000 | 11.11\% | 16.67\% | 72.22\% |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 13 | 18 | 2.61 |
| Westminster Park - Wetland Boardwalk \| \$200,000 | 0.00\% | 38.89\% | 61.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 2.61 |
| Boundary Hill Woods - Pave Parking Lot -$\$ 65,000$ | 0.00\% | 29.41\% | 70.59\% |  |  |
|  | 0 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 2.71 |
| Hawthorne Hill Woods - Outdoor Adventure Facilities (Ropes Courses / Zip Line Rides / etc.) \| TBD | 5.56\% | 16.67\% | 77.78\% |  |  |
|  | 1 | 3 | 14 | 18 | 2.72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hawthorne Hill Woods - Camping Cabins \| TBD | 0.00\% | 5.56\% | 94.44\% |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 17 | 18 | 2.94 |

Q4 Should the application of grants, funding and development for the first phase of the Town Centre master plan be scheduled (e.g. FY20242025) after completion of other determined high priority capital projects or scheduled as a high priority before other determined high priority projects?

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
| :--- | :---: |
| After \| Focus on High Priority Misc. CDP for Short Term (FYE2021 - FYE2025) | $88.89 \%$ |
| Before \| Results in High Priority Misc. CDP Projects being Deferred Long Term (After FYE2025) | 16 |
| TOTAL | $11.11 \%$ |
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## 7 Introduction

The Village of Woodridge and the Woodridge Park District worked together to develop the Town Centre Master Plan that will strengthen the Town Centre as a community focal
point. The Plan envisions a "campus-like" Town Centre that integrates civic, park, and educational facilities, all within a scenic setting of lakes, woods, and prairies that offer exciting recreational possibilities. Achieving this vision will increase the quality of life of residents, as well as the Village's attractive ness within the Chicagoland region.

The Town Centre Master Plan is organized into three chapters:

- Introduction, which describes the Town Centre's setting, the purpose of the Master Plan, and provides an overview of the planning process and community outreach efforts.
- Town Centre Concept Plan, which articulates the new vision for the Town Centre, including visual representations and detailed policy recommendation or site, land use, and recreation improvements.
- Cost Opinion, which contains cost estimates for each proposed improvement and ensures for each proposed improvement and ensures ated with enhancement of the Town Centre


## Partnership

The Town Centre Master Plan was developed in close ship between two sepate governmental entities: the Village of Woodridge and the Woodridge Park District. This joint-planning effort is reflective of their deep and enduring commitment to the citizens of Woodridge, and communicates joint-investment and cooperation in achieving the future vision outlined in this Plan.

## Purpose of the Master Plan

The Town Centre Master Plan is the official policy guide for physical improvement and site development within the Town Centre area. The Plan provides a series of recommended short and long-term improvements for the Village and Park District to mplement over the next 20-30 years. Balancing civic enhance ments with preservation and protection of important environ mental features and resources is an integral part of the Plan.

## Town Centre Setting

Woodridge was incorporated as a Village in 1959, with a popuation of about 459 residents. The Village derived its name from the heavy stand of timber, which overlooks the East Branch of the DuPage River. In 1985 the Village developed a Master lan to guide future development and to plan for future growth Originally, the Town Centre was planned as a community government Centre, including the Village Hall, Police Departmen Public Works Facility, Public Library, and the US Post Office.
Since the development of the 1985 Master Plan, the vision for ince the development of the 1985 Master Plan, the vision for
he Town Centre has expanded to incorporate the parks and the Town Centre has expanded to incorporate the parks and
open space that encompass the now realized Civic Center.

The Town Centre area is located near Woodridge and Center Drives and includes the Village Hall, Woodridge Library, Village Police/Public Works facility, Parks District Community Center, U.S. Post Office, Jefferson Junior High School, Hawthorne Hill Woods, Memorial Park, Lake Carleton, Lake Harriet, and 44 acres of open space jointly-owned by the Village and Park District.

## Planning Process

The Town Centre Master Plan is the product of a multi-step community-driven process that engaged residents and stake holders, gathered information, analyzed existing conditions, developed and refined concept plans, and established a new vision for the Town Centre site. The process included four key steps, which are detailed below.

- Initiation \& Outreach: This step included the creation of a Steering Committee, developing a user-friendly project website, holding community workshops to lict ideas and feedback, and conducting confiden ial interviews with local stakeholders and experts.
- Existing Conditions Analysis: Past plans and studies were evaluated, and a thorough site analysis was conducted regarding land use, development, traffic circula tion, infrastructure, and environmental considerations. An Existing Conditions Report, highlighting the site's issues and opportunities, was released in November 2013.
- Preliminary Concept Plans: Based on community outreach and the findings of the Existing Conditions Report, three alternative site concept plans were prepared for public review and comment at a public workshop/open house.

Final Plan \& Board Presentations: A final site plan was developed reflecting staff and community input obtained in the alternative concept plan stage. The site plan was coupled with detailed recommendations and dostates, and presented for public review and adoption by the Village Board and Park District Board.

## Community Outreach

Residents, business owners, key stakeholders, and groups with common interests were engaged throughout the process to gather opinions, concerns, aspirations, and other input related to the Town Centre. This section provides a summary of the out reach used to guide the development of the Town Centre Master Plan. All input provided was considered while developing rec ommendations for the Master Plan. Below are the summaries of each outreach event conducted as part of the planning process.

- A Project Initiation Workshop was conducted with the Town Centre Master Plan Steering Committee on Tuesday, May 21, 2013 at the Woodridge Village Hall The meeting included a review of the Plan process, a virtual tour of the Town Centre and a questionnaire designed to gather initial input from the committee.
- Over 80 residents and business owners came out to participate in the Community Workshop conducted on Wednesday, May 29, 2013 at the Woodridge Community Center. Similar to the Project Initiation Workshop, the workshop included a review of the Plan process, a virtual tour of the Town Centre, and a questionnaire designed to gather initial input from the community.

Several one-on-one confidential Key Stakeholde Group Interviews were conducted on Monday, June 24,2013 with representatives from the Woodridge Park District, Veterans of Foreign Wars (VFW), Lisle-Wo odridge Fire District, Library, School District 68, Village of Woodridge, and Woodridge Special Events Committee.
Over 90 residents participated in a Community Open House held on March 10, 2014 at Edgewood School ommunity members were afforded the opportunity view and comment on the three alternative concep ans prepared for the Town Centre, as well as hear a ort presentation on the design themes and key re mmendations. The feedback and suggestions obtained were used to formalize a preferred Town Centre design.

- A Project Website was created to keep the resident informed and to provide the community with a means of providing specific input on the Plan through an interactive mapping tool known as sMap - The Social Mapping Application (www.smapapp.com). Residents and busines wners were able to create their own maps and identify sues, concerns, and opinions within the Town Centre.



## 2 Town Centre Concept Plan

Concept Plan Development Process The establishment of a new vision for the Village's Town Centre resulted from a multi-step public engagement process. After conducting "on the ground" research and soliciting ideas from civic leaders and community members, the consulting team drafted three preliminary concept plans for the site, referred to as "Yellow," "Red," and "Green." These three options were presented to the general public at a Community Open House on March 10, 2014, and residents shared feedback whe consulants abour which concept, or what the key site improver found in each con $h$ lighted in the following paragraphs.

Yellow Preliminary Plan: Key improvements included landmark amphitheater/pavilion structure that can be sed for multiple events; a prominent pedestrian prome or an the hawhorne H vehicular drive and angled parking. and a multi-pur pose trail interpretive subtrails, exercise stations, and pais /e/reek restoration in the Hawthorne Hill Woods prea

- Red Preliminary Plan: Recommended improvement found in this concept included an amphitheater with a portable stage; a farmers market/carnival site located jus west of Civic Center, a community playground; a Public Works site with direct access off Woodridge Drive; a parking lot for Memorial Park and the open fields to the south; and a multi-purpose trail, interpretive subtrails, and prairie/creek restoration in the Hawthorne Hill Woods area

Green: Key improvements included a multi-pur pose paved area along Woodridge Drive to pro mide space for pankg, a calival, and a farmer Hall: an amphitheater: relocated community dens and shared parking directly off of Woodridge Drive: and town Centre gateway features and land sca, impowem at 75t StreetWoodrid Drive and at Centre Drive/Jans Avenue

After the Community Open House, consultants took the feed back received regarding the three concepts and developed a vision and a final concept for the Town Centre site, incorporating suggestions from the general public, elected officials, and Village and Park District staff.

## Overview of the Master Plan

The Master Plan envisions a walkable, scenic Town Centre that integrates the area's civic, park, and educational assets into a "campus-like" destination, with ready access to new recreationa and entertainment opportunities nestled between lakes, woods, and prairies.

Key elements of the Town Centre Master Plan include

- Enhancing the overall aesthetics of the area through attractive landscaping, wayfinding, and signage;
- Providing new community spaces for activities such as festivals, outdoor theatre, and community events;

Increasing educational interaction with nature through trails and subtrails,
Protecting important natural assets and env Ponmental features from overdevelopment

Strengthening access to Lake Harriet and Lake Car leton through improvements such a canoe launch, rock outcroppings, a pier, and park shelter; and

Encouraging healthy lifestyles through increased recreational offerings, such as bike trails, walking promenades, and playgrounds.

## Master Plan Subareas

To better visualize the recommended improvements included in the Town Centre Master Plan, the site was divided into four subareas: (1) Hawthorne Hill Woods \& 75th Street Subarea; comprised of the Hawthorne Hill Woods forest area west of Woodridge Drive, as well as the Lisle-Woodridge Fire west of Woodridge Drive, as well as the Lisle-Woodridge Fire
Station; (2) 44 Acres Subarea, which includes the area east Station; (2) 44 Acres Subarea, which includes the area east
of Woodridge Drive but west of the Civic Center area; (3) Lake Harriet \& Lake Carleton Subarea, which includes the two lakes as well as the Woodridge Park District Community Center and (4) Civic Center Subarea, which includes the Village Hall, Library, Village Police/Public Works facility, Post Office, Jefferson Junior High School, and Veterans Memorial Park


## mans Town Centre Concept Plan

he Overall Town Centre Concept Plan shows all the recommended improvements and uses proposed throughout he Town Centre. The Town Centre has been divided into four subareas to provide a better sense of where these rommendations are locted throughout the Town Centre. The our subareas follow this illustration.

Proposed Improvements/Uses
Hawthorne Hill Woods \& 75th Street Subarea

1) Multi-Purpose Trail
3 Nature Play Area

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (3) Outdoor Adventure Mountaii } \\
& \text { Bike Trail } \\
& \text { (7) Enhanced Pedestrian Networh } \\
& \text { (7oun Centre } \\
& \text { Gateway Feature }
\end{aligned}
$$

44 Acres Subarea
8 Relocated Community Garde
(9) Relocated Mulch/
(1) Relocated Chipping Facility
(1) Shared Parking
12) Park Entry Features
(1) Access Drive
(14) Convert Existing Community
Gardens Expansive Open

Space
S WoodandPrain
(17) Pedestrian/Scenic Bridge
(1) Parking LotIFarmers
MarketJubilee Area
(11) Sled Hill
(20) Pedestrian Promenade
(21) Hardscate PadAIAmphitheater
and Future Multi-Purpose Four Season Pavilion
(24) Amphitheater
Seating/Small-Scale Sledding
(23) Picnic Grove \& Shelter
(1) Future Pond/Stormwater

## Lake Harriet \& Lake Carreton Suharea

20) Canoe/Kayak Boat Launch
(25) Lake Harrie Improvements,

Park Shelter
Fishing Pier and Fishing Rock

## Civic Center Subarea

27) Parking Lot Expansion
(20) Reconfigured Mail Box

Drop Oil
29) Existing Ball Fields

31) Parking for Veterans Memoria Park and Existing Jefferson<br>Parigh anchox High Shool<br>32) Existing Veterans Memorial Park



Town Centre Subarea Hawthorne Hill Woods \& 75th Street



## 1 Multi-Purpose Trail

he multi-purpose trail going through Hawthorne Hill Woods is a great way to view the woodlands while engaging in physical activity. This ADA compliant and paved trail allows for many pedestrian activities including walking, jogging, running, hiking, and bicycling. The multi-purpose trail also feeds into interpretive paths and the mountain bike trail


2 Interpretive Sub-trail
Hawthorne Hill Woods improvements include interpretive subtrails, providing information on park history and habitats. These trails occur in a more natural setting than the multi-purpose trail, and meander with greater frequency and have a natural surface like mulch or crushed stone. They also facilitate intimate contac with the woodland habitat by containing smaller paths and tighter vegetative clearings. Learning opportunities with native ecosystems and species abound through such close interaction with the natural environment


3 Nature Play Area
The nature play area is a place for children to interact with nature through active play. The space functions as a playground composed of natural elements in a woodiand setting. To create the playground, the design will likely utilize landform, tree stumps, logs, boulders, and other natural materials to form a andscape of action, learning, and adventure.


## 4 Open Space/Lawn Area

The open lawn at 71st and Woodridge Drive helps establish a fransition between Hawthorne Hill Woods and the main activity areas in the Town Centre. This space also allows for flexible programming, creates an interesting terminus for the new pedestrian promenade, and establishes an entry for the new multi-purpose trail.

${ }_{5}$ Outdoor Adventure Mountain Bike Trail In order to maintain the growing popularity of the existing developed in Hawthorne Hill Woods. The trail will feature interesting turns, jumps, and obstacles, while catering to a range of difficulty levels.


## 8 Enhanced Pedestrian Network

Sidewalks, Trails, \& Connections A new sidewalk and trail network will connect the various Town Centre features to a greater degree than the existing system. A majority of the new paths will occur in-and and the Civic Center where connections are currently lacking. The new paths will unify the Town Centre and link visitors to new amenities such as the sled hill, playground, amphitheater, community gardens, open fields, and prairie/woodland restoration areas.

Crosswalk Enhancements
Crosswalk improvements are needed throughout the Town Centre to increase pedestrian safety and efficiency. Enhancements may include formal crosswalk signaling, "zebra" pavement painting, or landscaped medians. As an added benefit, these improvements can further enhance the identity of the Town Centre by utilizing design elements consistent with the rest of the site aesthetic.


## 7 Town Centre Gateway Feature

Gateway features are unique signage, design elements, and landscaping that orient visitors to their desired destinations. These features promote a sense of arrival and add to the Town Centre identity. Wayfinding elements will occur at key Town Centre access points. In particular, design coordination is suggested on the southwest corner of 75th \& Woodridge Drive. This intersection serves as a major point of entry into the site due to high traffic use and visibility. To maximize awareness of the Jown Centre, this intersection should be a priority for a gateway eature.


Town Centre Subarea

## 44 Acres




8 Relocated Community Garden
The new gardens will reside in the open area between the church and Fire Station. In response to community needs, the relocated community gardens will offer closer water connection, access to parking, and increased access from Woodridge Drive. The improved visibility will increase awareness about the gardens and deter vandalism. Finally, a landscape treatment will buffer the area along Woodridge Drive and residential homes to he east to create a peaceful agrarian environment.


## © Relocated Mulch/Compost Piles

The mulch and compost piles will be incorporated into the new community garden site. By being in close proximity to the gardens, gardeners can easily contribute to and use the compost pile as needed. The new location also affords the community easier access to mulch and compost through a well-designed pickup/drop-off area and adjacent parking.

## 10 Relocated Chipping Facility

The chipping facility will be located away from other uses and screened by the existing woodlands. People can get to the new facility by driving through the new parking lot off Woodridge Drive and taking the access drive into the area once used for the community gardens.


## 21 Shared Parking

This shared parking lot will serve the community gardens and provide overflow parking for the church. It also responds to the need for overflow parking for large civic events such as Jubilee The parking lot is screened with shade trees, shrubs, and natural plantings, to ensure attractiveness.


12 Park Entry Features
As part of wayfinding and brand improvements in the Town Centre, signs with complimentary landscaping will be developed at the intersections of Woodridge \& 71st Street and Woodridge \& Center Drive. These intersections are the nexus of primary roadways traversing the Town Centre and are an ideal location for a welcoming and identifying entry features. As part of the feature, the surrounding area will include a pathway serving pedestrians and Jubilee food vendors. Whether moving through the park via automobile, bicycle, or on foot, park visitors will know they have arrived in the Town Centre through attractive welcoming features.


## 13 Access Drive

The design calls for an access drive from Woodridge Drive to the recommended open space area for passive/active recreation and future special event overflow parking. The access drive should be an engineered grass access road (e.g. engineered "grasscrete" treatment). The benefits of this type of road are improved stormwater infiltration, protection from vehicular related erosion, and a quality park aesthetic. Use of the road will be infrequent and herefore does not warrant paving.


Convert Existing Community Gardens/Expansive Open Space
Once the community gardens relocate, the old site will convert into expansive open space. These areas provide for a range of activities, including passive and active recreation, athletic games, picnicking, and can serve as overflow parking area for large special events.


ఇ5 Woodland/Prairie Restoration
Woodlands and Prairies contain ecosystems that provide tremendous environmental, aesthetic, and health benefits. Both Woodland and Prairie Restoration focus on the cultivation of native flora and fauna reminiscent of times prior to modern human settlement. Woodland restoration is a process of creating a mixture of trees and understory plants in settings where they thrive. Woodlands typically occur closer to surface water ources. Prairie restoration focuses on the implementation of tallgrass, shrubs, and other plants. Prairies tend to occur further way from surface water sources Hran woodlands. Where these
 ecosystems and tremendous learning environments.


20 Future Pond/Stormwater Detention With earthwork modifications made to the Town Centre as part of design improvements, the area will potentially need a new stormwater management facility to accommodate increased water runoff. As such, the new pond will detain water in a preferred setting. The detention pond will also create a unique Town Centre feature, boosting scenic value along the new pedestrian promenade.


## 17 Pedestrian/Scenic Bridge

During development of the detention pond, instaliation of a pedestrian-scaled bridge will occur along a new pedestrian promenade. The bridge will enhance views of the pond and the surrounding area, provide a path over water draining into the pond, and enhance park aesthetics. The location of the bridge will also improve the promenade experience by creating visual interest through materiality changes on the path and by creating he opportunity for scenic views.


8arking Lot/Farmers

## Market/Jubilee Area

Another key parking lot is located across from Lake Harriet within the 44 acres. The multi-purpose surface developed for the lot allows flexible programming to suit the needs of the community. The surface offers space for parking and booths during parking for performances at the new amphitheater.


## 1) Sled Hill

Sledding is a popular park activity during winter months when he opportunity for other outdoor recreation is limited. The new sed hill resides in an area of the Town Centre that was previously underutilized and creates another unique feature for the community


## २० Pedestrian Promenade

The pedestrian promenade will become the prominent pedestrian thoroughfare in the Town Centre. It is a large walkway for pedestrians, cyclists, and service vehicles (during major events). Rich with desirable aesthetics and functional characteristics, the promenade will navigate people through the Town Centre in a comfortable environment and engage them in an array of activ ities. Key elements of the promenade will include quality paving materials, lighting features, trash receptacles, benches, water fountains, and diverse plantings.


## 27 Hardscape Pad/Amphitheater and

 Future Multi-Purpose Four Season PavilionThe amphitheater is an outdoor space with seating, a stage, and support facilities for entertainment performances and other community gatherings The pan initially calls for tempary hardscape platform. As the village gages use of the amphithe ater design for a permanent structure can develop to include preferable spatial requirements and an appearance fitting of the community image. When funding becomes available, the village can move beyond the temporary stage and into a permanent and iconic amphitheater.
he new shelter adjacent to the amphitheater will allow for year-round activities. Located adjacent to convenient parking, and in close proximity to the picnic grove, Jubilee area, and open space, the pavilion could serve as a place for celebrations, camps, picnics, barbecues, workshops, meetings, and othe gatherings


## 22 Amphitheater Seating/

## small-Scale Sledding

Amphitheater seating and small-scale sledding are ideal in the proposed location due to a sloping landscape. Because of these features, the site is ideal for viewing performances. As visitors gaze upon the performance at the bottom of the hill, the woodlands behind the stage create a scenic backdrop that further enhances the exciting experience. While grass seating eomplent he amphitheater in the spring, summer and also allows for smali-scale sledding in the winter. This dual functionality facilitates productive use of the area year round.


## 3 Picnic Grove \& Shelter

he picnic grove is within close proximity to the amphitheater, layground, parking, the promenade, and Civic Center, making highly accessible and centrally located. The picnic area is a place for park users to barbeque, hold gatherings, play board games, or enjoy a quiet lunch. It has several benches and seating areas as well as a picnic shelter for large community picnic events. The proximity of the amphitheater makes the grove an ideal support facility for large-scale events like the July 4 th Picnic


## Town Centre Subarea

## Lake Harriet \& Lake Carleton




243 Arbor
An arbor at Lake Harriet will be a great place for wedding ceremonies, picnics, and other events. It has a beautiful view of the lake and has convenient access to parking and trails. The design of the arbor should include interesting structural details and ornamental plantings that engage the viewer.

${ }_{2} 5$ Lake Harriet Improvements
Fishing Pier
A fishing pier will provide a comfortable and ADA accessible place to fish and enjoy scenic views. The proposed permanent fishing pier will be constructed on the south side of the Lake Harriet and increase access to the lake. This orientation helps keep the sun out of visitors' eyes and provides a vantage point to view the Lake Harriet Island.

Fishing Rock Outcroppings
At points around Lake Harriet, a patch of boulders protruding from the shoreline will create informal places for fishing and increase the aesthetic appearance of the lake. The boulders will also prevent erosion and diversify habitats for fish, birds, and amphibious species in the lake, thus improving the aquatic ecosystem.


2® Canoe/Kayak Boat Launch \& Park Shelter
There are two prominent lakes in the Town Centre, yet opportuity to get onto the water is limited. With the addition of a new boat launch near the Community Center, small non-motorized oats will be permitted to enter Lake Carleton. Some of the lowed boat types may include canoes, kayaks, row boass, and use of an already tremendous asset, Lake Carleton.


Town Centre Subarea Civic Center



## 27 Parking Lot Expansion

As the Town Centre expands activities and makes improvements to existing amenities, parking demands are expected to grow. One of the key parking expansion projects will occur at the Civic Center. Since many new Town Centre elements will develop just west of the Civic Center, it is a prime location for expansion. However, improvements do not necessarily need to be made all at once. Instead, the village may pursue a phased expansion that responds to parking demands using an incremental approach, which would also give the Village time to raise fund for the project.


8 Reconfigured Mail Box Drop Off Traffic flow improvements are important to a well-functioning Civic Center. Currently, the mail box drop off in front of the library is a cause for vehicular conflict and congestion. By reversing traffic flow, these impacts could be mitigated, thus making the Civic Center safer and ensuring residents have a more pleasant driving experience.


## 2@ Existing Ball Fields

hiile the existing ball fields will remain in their current state, here will be improved access to the fields via future trails and walkways throughout the Town Centre. These paths will enable teachers and school children to make better use of the Town Centre by making the ball fields easier to reach


B0 Existing Open Field
The current multi-purpose field is slated to remain because it is in an optimal location for the Junior High School's activities, such as soccer. Improved mobility throughout the Town Centre will enhance access to the field for parents and students.


37 Parking for Veterans Memorial Park and Existing Jefferson Jr. High School This future parking lot benefits the Veterans Memorial Park, the This future parking lot benefits the Veterans Memorial Park, the
existing Jefferson Jr. High School, the open field, as the overall existing Jefferson Jr. High School, the open field, as the overal
Civic Center area. It provides senior citizens and the disabled easier access the memorial without encroaching on usable Memorial space.


Existing Veterans Memorial Park The Memorial serves as a gateway to the Town Centre and honors veterans of the community at a prominent location. After deliberation at community engagement meetings, it was decided that the Veterans Memorial should maintain its current design and location. A small parking lot will be created near the Memorial to ensure access.



This section provides cost estimates for each of the improvements recommended in the Town Centre Master Plan. The cost opinions are based on general concepts and should be devert next step toward preliminary cost estimating final design, and implementaion It is impotant to amount represents the estimated cost for implementing all improvements throughout the entire Town Centre area. Imple mentation of plan components would likely be phased.

## Cost Opinion Table

| \# | Item | Description | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Multi-Purpose Trail | The Plan envisions a multi-purpose trail traveling through Hawthorne Woods. It will be paved and ADA compliant, permitting easy walking, jogging, running, hiking, and bicycling. Small-scale pedestrian bridges are included. | \$250,000 |
| 2 | Interpretive Sub-trail | The interpretive sub-trail is of a smaller scale than the multi-purpose trail and permits closer interaction with nature. Trail surface will be composed of mulch or crushed stone. Trail will include signage about park history and natural ecoystems. | \$45,000 |
| 3 | Nature Play Area | The nature play area is a playground composed of natural elements in a woodland setting. The design will utilize landforms, tree stumps, logs, boulders, and other natural materials to create a landscape of action, learning, and adventure. | \$60,000 |
| 4 | Open Space/Lawn Area | The open spacellawn area will remain as it currently is. | \$0 |
| 5 | Outdoor Adventure Mountain Bike Trai | The outdoor adventure mountain bike trail will feature interesting turns, jumps, and obstacles while catering to a range of difficulty levels. The ABA certified trail will increase trail safety and access. | \$5,000 |
| 6 | Enhanced Pedestrian Network | Improvements include new sidewalks, trail connections, and crosswalks throughout the Town Centre. A majority of the new pathways will occur in-and-around the Civic Center where connections are currently lacking. The new paths will unify the Town Centre and link visitors to new amenities such as the sled hill, playground, amphitheater, and more. | \$250,000 |
| 7 | Town Centre Gateway Feature | Wayfinding features are unique signage, design elements, and landscaping that orient visitors to their desired destinations. These features promote a sense of arrival and add to the Town Centre identity. Wayfinding elements will occur at key Town Centre access points. In particular, design coordination is suggested on the southwest corner of 75 th \& Woodridge Drive. This intersection serves as a major point of entry into the site due to high traffic use and visibility. | \$70,000 |
| 8 | Relocated Community Garden | The new community garden will reside in the open area between the church and the fire station. It will include connections to water, access to parking, and increased access from Woodridge Drive. Landscaping treatments will buffer the areas along Woodridge Drive and residential areas to the east to create a peaceful agrarian environment. | \$50,000 |
| 9 | Relocated Mulch/Compost Piles | The mulch and compost piles will be incorporated into the new community garden site, permitting easier access by gardeners and community members. | \$2,500 |
| 10 | Relocated Chipping Facility | The chipping facility will be located away from other uses and screened by the existing woodlands. | \$0 |
| 11 | Shared Parking | This shared parking lot will serve the community gardens and activities in open space, as well as double as overflow parking for the nearby church. The lot will be screened with shrubbery and natural plantings to ensure attractiveness. | \$750,000 |
| 12 | Park Entry Features | Signs with complimentary landscaping will be developed at key entry points into the Town Centre. The surrounding area will include a pathway serving pedestrians and Jubilee food vendors. Whether moving through the area via automobile, bicycle, or on foot, park visitors will know they have arrived in the Town Centre due to these attractive welcoming features. | \$190,000 |
| 13 | Access Drive | The access drive is an engineered grass access road (e.g. "grasscrete") that links Woodridge Drive to recommended open space. The benefits of this type of road are improved stormwater infiltration, protection from vehicular related erosion, and a quality park aesthetic. Use of the road will be infrequent and therefore does not warrant paving. | \$60,000 |
| 14 | Convert Existing Community Gardens/Expansive Open Space | Upon relocation of the existing community gardens, the old site will revert into expansive open space, providing for a range of activities. | \$25,000 |
| 15 | WoodlandPrairie Restoration | Woodland and prairie restoration cultivates native flora and fauna reminiscent of times prior to modern human settlement. Woodland restoration is a process of creating a mix ture of trees and understory plants in settings where they can thrive. focuses on the implementation of tallgrass, shrubs, and other plants. | \$35,000 |
| 16 | Future Pond/Stormwater Detention | The creation of a new pond for stormwater detention will help offset any potential rainwater impacts from new impervious surface development. It will also create a unique Town Centre feature, boosting scenic value along the promenade. | \$250,000 |
| 17 | Pedestrian/Scenic Bridge | During the development of the detention pond, installation of a pedestrian-scaled bridge will occur along a new pedestrian promenade. The bridge will enhance views of the pond and improve the promenade experience. | \$250,000 |
| 18 | Parking Lot/Farmers Market/ Jubilee Area | A new multi-purpose surface parking lot allows for flexible parking programming to suit the needs of the community. Final design of the parking lot should allow for placement of the Jubilee and other community events. This lot could also be used to host the temporary Farmers Market. | \$1,000,000 |


| \# | Item | Description | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | Sled Hill | Sledding is a popular park activity during winter months when the opportunity for recreation is limited. The creation of a new sled hill in a previously underutilized part of the Town Centre creates another unique feature for the community. | \$100,000 |
| 20 | Pedestrian Promenade | The pedestrian promenade is a large walkway for pedestrians, cyclists, and service vehicles (during major events). Key elements include quality paving materials, lighting features, trash receptacles, benches, water fountains, and diverse plantings. | \$500,000 |
| 21 | Hardscape Pad/Amphitheater and Future Multi-Purpose Four Season Pavilion | The hardscape pad/amphitheater is an outdoor space with seating, a stage, and support facilities for entertainment performances and other community gatherings. As the village gauges uses of the amphitheater, design for a permanent structure can develop if funding becomes available. A two-phased development program is recommended: <br> Phase 1 - Develop a hardscape pad to accommodate temporary staging <br> Phase 2 - Develop a permanent structure | $\$ 75,000$ $\$ 575,000$ |
| 22 | Amphitheater Seating Small-Scale Sledding | This is a unique sloping space that serves a dual purpose: amphitheater space in the spring, summer, and fall and as a sledding hill in the winter. | \$125,000 |
| 23 | Picnic Grove \& Shelter | The picnic grove and shelter is place for park users to barbecue, hold gatherings, play board games, or enjoy a quiet lunch. It includes several benches and seating areas as well as a picnic shelter for large community picnic events. | \$150,000 |
| 24 | Arbor | An arbor at Lake Harriet will provide a beautiful view of the lake and be conveniently ocated to parking and trails. The design of the arbor will include interesting structural details and ornamental plantings to engage the viewer. | \$15,000 |
| 25 | Lake Harriet Improvements, Fishing Pier and Fishing Rock Outcroppings | The Lake Harriet Fishing Pier will be constructed on the south side of Lake Harriet and will increase access to the lake. At strategic points around Lake Harriet, a patch of boulders protruding from the shoreline will create informal places for fishing, prevent erosion, and diversify habitats for the aquatic ecosystem. | \$200,000 |
| 26 | Canoe/Kayak Boat Launch \& Park Shelter | The addition of a new boat launch and park shelter near the Community Center will facilitate small non-motorized boats to enter Lake Carleton | \$75,000 |
| 27 | Parking Lot Expansion | As the Town Centre makes improvements, parking demands are expected to grow. The existing park lot may need to expand along its southern and western borders. However, improvements do not necessarily need to be made all at once. Instead, the Village may pursue a phased expansion that responds to parking demands using an incremental approach, such as: <br> Phase 1 - Southern Portion <br> Phase 2 - Western Portion (as additional parking spaces are needed) | $\$ 600,000$ 1,400,000 |
| 28 | Reconfigured Mail Box Drop Off | Currently, the mailbox drop-off box in front of the library is a cause of vehicular congestion and conflict. By reversing the traffic flow in the parking lot, these impacts can be mitigated and increase safety and efficiency. | \$5,000 |
| 29 | Existing Ball Fields | The existing ball fields will remain as they currently are. | \$0 |
| 30 | Existing Open Field | The existing open field will remain as it currently is. | \$0 |
| 31 | Parking for Veterans Memorial Park and Existing Jefferson Jr. High School | A new parking lot proximate to Veterans Memorial Park will provide greater access to the memorial, especially among senior citizens and the disabled as well as provide additional parking for the existing open field. | \$250,000 |
| 32 | Existing Veterans Memorial Park | The Veterans Memorial Park will remain as it currently is. It is an important gateway to the Town Centre area. | \$0 |
| Total Improvements: $\$ 7,362,500$ <br> 10\% Contingency $\$ 736,250$ <br> LIMPROVEMENTS: $\$ 8,098,750$ |  |  |  |
| The above cost opinions are based on general concepts and should be considered order-of-magnitude. Detailed surveys and design development planning would need to be done as part of the next step toward preliminary cost estimating, final design and implementation. It is important to emphasize that the total amount represents the estimated cost for implementing all improvements throughout the entire study area. Implementation of plan components would likely be phased. |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX

APPENDIX S
(CDP PRESENTATION 030320)

# PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT 

PROJECT INFORMATION OVERVIEWS

March 3, 2020

1. CURRENT CAPITAL REPLACEMENT ISSUES IN THE PARKS

Discuss the parks site by site

2a. CDP FUNDING PROJECTIONS
Review funding plan

2b. CDP FUTURE PROJECTS
Discuss park site specific projects
Show estimated budgets
3. BALANCE OF PLANNING WORKLOAD

Discuss time of implementing projects
Discuss other planning responsibilities
3. NEXT STEPS IN THE PRIORITIZATION PROCESS

Discuss how board and staff will participate in next steps

## CAPITAL REPLACEMENT PROJECT NEEDS

Review of current park conditions and needs by site...

## CAPITAL REPLACEMENT PROJECTS

## PRESENT

Current assessment of parks and what projects still need to be completed.
$\square$ Review Park Site specific projects

- Review projects with similar scopes at multiple park sites

Notes:

- Each of the general cost estimates given are a ball park estimate of costs.
- Items listed are projects anticipated for planning start after May 1, 2020 - 2025
- Projects that have already been budgeted for will be noted with FYE 2020
- These projects are evaluated and identified as a need for replacement and funded through Capital Replacement Funds.


## ARC, JANES \& FALCONRIDGE

FENCING

## Capital Replacement:

- Research and design low maintenance aesthetic solution


## Approximate Budget:

- ARC Fencing
\$60,000 FYE20
- Falconridge Fencing
- Janes Ave Park Fencing
\$30,960 FYE20
\$30,000

都



## Capital Replacement and ADA:

- Convert horseshoes to baggo / ping pong
- Determine need for patio/plaza space, fire pit, grills
- Address natural area plantings - reseed in fall
- Complete CRP amenity improvements
-Shelter wraps, bike rack, picnic tables

Approximate Budget:

- Horseshoe conversion w/amenities \$ 25,000
- CRP Improvements
\$ 8,000 FYE20
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## FOREST GLEN PARK

## Capital Replacement

- Research, Design \& bid replacement -Playground safety surface
- Design solution to mulch and turf issues around playground
- Address tennis court fence replacement issues
- Complete tennis court recoloring


## Approximate Budget:

- Playground Safety Surface repl.
\$ 151,000 FYE 2020
- Playground adjacent Mulch and turf
\$ 20,000
- Tennis court fence issues
\$ 35,000



## Natural Resource Budget:

- RFP for Floristic Quality Assessment
- Utilize FQA to Design pathway system throughout woodlands

Approximate Budget:

- FQA
\$ 8,000
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## IDE'S GROVE EAST

## Natural Resource:

- Design and implement increase natural area
- Coordinate installation with Natural Resource staff

Approximate Budget:

- Increase natural area
\$ 5,000



## Capital Replacement:

- Select replacement features for select concrete skate ramps deteriorating/spalling
- Investigate replacement in future of batter board system at Futsal/ Inline Hockey court.
- In need of fence fabric replacement behind goals.
- Basketball court and in-line color coating (in progress)


## Approximate Budget:

- Skate ramp replacement
- Batter board system replacement \$80,000
- Fence repairs at inline court \$2,000



## LAKE HARRIET

## Capital Replacement:

- Design and implement small boulder wall near fishing pier to minimize slope scalping occurring when mowing
- Replace seating area retaining walls with boulder walls to match
- Design for path resurfacing to address accessibility issues
- Improved natural areas/water foul informational signage.


## Approximate Budget:

- Boulder wall and bench retaining walls
\$ 20,000
- Path resurfacing for accessibility
- Engineering services
- Natural area/water foul signage \$125,000



## Capital Replacement and ADA:

- Concrete overlook - create ADA accessible solution
- Removal of sand volleyball - consider neighborhood feedback
- Replacement of modular playground border w/ concrete curbing.


## Once solution is determined:

- Budget and create Bid for concrete work


## Approximate Budget:

- Concrete Borders and flatwork
\$20,000
- Sand volleyball renovations Unknown at this time
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nance:

- Address playground drainage issues

Approximate Budget:

- Playground drainage installation




## SCHOOL PLAYGROUND

IMPROVEMENTS

## Capital Replacement:

- Murphy School - Swings (Summer, 2020 installation)
- Murphy School Playground - Planning committee and design (Fall, 2020 planning)
- Meadowview School Playground - Planning committee and design(Fall, 2020 planning)


## Approximate Budget:

- Swing replacement project
\$ 20,000
- Murphy Playground and border replacement project \$100,000*
- Meadowview Lower Playground and border replacement project $\$ 120,000^{* *}$

* School District is interested in sharing in costs to complete at a quicker timeline than 20 year replacement interval ** Developer Donations funds from the Pulte development can also be used for this park site.



## BANNER POLE REPLACEMENT

## Park Sites:

- ARC \& ARC Soccer
- Ide's Grove East
- Sunnydale Park
- Caddie Corner Park
- Ide's Grove West
- Westminster Park
- Castaldo Park
- Janes Avenue Park
- Windy Point Park
- Community Center
- Lake Harriet
- Echo Point Park
- Mendingwall Park
- $83^{\text {rd }}$ Street Park
- Orchard Hill Park
- Falconridge Park
- Seven Bridges Park
- Forest Glen Park
- 63 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street Park
- Hobson Corner Park
- Summerhill Park


## Planning Tasks:

- Design a solution that best fits in with our current parks
- Budget accordingly for that design
- Bid purchase and installation of new banner posts

Approximate Budget:

- Banner Post Replacement (approx. 126 poles) \$70,000



Trees and Shrubs Budget:

- Internationale Estates
- Cypress Cove
- Forestview
- ARC Soccer
- Seven Bridges
- Lake Harriet
- Windy Point Park
- Ide's East Park
- Castaldo Park



## Planning Tasks:

- Replanting trees after winter removals
- Reseeding and Plugging the body slide hill
- Shrub and tree replacement, as designed


## Approximate Budget:

- Planting improvements
\$20,000




## Current 2020 Budgets:

- Construction management of Park Development
- Purchase of site furnishings


## Budget:

- Capital Replacement
\$ 74,419
- Capital Development - Timber's Edge\$489,257
- Capital Development = Ides West 2 \$149,858
- TOTAL \$713,534

Expense contracted to date:

- Engineering Costs
(\$ 21,000)
- Play Equipment Costs (\$134,384)
- General Contractor Bid $(\$ 520,065)$
Remaining TOTAL \$ 38,085




## ATHLETIC COURT RECOLORING

## Capital Replacement:

- Hobson Corner Park - Tennis and Pickleball Court recolor (in progress)
- Janes Avenue Park Basketball and Inline Court recolor (in progress)
- Seven Bridges Park - Tennis and Basketball Court recolor (in progress)
- Sipley School - Basketball Court recolor (in progress)
- Westminster Park Tennis Court recolor (in progress)


## Approximate Budget:

- Hobson court recoloring
\$30,000
- Janes Ave court recoloring \$18,000 FYE20
- Seven Bridges court recoloring \$11,000
- Sipley court recoloring \$ 7,000 FYE20
- Westminster court recoloring \$10,000
- TOTAL
\$76,000




## Capital Development:

- Nicor bikepath design and development project


## Approximate Budget:

- Installation of pathway (in Progress) \$50,000 (Legislative Grant)

Proposed Pathway Linking Timbers Edge Subdivision to Ides Grove East Park


## Capital Replacement:

- Phase 1 Filter Replacement Project - plunge pool and program pools (No Permit yet)
- Phase 1 Change Order - Spitting Frog Plaza - (No Permit yet)
- Phase 2 Filter Replacement Project - Main Pool and Lazy River (Demo in progress, equipment in mid March)
- Pipe Repair (In progress - completion expect by Mid March)
- Manage replacement of tot Pebbleflex


## Once solution is determined:

- Manage construction and timelines for completion
- Coordinate with IDPH for permit follow-up


## Approximate Budget:

- Phase 1
- Phase 1 co\#1
- Phase 2
- Pipe Repair
- Tot Surface Replacement
\$176,575
\$ 9,295
$\$ 674,000$ (in progress)
\$ 36,000 (in progress)
\$ 69,284 (in progress)




## FUTURE

Assessment of future development objectives by Site

## CDP Priority Criteria Ranking

Corporate Fund Levy Extension Projections \& Comparisons

Notes:

- Each of the general cost estimates given are a ball park estimate of costs.
- The Board and Staff will be given an opportunity to prioritize these projects with a future survey

Capital Development Projects and Approximate Budgets:

- Nature Center or Outdoor Education Program Building \$5,000,000
- Nature Playground
- Access Driveway \& Parking lot \$ 500,000
- Trail Development

Recommending removal:

- Dog Park (other parks nearby)
\$ 100,000
- Outdoor adventure Facility (zipline, ropes course, treehouse)
- Lemont opening new facility in May, 2020
- Camping cabins with support facilities
- Is there a need? Staff thinks no.
$\$ 1,000,000$
$\$ 250,000$
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## Planning Tasks:

- Coordinate Sledhill Progress
- Clean dirt coordination and approval with Engineer
- Management of Construction
- Coordination of Engineering SWPPP updates
- OSLAD Grant strategy of application for Town Centre
- Work with Consultant to Develop Town Centre Phase 1 - C-Docs


## Approximate Budget:

- Parking lot expansion
\$ 170,000 FYE 20
- Phase 1
\$3,900,000
- Phase 2
\$1,500,000
- Phase 2
\$1,800,000
TOTAL
\$7,370,000


## Planning Tasks:

- Fitness Court Priority Assessment
-New partnership with IPRA
-Asking for 2020 Project commitment -(\$30,000 Grant)
-Is this still a priority, amount willing to spend? -Additional funding needed $\$ 224,000$ (includes shade sail)
- If Fitness Court project is still viable:
-Finalize fitness court agreement this year
-Seek additional sponsors? Consultant?
- Phase 2 of Lake Carleton
-Shelter, canoe launch, pathway lighting, tree up lighting
-Playground rubber safety surface
-Tot school raised garden
- Interior Building Needs
-Additional meeting room development space (in progress)

Approximate Budget:

- Fitness Court
\$224,000 FYE20 \$415,000
- Phase 2 Improvements
- Meeting room development space (in progress)

FYE20

## 2020 Campaign Video

- Nationwide list of Fitness Courts:
https://nationalfitnesscampaign.com/projects


## CYPRESS COVE

## Planning Tasks:

- Work with Architects to develop Roof Addition to Auxiliary Concessions by Program Pool


## Approximate Budget:

- Roof addition to Concessions



## Planning Tasks:

- Design solution for foul ball safety issue (does it include backstops?)
- Design a circulation path surround soccer fields (engineering req.)
- Design a drainage and irrigation solutions for Fields 1 \& 2
- Design lighting of Fields 1 \& 2
- Purchase foul poles for baseball/softball


## Once solution is determined:

- Bid and install netting system
- Permit, Budget, Bid and installation of expanded path system
- Create RFP and construct drainage solution to fields $1 \& 2$
- Permit, Budget, Bid and installation of lighting of fields 1 \& 2
- Request proposals for installation of foul poles for baseball/softball


## Approximate Budget:

- Netting solution for foul ball safety issue
- Path Construction costs
- Drainage for Fields 1 \& 2
- Irrigation Additions for Fields 1 \& 2
- Lighting Construction costs for Fields 1 \& 2
- Foul pole purchase and installation
- Score Boards
- Orchard Playground Equipment \& Shelter
- Windscreens for outfield fences
- Batting Cages
\$ 75,000 FYE 2020
\$175,000
\$ 50,000
\$ 70,000
$\$ 350,000$
\$ 6,000
\$ 30,000
\$250,000
\$ 20,000
WAA


| Capital Development Projects |
| :--- |

- Pathway Lighting (North of Shelter)
- Research and design new gateway/monument s
- Design improved picnicking amenities - games,
pit, grills
Approximate Budget:
- Lighting
- Gateway/monument Signage
- Picnicking amenities


## Recommending removal:

- Additional Park Shelter (not needed with Town Centre)
\$ 75,000
- Expanded Parking Lot (would require Large Oak Tree removals) $\$ 100,000$
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## Capital Development:

- Redesign master plan for baseball fields
- Add shaded seating space to near splash pad.


## Approximate Budget:

- Baseball field renovation project
\$300,000
- Shaded Seating near Splash Pad \$50,000


| Capital Development: |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Phase 2 - Pergola Structure |
| Approximate Budget: |
| • Phase 2 - Pergola Structure |

## Capital Development:

- Pathway Lighting and Park Benches
- Prentiss Creek / $63^{\text {rd }}$ Street Park Erosion Control





## Planning Tasks:

- Design a possible solution for more lighting at site, per resident request


## Once solution is determined:

- Budget, bid and manage construction of lighting additions


## Approximate Budget:

- Add site lighting to park
\$50,000






## Capital Development:

- Design pathway system throughout woodlands


## Once solution is determined:

- Utilize FQA to Design pathway system throughout woodlands
- Budget and bid for pathway implementation


## Approximate Budget:

- Pathway System Installation
\$250,000



## Capital Development:

- Design a shaded solution for benches between tot and elementary playgrounds.


## Approximate Budget:

- Shade Structure purchase and installation
- Playground bollard lighting
- Drinking Fountain



## VILLAGE GREENS <br> GOLF

COURSE

## Planning Tasks:

- Pipe repair of main drain line
- Building expansion
- Engineering assessment of the Maintenance Building - repairs and improvements
- Maintenance yard redevelopment for storage and improved efficiencies
- General Landscape improvements
- Cart path repairs


## Approximate Budget:

- Pipe repair of main drain line
\$300,000
- Building expansion \$500,000
- Engineering assessment of Maintenance Bld.
\$ 50,000
- Maintenance yard redevelopment plan
\$ 80,000
- General Landscape Improvements
\$ 30,000
- Cart path repairs
\$ 50,000
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## What the system does:

- Police immediately notified = faster response
- Facility Wide Announcements broadcasted
- Stakeholders immediately notified


## Planning Tasks:

- Coordinate Research of System
- Costs
- Implementation
- Develop a timeline for installation


## SAFETY ALERT SYSTEM AT ARC

- Work with Team to Bid purchase and installation


## Approximate Budget:

- Purchase and installation
\$50,000



## MEDICAL




## MISCELLANEOUS CAPITAL PROJECTS

## Project Description and Budget:

- Community Center HVAC Software Replacement (??? - Still investigating) \$70,000
- Community Center - Irrigation System \$10,000
- Boundary Hill Woods - Parking lot paving \$65,000
- Portable Electronic Marque (solar powered LED)




## Capital Replacement:

- Discuss and assess location of existing playground
- If it remains in current location longterm, add accessible sidewalk to lower swing section.
- Does it make sense to replace with another playground so close to Willowcreek and Summerhill Playground?
- Should we assess another type of play feature (ninja warier type or smaller playground closer baseball?


## Approximate Budget:

- If playground removal/replacement $\$ 80,000$
- If ADA ramp only $\$ 30,000$



## Capital Development and ADA:

- Redesign to address ADA Accessibility issues at Concessions
- Provide accessible walk to northeast baseball field, per request


## Approximate Budget:

- Accessibility improvements \$35,000
- Engineering services \$15,000
- Batting Cages WAA



## Capital Replacement:

- Echo Point Park - Games, add truncated domes, picnic tables
- Sunnydale playground improvement piece


## Approximate Budget:

- Echo ADA Improvements
- Sunnydale Playground improvements $\$ 3,000$




## OTHER PLANNING WORK

Planning Tasks:

- Our continued issue is allocating enough time to get projects designed and budgeted before budget year - without doing this in advance, budget projections are inaccurate
- Design Process takes time depending on the scope and size of the project:
- Research
- Coordination with Consultants (surveys, engineering, etc.)
- Permit Coordination (Village, IEPA, IDPH, etc.)
- Bidding takes months to compile and complete and includes:
- Assembling bid documents and writing specifications
- Soliciting and opening bids, checking for completeness and reviewing references
- Awarding contracts, checking insurance and bonds, conducting pre-bid meetings
- Managing construction activities


## Planning Tasks:

- New Comprehensive Plan for District (as required Distinguished Agency)
- Assessment of ADA Projects and Update Transition Plan(as required Distinguished Agency)
- Implement new Computer Maintenance Monitoring System
- Planning committees for Murphy \& Meadowview School Playground replacements in 2021
- Planning Committees take months to compile and complete and includes:
- Multiple Meetings: preparation and coordination
- creating design options and changing options through the process
- lots of communication and coordination of timelines
- coordination with Playground Manufacturers
- working with budgets to meet the needs of the committee


## OTHER PLANNING WORK

## Department Management:

- Managing various unforeseen and urgent tasks (ie. pool liners, pipe repair, vandalism, grant applications)
- Our Planning Department is unique in that we are in our $2^{\text {nd }}$ year of managing Landscape Maintenance Crews:


## - Natural Resource Manager

- Seeking and Managing Floristic Quality Assessments at Hawthorne
- Seeking permits for Natural Area Burns
- Conducts in house burns as a Burn Manager
- Manages tree maintenance and removals
- Treats lakes for invasive weed control regularly
- Manages a permanent part time staff and seasonal staff
- Participates in interdepartmental activities: snow removal, special events
- Landscape Specialist
- Assists to Manages tree maintenance and removals
- Manages weed control contracts and in-house efforts
- Conducts landscape removals and replacements throughout our parks and facilities
- Manages a permanent part time staff and seasonal staff
- Participates in interdepartmental activities: snow removal, special events


Now that the Board has an understanding of all of the tasks to complete under the planning department...
Next Steps:

- An electronic survey will be sent to both staff and Board to determine the following:

1. Rating of project importance:

- High
- Medium
- Low

2. Re-ranking of High Priority Projects Only:

- Most important to least important of High Rated Projects only
- The survey will be sent out this month and results will be shared in an upcoming Board meeting.


## Questions?

## Thank you for your time and service to the Woodridge Park District

## APPENDX

## APPENDIX T

(CRP)

|  |  | Last Year | Replacement | Replacement | Expense | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Replaced | Interval | Cost | Liabilities | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 |



|  |  | Last Year | Replacement | Replacement | Expense | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Replaced | Interval | Cost | Liabilities | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 |
|  | Carpeting (Room 249 - Board Room) | 2012 | 10 | \$3,785 |  |  | \$4,845 |  |  |  |
|  | Carpet Extractor | 2007 | 13 | \$2,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HVAC Existing Building Furnace (Lower Level) | 2003 | 25 | \$80,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HVAC Existing Building Air Handler/Furnace (Upper Level) | 2017 | 30 | \$167,015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HVAC Addition Boilers | 2005 | 30 | \$40,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HVAC Addition Condensors (20 + 15 Ton Units) | 2005 | 20 | \$21,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,411 |
|  | HVAC BAS System | 2005 | 20 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chairs Board Room/12 Each | 2000 | 20 | \$6,284 | \$6,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chairs - Desk High Back (4 Each) HON Resolution | 2005 | 20 | \$1,144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chairs - Desk Mid Back (9 Each) HON Resolution | 2005 | 20 | \$1,104 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,809 |
|  | Chairs - Desk Mid Back (20 Each) HON 7800 Series | 2005 | 20 | \$4,160 |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,817 |
|  | Chairs - Desk Stool (1 Each) HON 7800 Series | 2005 | 20 | \$190 |  |  |  |  |  | \$311 |
|  | Chairs - Office Guest (19 Each) HON Resolution 6216 | 2005 | 20 | \$2,394 |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,923 |
|  | Chairs - Plastic Stackable - HON Gueststackers | 2005 | 20 | \$1,470 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,409 |
|  | Copy Machine - RICOH MP 7502 | 2014 | 6 | \$12,490 | \$14,485 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Desks Executive \& Office | 2005 | 25 | \$19,115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Desk Office Manager - Admin Office (1) | 2005 | 25 | \$2,121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Facsimile Machine (Brother 2840 - Suzy) | 2017 | 5 | \$175 |  |  |  | \$198 |  |  |
|  | File Cabinets/4 Drawer/3'X4/Supt. of PIng. (2 Ea) | 1990 | 40 | \$1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet//4 Drawer/3'X4/Supt. of Plng. (1 Ea) | 1997 | 40 | \$700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinets/2 Drawer/1.5' ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ '/Public Relations | 1994 | 40 | \$325 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinets - Director - HON $600-42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \times 3$ High (2 Ea) | 2005 | 40 | \$616 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet/4 Drawer/15"X4/Athletic Sup. | 1982 | 40 | \$425 |  |  | \$1,141 |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet/4 Drawer/3'X4/Business Mngr. | 1988 | 40 | \$475 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet/4 Drawer/3'X4/Business Mngr. | 1995 | 40 | \$455 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet/4 Drawer/3'X4/Bookkeeper | 1995 | 40 | \$515 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet/2 Drawer/1.5'X3/'Teen Coord. | 1988 | 40 | \$325 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet/4 Drawer/15"X4/Supt. of Rec. | 1984 | 40 | \$425 |  |  |  |  | \$1,141 |  |
|  | File Cabinet/4 Drawer/15"X4/Aquatic Sup. | 1997 | 40 | \$447 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinets for Partitions - H0N Flagship 15" Wide | 2005 | 40 | \$1,376 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinets for Partitions - HON Flagship 30" Wide | 2005 | 40 | \$1,712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinets @ Partitions - H0N 600-36"W $\times 3$ High | 2005 | 40 | \$2,120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinets @ Partitions - HON 600-42'W $\times 3$ High | 2005 | 40 | \$2,772 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet/Concrete Lined/Copy Room | 1975 | 50 | \$1,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,781 |
|  | File Cabinet/Concrete Lined/Copy Room | 1995 | 50 | \$2,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet - Accounting File Room | 2010 | 40 | \$500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Partitions (Admin. Area) | 2005 | 30 | \$13,749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Partitions (Recreation Area) | 2005 | 30 | \$6,910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Postage Meter ( 63 month/5 year lease) | 2015 | 10 | \$2,097 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,684 |
|  | Shelving - Room 232 - Plan Files | 2005 | 25 | \$1,740 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shleving - Storage 131 | 2005 | 25 | \$2,089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tables (12) - Board Room | 2005 | 15 | \$2,602 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Telephone/Voice Mail System | 2016 | 11 | \$35,878 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacles - Interior, 35 Gal. (20 Each) | 2005 | 30 | \$1,744 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacles - Exterior, RP-2800 (3 Each) | 2005 | 25 | \$1,391 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacle - Exterior Entrance (1 Each) | 2005 | 20 | \$763 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,250 |
|  | TV Flat Screen (lunch room) | 2015 | 10 | \$400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$512 |
|  | Video Recording System (security - 12 cameras) / Griffon Systems | 2018 | 15 | \$17,215 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Water Heater (Electric / Original Bldg.) / In-house | 2017 | 20 | \$428 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Water Heater (Bldg. Addition ) / Jensens Plumbing | 2018 | 14 | \$1,940 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\text { AT }}$ | ADMINISTRATION TRANSPORTATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Van - Passenger (Administration / Recreation) | 2015 | 10 | \$21,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,034 |
|  | Van - Passenger (Administration / Recreation) + B687 | 2015 | 10 | \$21,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,034 |
|  | SUV (Planning) | 2011 | 13 | \$19,195 |  |  |  |  | \$26,461 |  |
|  | Pickup - 2014 Ford F150 1/2 Ton (\#113) 4x4 / Supt. Of Parks | 2014 | 8 | \$21,000 |  |  | \$25,586 |  |  |  |
|  | Pickup Truck, 2015 Ford F150 Crew 4×4 (\#100) (ED's) | 2015 | 7 | \$31,072 |  |  | \$36,935 |  |  |  |
| ARC-S | ARC SOCCER FIELDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Soccer Fencing - (903 LF) coming soon | 2018 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Picnic Shelter \#1 | 2018 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Picnic Tables (19) | 2018 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retaining Wall Brick | 2018 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash/Recycle Receptacle (2) | 2018 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC | CADDIE CORNER PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Crack Fill only | 2020 | 4 | \$305 |  |  |  | \$337 |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Remove \& Resurface (502 SY) | 1996 | 32 | \$1,380 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Resealing (502 SY) | 2020 | 4 | \$920 |  |  |  | \$1,016 |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Resurfacing (Tack \& 1" Surface) | 2015 | 16 | \$15,338 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banner Poles (6) | 1996 | 20 | \$900 | \$6,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches w/ Backrest (6) | 1996 | 30 | \$3,357 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bike Rack | 1996 | 30 | \$233 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bollards | 1996 | 30 | \$1,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walks | 1996 | 30 | \$552 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drinking Fountain | 1996 | 30 | \$1,535 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lannon Stone Outcroppings | 1996 | 50 | \$36,174 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pavered Areas | 1996 | 30 | \$9,197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Bridge Abutments | 1996 | 40 | \$7,410 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Bridge Replacement | 1996 | 40 | \$10,364 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Concrete Borders | 1996 | 35 | \$5,630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2011 | 20 | \$46,610 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Safety Surface/Fabric | 2011 | 20 | \$4,007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Swing Set Installation | 2008 | 23 | \$1,175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Swing Set Purchase | 2008 | 23 | \$2,351 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacles (6) | 1996 | 30 | \$1,520 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CP | CASTALDO PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches (3) | 2013 | 25 | \$4,275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walks (Portable Toilet pad/walk, Carraige Walk, etc.) | 2010 | 30 | \$12,622 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walks (Walk from Shelter to Playground, Playground Pad) | 2013 | 30 | \$7,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Disc Golf Baskets | 2008 | 20 | \$4,490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Disc Golf Signage (with recycled lumber) | 2020 | 10 | \$6,950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Disc Golf Tees | 2008 | 30 | \$9,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drinking Fountain | 1998 | 25 | \$1,300 |  |  |  | \$2,410 |  |  |
|  | Flag Pole | 1989 | 40 | \$1,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overhead Path Lights \& Poles \& Electrical | 2007 | 30 | \$41,483 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ligth Pole Base Painting |  |  |  | \$2,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Lights \& Poles \& Electric | 1990 | 35 | \$13,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$30,852 |
|  | Parking Lot Asphalt Resurface (1700) | 2007 | 16 | \$22,046 |  |  |  | \$32,727 |  |  |


|  |  | Last Year | Replacement | Replacement | Expense | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Replaced | Interval | Cost | Liabilities | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 |
|  | Parking Lot Asphalt Resealing(1700 sy) | 2016 | 4 | \$1,920 | \$2,119 |  |  |  | \$2,119 |  |
|  | Path Asphalt Resurface (1500 sy) | 2010 | 11 | \$28,087 |  | \$25,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path Asphalt Resealing(1500 sy) | 2016 | 4 | \$1,693 | \$1,869 |  |  |  | \$1,869 |  |
|  | Picnic Shelter \#1 | 2001 | 30 | \$92,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Picnic Tables (31) Four to be Replaced Every 4 Yrs | 2009 | 10 | \$2,257 | \$3,184 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip. and Swings Concrete Borders | 2013 | 30 | \$9,680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2006 | 15 | \$81,375 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Safety Surface/Fabric (145 CY) | 2016 | 10 | \$13,526 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Swing Set | 2013 | 15 | \$6,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Surface - Swing new and top off existing | 2013 | 10 | \$3,680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sand Volleyball Court Border/Safety Surf. | 1992 | 30 | \$7,500 |  |  | \$15,732 |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacles (8) | 2020 | 20 | \$2,368 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ССВ | FRED C. HOHNKE COMMUNITY CENTER BUILDING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AED - Difibulator \#8 (1 unit - B14E-00946) | 2014 | 10 | \$1,395 |  |  |  |  | \$1,786 |  |
|  | AED - Difibulator \#9 (1 unit - B12G-02176) | 2012 | 10 | \$1,395 |  |  | \$1,786 |  |  |  |
|  | AED - Difibulator \#3 (1 unit - 0605-156241)(Bus) | 2009 | 10 | \$1,395 | \$1,786 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banquet Tables - Round (12 tables) $72^{\prime \prime}$ (12) - $60^{\prime \prime}$ ( 8 ) | 2018 | 11 | \$4,644 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banquet Tables (18 tables) | 2013 | 9 | \$3,474 |  |  | \$4,339 |  |  |  |
|  | Bathroom Floor Tile (Downstairs) | 2005 | 20 | \$1,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,966 |
|  | Bathroom Floor Tile (Upstairs) (LB \$1700) | 2005 | 20 | \$1,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,786 |
|  | Benches (black in garden) | 2008 | 25 | \$9,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches (4 benches along bikepath in back) | 2018 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bike Racks (3) | 2008 | 15 | \$800 |  |  |  | \$1,159 |  |  |
|  | Bridge (East) | 1997 | 50 | \$45,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bridge (West) | 1987 | 50 | \$23,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chair Carts (3) | 2012 | 20 | \$2,340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chairs (207 folding chairs) | 2012 | 20 | \$4,585 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete 12" Banding (220 If) | 2005 | 30 | \$6,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walks (Drop off drive to Center Drive: 3,385 sf) | 2005 | 30 | \$26,580 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walks (Drop off drive to Playground \& Bike: 4,430 sf) | 2005 | 30 | \$26,580 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drinking Fountain | 2005 | 20 | \$6,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drinking Fountain Interior (upstairs) | 1983 | 37 |  |  | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drinking Fountain Interior (downstairs) | 1983 | 37 |  |  | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exterior Doors (Northwest/Double - \#6) | 1984 | 40 | \$5,000 |  |  |  |  | \$13,425 |  |
|  | Exterior Doors (Southwest/Foyer - \# _ ) | 2012 | 25 | \$9,310 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exterior Doors (West/Kitchen - \# ) | 2014 | 30 | \$1,175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Facsimile Machine (Brother 2940 Front Desk) | 2015 | 6 | \$272 | \$315 |  |  |  |  | \$315 |
|  | File Cabinets-Rec. Off. | 2005 | 30 | \$1,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flag Pole | 2005 | 25 | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Floor Wood (Multi 3) | 2005 | 30 | \$21,450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Floor Vinyl tiles (Oak Hickory - Kitchen \& Amin copy room) | 2005 |  |  |  | \$10,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Garden Fountain | 2008 | 20 | \$7,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Garden Sculpture | 2008 | 30 | \$5,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inflatable Movie Screen and Sound System | 2012 | 10 | \$10,483 |  |  | \$13,419 |  |  |  |
|  | Laminator | 2019 | 10 | \$2,719 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Marquee Daktroniks LED Components | 2012 | 10 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  |
|  | Marquee LED Sign (Full Replacement) | 2012 | 30 | \$49,075 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot \& Ped. Path Remove \& Resurface | 2005 | 25 | \$41,519 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Concrete Curbing ( 2,554 If) | 2005 | 25 | \$47,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Crack Fill | 2014 | 4 | \$500 |  | skip |  |  |  | \$552 |
|  | Parking Lot Driveway Entrance Apron | 2014 | 9 | \$2,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Resealing (6,062 SY) | 2018 | 4 | \$6,292 |  | skip |  |  |  | \$6,945 |
|  | Parking Lot Resurfacing (6,062 SY) | 2005 | 16 | \$16,500 |  | \$103,054 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path Seal - Entire Length(north and south of bridge to tunnel 1986 sy) | 2015 | 4 | \$2,217 |  |  |  | \$2,447 |  |  |
|  | Path North, Asphalt Remove \& Replace | 2003 | 32 | \$9,093 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path North, Asphalt Re-surface (Reg 16 Yr Int) | 2003 | 16 | \$8,444 | \$29,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path South, Bridge - Bridge Asphalt Remove \& Replace (1186 SY) | 1997 | 30 | \$8,181 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path South, Bridge - Bridge Asphalt Resurface (1186 SY) | 2017 | 20 | \$19,239 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path South, various patching ( 33 sayds) | 2014 | 10 | \$1,080 |  |  |  | \$1,382 |  |  |
|  | Path, North of Pond Concrete (\$5.50 / SF) | 2005 | 30 |  | \$25,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pavered Area (Driveable/P-Lot: $1,067 \mathrm{sf}$ ) | 2005 | 30 | \$23,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pavered Area (Enterance \& Garden: 993 sf) | 2005 | 30 | \$17,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip. Concrete Borders \& Ramps | 2005 | 30 | \$15,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip. Safety Surface (320 CY) | 2016 | 9 | \$14,543 |  |  |  |  | \$18,162 |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2005 | 20 | \$84,000 |  |  |  |  | \$137,644 |  |
|  | Pots (5) | 2008 | 20 | \$2,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Projection Screen - Board Room | 2005 | 15 | \$1,950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retaining Wall Modular Block (Bikepath) | 2005 | 30 | \$3,115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retaining Wall Modular Block (Playground) | 2005 | 30 | \$23,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retaining Wall Modular Block (Staff Entry / South Facade) | 2017 | 20 | \$14,792 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Roof Shingles | 2004 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Room Divider- Room 129/130 | 2005 | 30 | \$13,375 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Room Divider-Room 100/101 | 1984 | 40 | \$3,500 |  |  |  |  | \$9,398 |  |
|  | Room Divider-Room 200/201 | 1984 | 40 | \$5,000 |  |  |  |  | \$13,425 |  |
|  | Sound System - Oak/Hickory | 2008 | 15 | \$4,308 |  |  |  | \$6,239 |  |  |
|  | Stairwell Treads | 2020 | 15 | \$5,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stereo System - Maple Room | 2013 | 10 | \$1,500 |  |  |  | \$1,920 |  |  |
|  | Table Cart \#1 | 1984 | 40 | \$350 |  |  |  |  | \$940 |  |
|  | Table Carts \#2 | 1984 | 40 | \$350 |  |  |  |  | \$940 |  |
|  | Tile Floors throughout Building (Misc. Area Repairs) | 2017 | 20 | \$4,350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacles (6) | 2010 | 25 | \$3,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash/Recycle Receptacle (2) | 2008 | 20 | \$1,550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tumbling Mats (tumbling and crash - 20 mats) | 2014 | 10 | \$6,890 |  |  |  |  | \$8,820 |  |
|  | TV (flat screen) | 2011 | 13 | \$329 |  |  |  |  | \$454 |  |
| EP | ECHO POINT PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banner Poles (6) | 1998 | 15 | \$561 | \$6,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches (6) | 1998 | 25 | \$4,407 |  |  |  | \$8,170 |  |  |
|  | Bike Racks (2) | 1998 | 15 | \$380 | \$637 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bollards | 1996 | 30 | \$3,111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Curb Stops Purchase \& Install (29) | 1998 | 30 | \$1,015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walkway Replacement | 1996 | 30 | \$14,958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drinking Fountain Purchase Only | 1998 | 25 | \$1,685 |  |  |  | \$3,124 |  |  |
|  | Holey Boulder Retaining Wall | 1996 | 50 | \$16,416 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Horse Shoe Pits | 2020 | 20 | \$2,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overhead Path Lights/Poles/Electric (Double, 5) | 1998 | 30 | \$14,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overhead Path Lights/Poles/Electric (Single, 10) | 1998 | 30 | \$22,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | Last Year | Replacement | Replacement | Expense | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Replaced | Interval | Cost | Liabilities | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 |
|  | Park Shelter Purchase \& Installation | 1998 | 40 | \$32,620 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Park Shelter Roof Replacement | 2020 | 20 | \$34,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Park Shelter Wood Column Treatment Replacement | 1998 | 21 | \$4,800 | \$8,062 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot/Path Remove \& Resurface | 1998 | 32 | \$27,780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot/Path ReSeal | 2016 | 5 | \$4,070 |  | SKIP |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot/Path ReSurface ( $2,950 \mathrm{SY}$ ) | 1998 | 18 | \$18,883 |  | \$50,150 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Patio Paver (Walkway to Shelter) | 2004 | 30 | \$4,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Patio Pavers (between Shelter and Playground) | 1996 | 30 | \$2,040 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pionic Tables (14) | 1998 | 21 | \$9,457 | \$15,884 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Concrete Borders | 1996 | 32 | \$5,327 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2012 | 20 | \$92,341 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Safety Surface/Fabric | 2012 | 10 | \$7,744 |  |  | \$9,913 |  |  |  |
|  | Sand Volleyball Court Border | 1996 | 30 | \$4,376 |  | \$12,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sand Volleyball Court Fabric \& Sand | 1996 | 30 | \$5,573 |  | \$14,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sand Volleyball Court Standards | 1996 | 22 | \$907 | \$1,561 | \$75,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacles \& Coal Bins (8 \& 2) | 1998 | 25 | \$3,994 |  |  |  | \$7,405 |  |  |
| EW | EDGEWOOD SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Backstop Support Frame | 2007 | 28 | \$6,207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Backstop Fabric | 2007 | 17 | \$10,899 |  |  |  |  | \$16,584 |  |
|  | Basketball Pole/Backboard (replaced by SD 68) | 2014 | 25 | \$1,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2006 | 20 | \$70,755 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Safety Surface/Fabric (350 CY) | 2016 | 10 | \$16,852 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Timber Borders | 1991 | 35 | \$6,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | 83rd STREET PARKING LOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Seal, crack fill \& line stripe (2200 sy) | 2020 | 4 | \$5,708 |  |  |  |  | \$6,301 |  |
|  | Parking Lot Surface | 2017 | 16 | \$50,602 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR | FALCONRIDGE PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Additional Play Equipment ground level features | 2011 | 15 | \$5,100 |  |  | \$7,386 |  |  |  |
|  | Benches (3, $6^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\text {l }}$ ' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | 2011 | 20 | \$2,886 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete sidewalks and flatwork | 2011 | 30 | \$25,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fence Custom Split Rail (516 LF) | 2010 | 20 | \$14,500 | \$52,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Painting of Split Rail Fence | 2017 | 4 | \$231 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking lot Crack Fill and Line Strip | 2014 | 7 | \$271 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Remove \& Resurface | 1989 | 32 | \$10,541 | \$23,230 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Seal \& Line ( 1,140 SY) | 2014 | 7 | \$1,404 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Surface Overlay | 2005 | 16 | \$8,165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Picnic Tables (2) | 2011 | 20 | \$1,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Concrete Borders including ramps | 2011 | 30 | \$7,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Safety Surface/Fabric (175 CY) | 2011 | 6 | \$11,520 |  | \$9,450 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2002 | 25 | \$20,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacles (2) | 2011 | 20 | \$1,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FG | FOREST GLEN PARK \& TENNIS COURTS/P-LOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banner Poles (6) | 2008 | 20 | \$1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches (3) | 2008 | 25 | \$3,750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bike Racks (3) | 2008 | 25 | \$2,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Brick Paver Area (460 sf) | 2008 | 30 | \$5,520 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Playground Curb (380 LF) | 2008 | 30 | \$9,440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walks (all flatwork 8730 sf) | 2008 | 30 | \$43,655 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drinking Fountain | 2008 | 20 | \$6,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Driveway/Parking Lot Remove \& Resurface | 1989 | 40 | \$19,030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Driveway/Parking Lot Resurface ( $2,058 \mathrm{SY}$ ) | 2009 | 20 | \$34,836 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Driveway/Parking Lot Seal/Line (Regular 4 yr Intv) | 2018 | 4 | \$2,759 |  |  | \$3,045.42 |  |  |  |
|  | Fence Fabric \& Railings - Tennis | 2009 | 15 | \$21,655 | \$30,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fence Posts - Tennis | 2009 | 35 | \$15,070 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Formliner Raised Planting bed, Columns, and Precast | 2008 | 20 | \$120,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ornamental Fencing (96 LF) | 2008 | 35 | \$7,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overhead Lighting, Shelter Light, and Uplighting | 2008 | 30 | \$25,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Park Shelter w/ Footings | 2008 | 30 | \$36,180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Park Sign | 2008 | 15 | \$1,320 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Picnic Tables | 2008 | 20 | \$8,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playground Equipment - Including Swings (all on surface) | 2008 | 20 | \$114,890 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playground Poured-in-place Safety Surface (Full Replacement) | 2008 | 11 | \$115,500 | \$151,546 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playground Poured-in-place Safety Surface (Surface Replacement) | 2008 | 11 | \$46,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Port -a- John Blinder | 2008 | 15 | \$1,400 |  |  |  | \$2,028 |  |  |
|  | Precast wall cap repair work | 2016 |  | \$6,954 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Raised Water Feature System | 2008 | 15 | \$68,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tennis Court Light Fixtures \& Poles (6) | 2015 | 41 | \$103,595 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tennis Courts Recolor | 2015 | 6 | \$20,258 | \$23,493 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tennis Courts Re-surface | 2009 | 15 | \$42,663 |  |  |  |  | \$61,789 |  |
|  | Tennis Court Timber Retaining Wall | 1994 | 30 | \$15,000 |  |  |  |  | \$31,464 |  |
|  | Trash Receptacles (2) | 2008 | 25 | \$2,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FV | FOREST VIEW PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches (2) | 2004 | 25 | \$1,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walkways (880 sf) | 2004 | 32 | \$6,340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Modular Block Retaining Wall | 2004 | 16 | \$5,000 | \$7,423 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip. Safety Surface (113 CY) | 2016 | 8 | \$4,037 |  |  |  |  | \$4,919 |  |
|  | Play Equip.Concrete Borders (120 If) | 2004 | 32 | \$3,170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2004 | 20 | \$22,000 |  |  |  |  | \$36,050 |  |
|  | Trash Receptacle | 2004 | 25 | \$560 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR | GOODRICH SCHOOL PLAYGROUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Baseball Benches (2) | 2015 | 20 | \$1,411 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NE Baseball Field Backstop Frame | 1989 | 37 | \$12,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NE Baseball Field Fabric | 2007 | 19 | \$9,004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Safety Surface ( 429 CY) | 2016 | 10 | \$18,987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Timber Borders | 2006 | 20 | \$7,105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2006 | 20 | \$74,774 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Swings | 2010 | 16 | \$7,451 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HH | HAWTHORNE HILL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Drive Remove \& Resurface | 2017 | 32 | \$10,432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Drive Reseal (421 SY) | 2020 | 4 | \$2,140 |  |  |  | \$2,362 |  |  |
|  | Benches (2) with Backs | 2018 | 20 | \$2,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches (3) Backless | 2020 | 25 | \$4,521 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Culvert Replacement - North Creek (2020 Insurance Reimbursed) | 1993 | 40 | \$17,385 | \$50,000 | Insurance |  |  |  |  |
|  | Culvert Replacement - South Creek | 2006 | 16 | \$23,512 |  |  | \$34,904 |  |  |  |
|  | Path Asphalt Patch Repairs (Woodridge Drive) | 2014 |  | \$1,640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  |  | Last Year | Replacement | Replacement | Expense | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Replaced | Interval | Cost | Liabilities | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 |
|  | Tables Lunch Room (6) | 2019 | 12 | \$2,350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Telephone System (9 Phones) | 2016 | 19 | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Time Clock | 2013 | 8 | \$2,195 |  |  |  | \$2,674 |  |  |
|  | Time Clock Printer | 2013 | 8 | \$550 |  |  |  | \$670 |  |  |
|  | Video Recording System (security - 6 cameras) | 2018 | 15 | \$6,780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Washer \& Drying Machines | 2014 | 20 | \$1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Water Heater | 2003 | 25 | \$2,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ME | MISC. MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aerator - (Ryan Aerator) Core | 2014 | 15 | \$4,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aerator - Walkbehind 2002 | 2002 | 20 | \$3,700 |  |  | \$6,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Air Compressor - Speedair | 2017 | 15 | \$1,796 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Air Compressor - towable - Sullair 260 | 2016 | 20 | \$22,617 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ballield Groomer (Toro) | 2020 | 8 | \$18,955 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ballfield Groomer w/ water tank (smithco) | 2012 | 8 | \$18,000 | \$21,931 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banner Flags (70 total) | 2018 | 5 | \$6,900 |  |  |  | \$7,807 |  |  |
|  | Blower - Billy Goat walk behind | 2017 | 8 | \$1,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,315 |
|  | Brillion Seeder | 1994 | 18 | \$2,000 | \$3,715 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cab for \#156 Mower - 2012 Toro 360 | 2011 | 14 | \$8,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$11,586 |
|  | Chain Saw - 16" - Sthil 261 | 2018 | 7 | \$417 |  |  |  |  |  | \$496 |
|  | Chain Saw - Stihl MS201 | 2016 | 8 | \$460 |  |  |  |  | \$560 |  |
|  | Chain Saw - Stihl MS461M | 2016 | 8 | \$680 |  |  |  |  | \$829 |  |
|  | Drill Press | 1997 | 25 | \$700 |  |  | \$1,298 |  |  |  |
|  | Field Liner Machine - E Rock Walk Behind Combination | 2020 | 7 | \$8,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Field Liner Riding - E Rock | 2012 | 7 | \$14,495 | \$17,230 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fork Lift - used 1990 | 2005 | 10 | \$9,000 | \$17,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fuel Cabinets - 60 Gallon Falmmable Liquid Safety Storage (qty. 2) | 1999 | 25 |  |  |  |  | \$2,528 |  |  |
|  | Fuel Cabinet - 60 Gallon Falmmable Liquid Safety Storage (qty. 1) | 1999 | 25 |  | \$1,264 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gas Generator (Large)(EB6500) | 2012 | 15 | \$2,599 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gas Generator (Medium)(EB3000) | 2003 | 15 | \$1,590 | \$2,664 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gas Generator (Small - Honda)(EG1400X) | 1993 | 20 | \$1,000 | \$1,545 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gill | 1994 | 23 | \$1,250 | \$2,206 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Goal Set - Aluminum Adjustable for Janes Park | 2020 | 15 | \$2,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Goal Set - Aluminum Adjustable for Janes Park | 2010 | 15 | \$1,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,448 |
|  | Goal Sets-4.5' $\times 9^{\prime}(1)$ | 2008 | 20 | \$1,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Goal Sets-Full Size (2) $8^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ | 2007 | 20 | \$7,031 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Goal Sets-Full Size (2) $8^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ | 1995 | 18 | \$5,500 | \$9,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Goal Sets-Jr. Size (1) $7^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$ | 2004 | 20 | \$2,259 |  |  |  |  | \$3,702 |  |
|  | Goal Sets-Jr. Size (2) $61 / 2^{\prime} \times 181 / 2^{\prime}$ | 1995 | 15 | \$3,000 | \$3,850 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Goal Sets-Tot Size (2) (Portable) MICR0 $61 / 2^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ | 2011 | 25 | \$3,967 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Goal Sets-Tot Size (2) (Portable) MICRO $61 / 2^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ | 2010 | 25 | \$1,925 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hayrack - 2017 ( Based on 1.5\% Inflation) | 2017 | 20 | \$1,338 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hayrack - 2006( Based on 1.5\% Inflation) | 2006 | 20 | \$2,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hayrack - 2011 ( Based on 1.5\% Inflation) | 2011 | 20 | \$3,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hydroseeder - 2018 New Finn | 2018 | 15 | \$28,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ice Signs (31) | 2007 | 15 | \$13,430 |  |  | \$19,451 |  |  |  |
|  | Laser Level, Tripod, Sensor, \& Rod | 1997 | 30 | \$1,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lift - Boom - JLG Aerial Boom Lift | 2017 | 12 | \$25,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lift - Equipment Electric | 2003 | 20 | \$7,200 |  |  |  | \$11,798 |  |  |
|  | Lift - One Man - Genie AWP 20 | 2018 | 15 | \$8,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lift - Vehicle Electric | 2003 | 20 | \$7,000 |  |  |  | \$11,470 |  |  |
|  | Mower - 2004 Mott 88" | 2004 | 12 | \$2,802 | \$5,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mower - 2019 Toro 5900 (\#150) | 2019 | 11 | \$76,950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mower - 2019 Toro 3280 (\#156) / South Route | 2019 |  | \$26,050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mower - 2018 Toro 3280 (\#154) | 2018 | 7 | \$25,264 |  |  |  |  |  | \$30,031 |
|  | Mower - 2013 Toro 360 (\#151) - Cab Broom | 2013 | 7 | \$26,000 | \$27,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mower - 2013 Toro 4000 (\#149) | 2013 | 10 | \$49,371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mower - 2015 Toroz 7200 (\#155) w/ polar trac | 2015 | 7 | \$18,199 |  |  | \$21,633 |  |  |  |
|  | Mower - 2016 Toro 5900 (\#157) | 2016 | 9 | \$81,931 |  |  |  |  |  | \$102,321 |
|  | Mower - Billy Goat Brush Mower | 2016 | 8 | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  | \$3,655 |  |
|  | Mower - Walk behind brush 2016 | 2016 | 12 | \$2,930 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mower attachment - Brushcat Bobcat | 2016 | 10 | \$7,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oversseder - 2006 - Walk Behind slit seeder - RYAN | 2006 | 16 | \$1,600 |  |  | \$2,375 |  |  |  |
|  | Park Signs - powerwash and repaint | 2016 | 9 | \$9,195 |  |  |  |  |  | \$11,483 |
|  | Park Signs ( 34 total $=9 \mathrm{sm} ., 25 \mathrm{lg}$. | 2007 | 15 | \$44,038 |  |  | \$63,780 |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Remove \& Resurface | 2003 | 32 | \$48,580 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Seal (Regular 4 yr Interval) (3,470sy) | 2016 | 6 | \$4,238 |  |  | \$4,915 | SKIP |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Surface Overlay | 2003 | 20 | \$20,000 |  |  |  | \$32,772 |  |  |
|  | Plow - 1981 (3btm) | 1981 | 26 | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Portable Marquee Signs (3) | 2001 | 15 | \$1,900 | \$2,752 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Power Washer (wash bay) | 2013 | 10 | \$3,600 | \$4,608 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Power Washer - Small Portable | 2015 | 5 | \$500 | \$566 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Radio - Portables (10@ Maintenance / 3 @ CC / 3 @ ARC) | 2019 | 10 | \$6,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rake - 1977 York (Mod R.E.) | 1999 | 25 | \$3,955 |  |  |  |  | \$7,332 |  |
|  | Rototiller (Honda) FA800 | 2004 | 9 | \$1,700 | \$2,317 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rotovator | 2000 | 15 | \$3,010 | \$4,359 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rubber Hose - $1200 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{l}^{10}$ | 2007 | 13 | \$2,190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Salt Spreader - 2014 Snow X (Model \#7550) | 2014 | 9 | \$4,500 |  |  |  | \$5,620 |  |  |
|  | Salt Spreader - Hitchmount 2010 | 2010 | 10 | \$2,800 | \$3,584 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Saw - Compound Mitre | 2004 | 20 | \$300 |  |  |  |  | \$492 |  |
|  | Saw - Metal Band | 2007 | 25 | \$980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Saw - Radial Arm | 1997 | 20 | \$900 | \$1,475 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Saw - Table | 2003 | 20 | \$900 |  |  |  | \$1,475 |  |  |
|  | Saw - Wood Band | 2015 | 25 | \$1,199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Saw - Clearing saw / PDNRM | 2016 | 8 | \$1,200 |  |  |  |  | \$1,462 |  |
|  | Saw - Nursery Jaws / PDNRM | 2016 | 15 | \$4,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Seed Spreader Lily | 2003 | 10 | \$2,400 | \$6,532 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Skidsteer - Bobcat Brushcat Rough Cut Attachment | 2017 | 20 | \$7,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Skidsteer Bobcat Auger | 1995 | 30 | \$1,475 |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,094 |
|  | Skidsteer Bobcat T595 T4 | 2018 | 15 | \$48,962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Skidsteer - stump grinder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Skidsteer Plow | 2011 | 20 | \$5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Skidsteer Trencher | 2011 | 20 | \$3,982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Slit Seeder - Ryan (Walkl Behind) | 2006 | 14 | \$4,200 | \$5,934 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Snow Blower Walkbehind Husquarna (08915M000282) | 2016 | 10 | \$480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Snow Blower Walkbehind Husqvarna 924HV (112811M004972) | 2014 | 10 | \$800 |  |  |  |  | \$1,024 |  |
|  | Snow Blower Walkbehind small (serial \# 025946) Airens | 2018 |  | \$860 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Snow Blower Walkbehind small (serial \# 310000258) | 2008 | 9 | \$600 | \$749 |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | Last Year | Replacement | Replacement | Expense | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Replaced | Interval | Cost | Liabilities | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 |
|  | Sod Cutter - Ryan | 2012 | 15 | \$3,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sprayer - 300 Gallon | 2005 | 18 | \$8,000 |  |  |  | \$12,477 |  |  |
|  | Stereo System | 2006 | 25 | \$200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tennis Wind Screens (For GI, Hob, Westminster) | 2020 | 4 | \$6,321 |  |  |  |  | \$2,900 |  |
|  | Tire Machine | 2003 | 20 | \$3,177 |  |  |  | \$5,206 |  |  |
|  | US General Tool Cabinet - 56" Top and Bottom | 2019 | 30 | \$1,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Topdresser (w/ Tow Chassis, Fender Kit, Hydraulic Power Pack, Hopper Extension) | 2020 | 20 | \$15,440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tractor - New Holland 55 (\#140) | 2004 | 20 | \$18,000 |  |  |  |  | \$26,069 |  |
|  | Tractor - New Holland T 4.75 (\#142) with Cab | 2012 | 15 | \$31,155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tractor - TN65 (\#143) | 2002 | 20 | \$16,564 |  |  | \$28,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Tractor - Ventrac 4500 - finish and rough mower and vacuum | 2018 | 10 | \$36,985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tractor - Ventrac 4500 - blower, cab, broom | 2018 | 10 | \$16,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tractor w/ Bucket - 2015 Kubota (\#141) with Backhoe | 2015 | 15 | \$39,732 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trailer-22 ft (Black) North Route | 2012 | 10 | \$2,100 |  |  | \$2,688 |  |  |  |
|  | Trailer - PJ 14ft (Black) Line Machine trailer | 2019 | 10 | \$2,089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trailer - Single Axle SRT | 2014 | 10 | \$1,500 |  |  |  |  | \$1,920 |  |
|  | Trailer 7 Ton Deckover Tilt (Bobcat) | 2019 | 15 | \$8,135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transit w/Tripod \& Rod | 1977 | 43 | \$1,550 | \$4,482 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Pump - 2 inch | 2021 | 20 | \$1,185 |  |  |  | \$1,942 |  |  |
|  | Tripod - confined space system | 2020 | 10 | \$2,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vermer BC1000 Brush Chipper | 2016 | 15 | \$35,257 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Waste Oil Tank 300 Gallon | 2003 | 20 | \$2,000 |  |  |  | \$3,277 |  |  |
|  | Water Reel | 2011 | 15 | \$12,840 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Water Reel | 2011 | 15 | \$12,840 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Water Reel | 2001 | 16 | \$6,475 | \$11,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Welder Arc | 1997 | 20 | \$995 | \$1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MV | MAINTENANCE VEHICLES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boat (Small Pond) | 2016 | 20 | \$852 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bus Passenger | 2020 | 11 | \$89,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bus Passenger | 2013 | 10 | \$45,000 |  |  |  | \$57,604 |  |  |
|  | Club Car | 2016 | 8 | \$7,399 |  |  |  |  | \$9,015 |  |
|  | Club Car | 2016 | 8 | \$7,399 |  |  |  |  | \$9,015 |  |
|  | Club Car/Utility | 2018 | 8 | \$11,056 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Club Car/Utility - Kubota RTV×900 | 2015 | 10 | \$13,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$17,281 |
|  | Dump Truck 1-Ton Flipside (Water Tank) 132 | 2007 | 16 | \$25,000 |  |  |  | \$37,113 |  |  |
|  | Dump Truck / 1-Ton (Showwagon) - F-550 134 Ext. Cab | 2008 | 12 | \$33,108 | \$56,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dump Truck / 1.5-Ton w/ Flip Side (Sports Flds. \& Turf Crews) 130 | 2012 | 10 | \$37,286 |  |  | \$47,729 |  |  |  |
|  | Dump Truck 4x4 w/ Extended Cab / 1-Ton (Nat. Res. Manager) 135 | 2016 | 10 | \$39,284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Garbage Truck | 2020 | 8 | \$94,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pickup Truck 4×4 w/ Crew Cab (North Route Mowing Crew) 136 | 2012 | 12 | \$21,874 |  |  |  | \$29,418 |  |  |
|  | Pickup Truck 4×4 w/ Crew Cab \& Lift Gate (Support Crew) 133 | 2016 | 10 | \$28,089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pickup Truck $4 \times 4 \mathrm{w} /$ Plow / 3/4-Ton (Athletic Field Cr) 124 F250 | 2010 | 11 | \$29,754 |  | \$39,040 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pickup Truck 4×4 w/ Plow (Fleet Mechanic Crew) 137 F250 | 2010 | 10 | \$26,041 | \$34,168 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pickup Truck w/ Crew Cab \& Lift Gate (Turf Specialist Crew) 129 | 2012 | 10 | \$21,624 |  |  | \$27,681 |  |  |  |
|  | Pickup Truck $4 \times 4$ (Maintenance Supervisor) 123 | 2017 | 10 | \$22,948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pickup Truck 4×4 w/ Plow (Bldg. Specialist Crew \& ARC Plowing) 116 | 2016 | 10 | \$32,729 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trailer Storage / Recreation Special Events | 2013 | 15 | \$3,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Show Wagon / 28' Long (27\% of cost WPD / Shared w/ NPD) | 2009 | 25 | \$26,290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Van / Cargo (Trades Crew) | 2013 | 10 | \$21,131 |  |  |  | \$27,049 |  |  |
| MW | MENDING WALL PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Remove \& Resurfacing | 1993 | 35 | \$10,133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Resurfacing | 2010 | 20 | \$24,359 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Sealcoating (955 sy) | 2018 | 4 | \$1,145 |  |  | \$1,264 |  |  |  |
|  | Banner Poles (6) | 1993 | 20 | \$900 | \$6,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches (8) | 2020 | 25 | \$9,782 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bollards | 2018 | 20 | \$5,650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Pad for Creek Overlook | 1993 | 35 | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Playground Border Walls | 1993 | 35 | \$10,275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walks | 1993 | 35 | \$13,650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New Trash Receptacles (4) | 2008 | 20 | \$2,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Bridge \& Abutments | 1993 | 35 | \$7,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip. Elem. | 2008 | 20 | \$64,262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip. Tot | 2008 | 20 | \$59,367 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Railing System | 1993 | 30 | \$13,195 |  |  |  | \$27,677 |  |  |
|  | Safety Surface (521 CY) | 2018 | 10 | \$23,313 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Swing Set and Climber | 2008 | 20 | \$5,030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacles (3) | 2008 | 20 | \$2,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MA | Miscellaneous Path/sidewalk Repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Patching | 2020 |  | \$25,640 | \$30,000 | \$30,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
|  | Concrete Repairs | 2018 |  | \$4,420 | \$12,000 | \$12,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
| MB | MISCELLANEOUS BIKEWAYS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Audubon Path Reseal (430 sy) | 2020 | 4 | \$1,270 |  |  |  | \$1,402 |  |  |
|  | Audubon Path Resurface | 2002 | 20 | \$5,160 |  |  | \$8,455 |  |  |  |
|  | Hawthorne Hill Woods (Woodridge - 71st) Reseal (1,915 sy) | 2015 | 5 | \$2,311 | \$2,615 |  |  |  |  | \$2,615 |
|  | Hawthorne Hill Woods (Woodridge - 71st) Resurf | 2003 | 20 | \$46,925 |  |  |  | \$76,892 |  |  |
|  | Janeswood/Meadowview Path Reseal (1059 sy) | 2020 | 4 | \$2,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Janeswood/Meadowview Path Resurface | 1998 | 25 | \$7,866 |  |  |  | \$14,583 |  |  |
|  | Janeswood(Yellowstar) to Meadowview Path (resurface) | 2015 | 16 | \$5,562 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Janeswood/Small Bridge Path Reseal (763 sy) | 2018 | 4 | \$1,024 |  |  | \$1,175 |  |  |  |
|  | Janeswood/Small Bridge Path Resurface | 2011 | 20 | \$13,620 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Janeswood/Small Bridge - Bridge Rplcmnt | 2014 | 30 | \$5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Woodridge/Meadowview Path Reseal (1,300sy) | 2018 |  | \$1,494 |  |  | \$1,714 |  |  |  |
|  | Woodridge/Meadowview Path Resurface | 2011 | 21 | \$19,745 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ComEd (63rd to 71st) Path Reseal ( 7,333 SY) | 2015 | 5 | \$7,451 | \$8,430 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ComEd (63rd to 71st) Path Resurface | 2000 | 20 | \$82,496 |  |  |  |  | \$135,180 |  |
|  | Parkside Drive (W. of Summerhill Reseal (1,280 SY) VIL | 1997 | 5 | \$1,621 | VIL |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parkside Drive (W. Summerhill) Resurface ( 1,280 SY) VIL | 1997 | 20 | \$9,225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 63 rd Street Park Reseal ( 2,700 SY) - includes west path | 2012 | 5 | \$3,931 |  |  | \$4,448 |  |  |  |
|  | 63 rd Street Park Resurface ( $2,700 \mathrm{SY}$ ) - includes west path | 2017 | 20 | \$26,772 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Willow Creek School Reseal ( $1,303 \mathrm{SY}$ ) | 2012 | 5 | \$2,743 |  |  | \$3,103 |  |  |  |
|  | Willowcreek School Resurface (1,303 SY) | 2017 | 20 | \$17,051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBL | MISCELLANEOUS BLEACHERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 63rd Street Baseball (\#36,37 $=3$ row, 9 ty $2=$ good) | 2016 | 35 | \$2,320 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cypress Pool (\#18 = 4 row, qaty 1 -fair) | 1997 | 40 | \$450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Edgewood Baseball (\#40 = 3 row, aty l=fair) | 1981 | 42 | \$522 | \$2,278 |  |  | \$1,471 |  |  |
|  | Falconridge Soccer (\#17 = 3 row, qty l=good) | 1983 | 40 | \$1,043 |  |  |  | \$2,801 |  |  |


|  |  | Last Year | Replacement | Replacement | Expense | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Replaced | Interval | Cost | Liabilities | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 |
|  | Goodrich Baseball NE (\#38 = 3 row, qty l=good) | 1981 | 42 | \$522 |  |  |  | \$1,471 |  |  |
|  | Goodrich Baseball SW (\#39 = 3 row, qty $1=$ good | 1981 | 42 | \$522 |  |  |  | \$1,471 |  |  |
|  | Janes Baseball \#1 (\#21-24 = 4 row, qty 4=good) | 2003 | 40 | \$5,880 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Janes Baseball \#2 (\#25-28 = 4 row, qty 4=good) | 2003 | 40 | \$5,880 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Janes Soccer (\#19,20,48 = 3 row, qty 3=good) | 1996 | 40 | \$762 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jefferson Baseball NE (\#29,30 $=3$ row, qty $2=$ good) | 1981 | 42 | \$1,043 |  |  |  | \$2,942 |  |  |
|  | Jefferson Baseball NW (\#32 $=3$ row, qty l=good) | 1981 | 42 | \$522 |  |  |  | \$1,471 |  |  |
|  | Jefferson Baseball SW (\#31 = 3 row, qty l=good) | 1981 | 42 | \$522 |  |  |  | \$1,471 |  |  |
|  | Jefferson Football/Maint. Shop (\#43,50 = 4 row, qty $2=$ good) | 1981 | 42 | \$1,343 |  |  |  | \$3,789 |  |  |
|  | Maintenance Shop (\#44-49 $=3$ row, qty $6=$ good) | 1981 | 42 | \$522 |  |  |  | \$1,471 |  |  |
|  | Meadowview Baseball (\#34,35 $=3$ row, qty $2=$ good) | 1981 | 42 | \$1,043 |  |  |  | \$2,942 |  |  |
|  | Orchard Baseball (\#5-16 $=4$ row, qaty $12=$ good) | 2003 | 40 | \$5,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Orchard Soccer \#1 (\#1 = 3 row, qty 1 = good) | 2003 | 40 | \$800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Orchard Soccer \#2 (\#2 = 3 row, qty 1 = good) | 2003 | 40 | \$800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Orchard Soccer \#3 (\#3 = 3 row, qty 1 = good) | 2003 | 40 | \$800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Orchard Soccer \#4 (\#4 = 3 row, qty $1=$ good) | 2003 | 40 | \$800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sipley Baseball (\#41, $42=3$ row, qty $2=$ good) | 1981 | 42 | \$1,043 |  |  |  | \$2,942 |  |  |
|  | Sunnydale Soccer (\#33 = 3 row, qaty $1=$ good) | 1995 | 40 | \$400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MV | MEADOWVIEW SCHOOL PLAYGROUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Baseball Field Backstop | 1989 | 37 | \$10,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Baseball Field Fence Fabric | 2007 | 19 | \$9,004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path (Concrete) (Nicor Path to Hobson Hill) | 2018 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Safety Surface - lower climber/swings (245 CY) | 2006 | 10 | \$10,378 |  | \$13,285 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Safety Surface - Upper composite playground (150 CY) | 2016 | 10 | \$2,508 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Timber Borders (west) | 1990 | 36 | \$2,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Timber Borders (east) | 1990 | 32 | \$3,555 |  | \$7,834 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation (east) | 2006 | 15 | \$32,925 |  | \$47,685 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation (west) | 2006 | 20 | \$54,430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OH | ORCHARD HILL PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Backstop Fabric - 3 Fields | 2003 | 20 | \$5,913 |  |  |  | \$9,689 |  |  |
|  | Backstop Support Posts/Railings - 3 Fields | 2003 | 30 | \$30,726 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Baseball Benches (12 @ 15' Long) | 2003 | 20 | \$5,388 |  |  |  | \$8,829 |  |  |
|  | Concrete Flatwork (19,304 SF) | 2003 | 30 | \$74,320 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drinking Fountain | 2012 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dugout - Beam Replacement | 2015 | 20 | \$2,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dugout Shelters (No Masonry Work / Wood Panels) (6) | 2003 | 30 | \$81,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Electrical System for Sports Lighting | 2011 | 40 | \$160,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Foul ball overhead netting (20' sections) | 2009 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Irrigation - Baseball Fields | 2011 | 25 | \$32,407 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Irrigation - control panel | 2011 | 25 | \$3,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Irrigation - well pump station | 2011 | 11 | \$17,403 |  |  | \$22,834 |  |  |  |
|  | Irrigation - wet well | 2011 | 35 | 27,116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Irrigation - Foot valve irrigation | 2011 | 10 |  |  | \$5,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Irrigation - 2 pumps housed in pump station | 2011 | 11 |  |  |  | \$5,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Light Fixtures/Poles - Baseball,(14) and Soccer,(6) | 2011 | 40 | \$470,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Light Fixtures/Poles - Parking (6) | 2011 | 30 | \$9,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outfield Fence Fabric - ( 1,418 LF), 9 Guage - 3 Fields | 2003 | 20 | \$5,672 |  |  |  | \$9,294 |  |  |
|  | Outfield Fence Posts/Railings - 3 Fields | 2003 | 30 | \$23,184 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Park Shelter | 2012 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Barrier Curbs - 2015 SW Lot Addition | 2014 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Barrier Curbs - South (Baseball) (3669 LF) | 2003 | 32 | \$49,348 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Barrier Curbs Remove \& Replace - 1997 / 2002, ( $25 \%$ to fund 11) | 1997 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | \$13,725 |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Patching - 2015 SW Lot Addition | 2014 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Remove \& Resurface - 1997 / 2002 (25\% to fund 11) | 1997 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | \$13,725 |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Remove \& Resurface - 2015 SW Lot Addition | 2014 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Remove \& Resurface - South (Baseball) | 2003 | 32 | \$112,560 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Reseal \& Line - 1997 / 2002 / 2015 (100\% to fund 11) | 2018 | 6 | \$62,046 |  |  |  |  | \$71,954 |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Reseal \& Line - 2015 SW Lot Addition w/ Maint Cold Stor- | 2018 | 6 | Note |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Reseal \& Line - South (Baseball) (8,040 sy) | 2016 | 6 | \$9,127 |  |  | \$10,585 |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Resurface Overaly - 2015 SW Lot Addition | 2014 | 10 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Resurface Overlay - 1997 \& 2002 | 1997 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot / Resurface Overlay - South (Baseball) | 2003 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |  |
|  | Parking Lot / - South (Baseball) - crackfill and linestripe |  |  |  | \$4,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Patching - 1997 / 2002 (100\% fund 11) | 2016 | 4 | \$2,143 |  |  |  | \$2,365 |  |  |
|  | Path (83rd to Cypress Drive)- Reseal | 2020 | 4 | \$1,721 |  |  |  | \$1,900 |  |  |
|  | Path (83rd to Cypress Drive)- Resurface ( 550 SY ) | 1996 | 25 | \$760 |  | \$9,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path (Soccer Fields) Remove and Replace | 2010 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path (Soccer Fields) Reseal (instead of asphalt rejuv) | 2016 | 4 | \$424 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path (Soccer Fields) Resurface | 2010 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Planter Barrier Curbs (274 LF) | 2003 | 32 | \$3,987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sideline Fabric - 3 Fields, 6 Guage, (420 LF) | 2003 | 20 | \$2,520 |  |  |  | \$4,129 |  |  |
|  | Sideline Posts/Railings - 3 Fields | 2003 | 30 | \$8,799 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Storage Building - Roof | 2012 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Storage Building - Siding | 2011 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacles (8) | 2003 | 20 | \$3,200 |  |  |  | \$5,244 |  |  |
| SB | SEVEN BRIDGES PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Crack Fill only | 2020 | 4 | \$530 |  |  |  | \$585 |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Remove \& Resurfacing | 1995 | 32 | \$9,616 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Resealing (846 sy not inc. H.O.A.) | 2020 | 4 | \$1,600 |  |  |  | \$1,766 |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Resurfacing (Tack \& 1" Surface) | 2015 | 18 | \$11,668 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banner Poles (6) | 1995 | 15 | \$900 | \$6,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basketball Court Standards | 1995 | 30 | \$2,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basketball Ct. Recolor Court | 2020 | 5 | \$5,840 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basketball Resurface Court | 1995 | 30 | \$3,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,132 |
|  | Benches w/ Backrest (6) | 1995 | 30 | \$3,516 |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,375 |
|  | Benches w/out Backrests (2) | 1995 | 30 | \$1,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,098 |
|  | Bollard Light Concrete Piers \& Electrical | 1995 | 30 | \$18,175 |  |  |  |  |  | \$38,123 |
|  | Bollard Lights (18) Fixtures Only | 1995 | 30 | \$10,914 |  |  |  |  |  | \$22,893 |
|  | Bollards Concrete (26) \& Concrete Piers | 1995 | 30 | \$11,050 |  |  |  |  |  | \$23,178 |
|  | Concrete Plaza Areas | 1995 | 30 | \$1,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,776 |
|  | Concrete Walks | 1995 | 30 | \$9,304 |  |  |  |  |  | \$19,516 |
|  | Drinking Fountain | 1995 | 30 | \$1,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,146 |
|  | Park Shelter | 1995 | 30 | \$18,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,756 |
|  | Park Shelter Concrete Pad Replacement | 1995 | 30 | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,293 |


|  |  | Last Year | Replacement | Replacement | Expense | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Replaced | Interval | Cost | Liabilities | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 | Fund 11 |
|  | Park Shelter Roof Replacement | 2009 | 30 | \$9,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pavered Areas | 1995 | 30 | \$19,280 |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,441 |
|  | Pedestrain Bridge East Abutments | 1995 | 40 | \$8,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Bridge East Replacement | 1995 | 40 | \$12,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Bridge West Abutments | 1995 | 40 | \$8,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Bridge West Replacement | 1995 | 40 | \$10,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Picnic Tables (4) | 1995 | 25 | \$1,600 | \$2,966 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip. Safety Surface (259 CY) | 2015 | 10 | \$13,800 |  |  | \$17,665 |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Concrete Borders | 1995 | 32 | \$4,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation (Rplcd. Fire) | 2012 | 20 | \$92,445 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Railing System | 1995 | 30 | \$8,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$17,829 |
|  | Tennis Court Recolor | 2020 | 5 | \$6,930 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tennis Court Resurface | 1995 | 30 | \$4,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$12,350 |
|  | Tennis Ct. Fence Fabric | 1995 | 30 | \$3,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,104 |
|  | Tennis Ct. Fence Posts \& Railings | 1995 | 30 | \$9,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,261 |
|  | Tennis Ct. Net Posts (No Footings Incl.) | 1995 | 30 | \$500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,403 |
|  | Trash Receptacles (6) | 1995 | 30 | \$2,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,034 |
| SS | SIPLEY SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Backboards \& Post (2) | 2016 | 30 | \$4,882 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Backstop Fabric | 2018 | 16 | \$10,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Backstop Frame | 2000 | 30 | \$7,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basketball Court Colorcoat (Regular 6 yr Intv) | 2020 | 8 | \$5,530 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basketball Court ReSurface | 2005 | 20 | \$7,020 |  |  |  |  |  | \$11,503 |
|  | Concrete Borders surrounding playground and swings | 2011 | 30 | \$3,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip. Safety Surface | 2016 | 10 | \$19,078 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2016 | 20 | \$63,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safety Surface surrounding swings ( 85 CY ) | 2011 | 16 | \$2,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Swing Set (4-bay, 6 belt, 1 ADA) and 7 Mats | 2011 | 20 | \$9,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ST | 63RD STREET PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Backstop Fabric | 2016 | 12 | \$7,516 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Backstop Frame, Posts \& Rails | 2016 | 34 | \$10,711 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basketball Court Seal \& Recolor East (1) | 2016 | 10 | \$4,686 |  |  |  | \$5,998 |  |  |
|  | Basketball Court Seal \& Recolor West (1) | 2016 | 10 | \$4,686 |  |  |  | \$5,998 |  |  |
|  | Basketball Court Surface East (1) | 1998 | 25 | \$7,864 |  |  |  | \$14,579 |  |  |
|  | Basketball Court Surface Patching East (1) | 2013 |  | \$1,620 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basketball Court Surface Patching West(1) | 2013 |  | \$4,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basketball Court Surface West (1) | 1998 | 25 | \$7,864 |  |  |  | \$14,579 |  |  |
|  | Basketball Post/Backboard East (2) | 2013 | 35 | \$4,285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basketball Post/Backboard West (2) | 2013 | 35 | \$3,885 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches (recycled) | 2005 | 20 | \$2,850 |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,670 |
|  | Bridge Frame (School District \#68 Owner \& Responsibility) | 1978 | 50 | \$23,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crack fill and line stripe only | 2009 | 4 | \$500 | \$552 |  |  |  | \$552 |  |
|  | Dugout/Foul Line Fabric | 2016 | 12 | \$6,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dugout/Foul Line Posts \& Rails | 2016 | 25 | \$18,451 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outfield Fence Fabric | 2007 | 15 | \$4,361 |  |  | \$6,316 |  |  |  |
|  | Outfield Fence Posts \& Rails | 1986 | 36 | \$3,385 |  |  | \$8,234 |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Asphalt Remove \& Replace (\$12/SY) | 2020 | 30 | \$26,820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Concrete Curbing | 2020 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Asphalt Resurface | 2020 | 15 | \$16,870 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Asphalt Seal \& Line ( $2,235 \mathrm{SY}$ ) | 2016 | 4 | \$2,621 |  |  |  |  | \$2,893 |  |
|  | Play Equip.Modular Block Borders, ramps, path and seating area | 1991 | 36 |  |  | \$30,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Swingset removal and replacement | 1991 |  |  |  | \$12,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2007 | 20 | \$80,016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Safety Surface ( 333 CY ) | 2018 | 10 | \$14,150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Storm sewer (Village Drainage Easement) | 2018 | 10 | \$33,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | West Side Path Seal and Surface (included with main path) | 2016 | 6 | \$182 |  |  | \$211 |  |  |  |
|  | West Side Path Surface | 2010 | 16 | \$3,035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SU | SOMERSET PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches (2) | 2011 | 20 | \$ 1,908 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walks (362 sy) | 2011 | 30 | \$ 18,125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Park Shelter including concrete pad (470 sqft pad) | 2011 | 30 | \$ 38,540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Picnic Tables (2) | 2011 | 20 | \$1,730 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip. Safety Surface (427 syd) | 2011 | 10 | \$9,350 |  | \$11,969 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation (including swings) | 2011 | 20 | \$63,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playground Curbing | 2011 | 30 | \$ 7,175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash/recycling Receptacle (1) | 2011 | 20 | \$975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SU | SUMMERHILL PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banner Poles(6) | 1992 | 25 | \$900 | \$6,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches w/ Backs (5) | 1992 | 28 | \$2,930 | \$6,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches w/out Back Supports (2) | 1992 | 28 | \$1,000 | \$2,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bollards (25) | 1992 | 11 | \$2,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overlook Concrete Bench Pad Area |  |  |  | \$20,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bridge | 1992 | 40 | \$23,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete Walks | 1992 | 35 | \$10,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path Asphalt Remove \& Replace | 1992 | 32 | \$7,894 |  |  |  |  | \$17,396 |  |
|  | Path Asphalt Resurface | 2010 | 16 | \$12,546 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Path Seal \& Striping (770 sy) | 2018 | 4 | \$1,265 |  |  | \$1,396 |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip. Safety Surface (279 CY) | 2016 | 11 | \$12,833 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equip.Modular Block Borders (264 SF) | 1992 | 30 | \$5,280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Play Equipment Purchase \& Installation | 2007 | 20 | \$96,747 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Railing | 1992 | 30 | \$17,000 |  |  | \$35,659 |  |  |  |
|  | Retaining Wall (Modular Block / Playground Perimeter) | 1992 | 30 | ? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sand Volleyball Court Border/Safety Surf. | 1992 | 30 | \$10,000 |  |  | \$20,976 |  |  |  |
|  | Split Rail Fence | 1995 | 30 | \$6,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$13,005 |
|  | Trash Receptacle (3) | 1992 | 20 | \$1,200 | \$1,800 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD | SUNNY DALE PARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Remove \& Resurface | 1995 | 32 | \$16,095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Resealing (1,416 sy) | 2016 | 4 | \$1,302 | \$1,437 |  |  |  | \$1,437 |  |
|  | Asphalt Path Resurfacing (Tack \& 1" Surface) | 2011 | 16 | \$24,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banner Poles (6) | 1995 | 20 | \$900 | \$6,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benches - w/ Backrest (9) | 2020 | 25 | \$11,665 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bollard Light Concrete Piers \& Electrical | 1995 | 30 | \$22,550 |  |  |  |  |  | \$47,300 |
|  | Bollard Lights (25) | 1995 | 30 | \$15,158 |  |  |  |  |  | \$31,795 |
|  | Concrete Plaza Area | 1995 | 30 | \$17,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$36,707 |
|  | Concrete Step from Parking Area/Iron Railing | 1995 | 30 | \$5,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,488 |
|  | Drinking Fountain | 1995 | 30 | \$1,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,146 |



## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX U

(ACRP)



|  |  |  |  | Last Yr | Rplcmnt | Rplcmnt | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Quantity | Manufacturer/Contractor | Replaced | Interval | Cost | FUND 07 | FUND 07 | FUND 07 | FUND 07 | FUND 07 |
|  | Lawn Mower (Walkbehind) | 1 | Sears | 1996 | DNR | \$ 275 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Power Washer | 1 | Sears | 2016 | 10 | \$ 1,835 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pick Up Truck - Ford 150 \#122 | 1 | Ford | 2006 | 15 | \$ 11,514 |  |  | \$19,290 |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { PH }}{ }$ | PUMP HOUSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | West Open Flume Slide Motor \#1-7.5HP - Rebuild | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | West Open Flume Slide Pump \#1-6x12-Rebuild | 1 | Thomas Pump | 2016 | 12 | \$ 1,081 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | West Open Flume Slide Motor \#1 - 7.5HP - Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | West Open Flume Slide Pump \#1 - $6 \times 12$ - Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2006 | 15 | \$ 6,228 |  | \$10,434 |  |  |  |
|  | East Open Flume Slide Motor \#2-7.5 HP - Rebuild | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | East Open Flume Slide Pump \#2 -6x12-Rebuild | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2016 | 12 | \$ 1,081 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | East Open Flume Slide Motor \#2 - 7.5HP - Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | East Open Body Flume Slide Pump \#2-6x12-Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Enclosed Flume Slide Motor \#3-7.5HP - Rebuild | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Enclosed Flume Slide Pump \#3-6x12-Rebuild | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Enclosed Flume Slide Motor \#3-7.54P - Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Enclosed Flume Slide Pump \#3-6x12-Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Water Tube Slide Motor \#2-40HP - Rebuild | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Water Tube Slide Pump \#2 -8x12 - Rebuild | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Water Tube Slide Motor \#2-40HP - Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 12 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Water Tube Slide Pump \#2-8x12-Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2018 | 12 | \$ 11,861 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cedar Siding Re-Painting | N/A | Oosterban | 2013 | 10 | 800 |  |  |  | \$1,128 |  |
|  | Cedar Siding Replacement | N/A | Edvin Anderson | 1996 | 30 | Estimate in Route |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Recaulk Cedar Siding | N/A | In House As Needed | 2011 | 10 | 700 |  | \$987 |  |  |  |
|  | Restain Ceiling Roof overhand \& Window Trim | N/A | In House As Needed | 2011 | 10 | \$ 600 |  | \$846 |  |  |  |
|  | Re-shingle \& Flashing on Roof | N/A | Seal Tight | 1996 | 30 | Resaarching |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cedar Railing | N/A | Custom | 1996 | 30 | Researching |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{\text { FBE }}$ | FILTER BUILDING (EXTERIOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wall Mounted Light Standards |  | Abolite | 1996 | 30 | Researching |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ceiling Mounted Light Standards |  | Edwin Anderson | 1996 | 30 | Researching |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cedar Siding Replacement | N/A | Edvin Anderson | 1996 | 30 | Estimate in Route |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cedar Siding Re-Staining (Repainted w/Solid Stain in 2014) | N/A | Oosterban | 2014 | 8 | \$ 8,870 |  |  |  | \$11,680 |  |
|  | Re-shingle \& Flashing on Roof | N/A | Seal Tight | 1996 | 30 | Researching |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Recaulk Cedar Siding (Solid Stain) | N/A | 0osterban | 2014 | 10 | \$ 5,514 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restain Ceiling Roof Overhand \& Window Trim | N/A | Oosterban | 2014 | 10 | \$ 3,356 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cedar Railing | N/A | Custom | 1996 | 30 | Researching |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exhaust Stalks | 2 | Investigating | 1996 | 25 | \$ 5,000 |  | \$11,816 |  |  |  |
|  | Garage Door Replacement | 1 | N/A | 1996 | 28 | 1,425 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FBI | FILTER BUILDING (INTERIOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main Pool Motor \#1-40 HP - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Thomas Pump | 2009 | 13 | 2,870 |  |  | \$4,489 |  |  |
|  | Main Pool Pump \#1-8x12 - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Layne/Vertiline 14EM-1 Stage | 2009 | 24 | \$ 9,518 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main Pool Motor \#2-40 Hp - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Thomas Pump | 2009 | 13 | \$ 2,870 |  |  | \$4,489 |  |  |
|  | Main Pool Pump \#2-8x12-Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Layne/Vertiline 14EM-1 Stage | 2009 | 13 | 9,518 |  |  | \$14,886 |  |  |
|  | Main Pool Play Feature Motor \#3-10HP - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 14 | \$ 13,799 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main Pool Play Feature Pump \#3-6x12 - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2016 | 14 | 1,081 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plunge Pool Motor \#1-10HP - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 14 | \$ 13,799 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plunge Pool Pump \#1-6x12-Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2016 | 14 | \$ 1,081 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Program Pool Motor \#1-HP - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | BXE Aquatics | 2009 | 13 | \$ 13,799 |  |  | \$21,581 |  |  |
|  | Program Pool Pump \#1 - - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | B\&E Aquatics | 2009 | 13 | \$ 13,799 |  |  | \$21,581 |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Filter Motor \#1-25HP-Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 14 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Filter Pump \#1-8×12-Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2016 | 14 | \$ 1,081 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Filter Motor \# 2-25HP-Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 14 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Filter Pump \#2-8x12-Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2016 | 14 | \$ 1,081 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Jet Motor \#3-40HP - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2016 | 14 | \$ 1,081 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Jet Pump \#3-8x12-Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 14 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Jet Motor \#4-40HP - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2016 | 14 | \$ 1,081 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Jet Pump \#4-8x12- Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2012 | 14 | \$ 13,979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main Pool CO 2 Pump | 1 | Runco | 2014 | 8 | \$ 800 |  |  | \$1,053 |  |  |
|  | Plunge Pool CO2 Pump - (Moved Cl2 Pumps to Fund 05 Equp \& Supply) | 1 | Runco | 2006 | As Needed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River C02 Pump | 1 | Runco | 2006 | As Needed | \$ 590 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main Pool CL2 Pump (From 75th) | 1 | Runco | 2012 | As Needed | \$ 450 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plunge Pool CL2 Pump | 1 | Runco | 2006 | As Needed | \$ 450 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River CL2 Pump (From 75th) | 1 | Runco | 2008 | As Needed | \$ 450 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main Pool CL2 Pump - Moved C12 Pumps to Fund 05 Equp \& Supply) | 1 | Runco | 2006 | As Needed | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Program Pool CL2 Pump | 1 | Runco | 2009 | As Needed | \$ 850 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chlorine Spare Pump | 1 | Runco | 2011 | As Needed | \$ 452 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main Pool Chemical Controller | 1 | Strantrol | 2013 | 10 | \$ 5,000 |  |  |  | \$7,053 |  |
|  | Plunge Pool Chemical Controller | 1 | B\&E Aquatics | 2013 | 10 | \$ 5,000 |  |  |  | \$7,053 |  |
|  | Lazy River Chemical Controller | 1 | Strantol | 2013 | 10 | \$ 5,000 |  |  |  | \$7,053 |  |
|  | Program Pool Chemical Controller | 1 | B\&E Aquatics | 2013 | 10 | \$ 5,000 |  |  |  | \$7,053 |  |
|  | Spare Chemical Controller | 1 | Strantrol ${ }^{\text {Oak }}$ Brook Mechanical | $\frac{2015}{1996}$ | 10 | ${ }^{\text {P }}$ Researching |  |  |  |  | \$7,335 |
|  | Unit Heater | 1 | Oak Brook Mechanical | 1996 | 28 | $\frac{\text { Researching }}{\text { Resarching }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CL2 HVAC Exhaust Fan | 1 | Oak Brook Mechanical | ${ }_{2}^{1996}$ | ${ }_{15}^{28}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {Researching }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exterior Deep Pit Sump Pump 5 HP | 1 | Illinois Pump | 2020 | 6 | \$ 7,800 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main Pool Furnace | 1 | Oak Brook Mechanical | 2008 | 15 | \$ 16,152 |  |  |  | \$27,060 |  |
|  | Plunge Pool furnace | 1 | Oak Brook Mechanical | 2011 | 15 | ${ }^{\$}$ \$ 15,650 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River Furnace | 1 | Oak Brook Mechanical | 2013 | 15 | \$ 24,200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main Pool $120^{\prime \prime}$ Dia. Carbon 3-Cell Verticile Sand Filter |  |  | 2020 | 30 | \$ 440,083 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River 9960 Dia. Carbon 3-Cell Verticle Sand Filter |  |  | 2020 | 30 | \$ 220,041 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lazy River 66" Dia. Carbon 3-Cell Verticle Sand Filter |  |  | 2020 | 30 | \$ 220,041 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pregram \& Plumfe Pool Sand filter |  |  | ${ }_{2}{ }_{2}$ | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restroom floor Epoxy Surface (3405F) | N/A |  | 2009 | 12 | ${ }_{\text {Researching }}$ |  | \$3,500 |  |  |  |
|  | Replace Surge Pit Valves | 5 | Maverick | 2018 | 20 | \$ 15,619 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaee Sandilin Filters Pool Tants | N/A | Aqua Quartz | 2003 | 16 | \$ 27,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPGFBE | SPRAY PLAYGROUND / FILTER BUILDING (EXTERIOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pumphouse Cement Fiber Board - Repaint |  |  | 2018 | 10 | \$ 2,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPGFBI | SPRAY PLAYGROUND / FILTER BUILDING (INTERIOR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tot Slide Motor \#1- HP - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Paco | 2009 | 12 | \$ 13,799 |  | \$20,851 |  |  |  |
|  | Tot Slide Pump \#1 - Rebuild/Replace |  | Paco | 2009 | 12 | \$ 13,799 |  | \$20,851 |  |  |  |
|  | Shrimp Boat Motor \#1-HP - Rebuild/Replace | , | Paco | 2009 | 12 | \$ 13,799 |  | \$20,851 |  |  |  |
|  | Shrimp Boat Pump \#1- HP - RebuildReplace | 1 | Paco | 2009 | 12 | \$ 13,799 |  | \$20,851 |  |  |  |
|  | Tot Flume Slide Motor \#1-HP-Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Paco | 2009 | 12 | \$ 13,799 |  | \$20,851 |  |  |  |
|  | Tot Flume Slide Pump \#1- HP - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Paco <br> Paco | 2009 | 12 | $\begin{array}{ll}\$ & 13,799 \\ \$ \quad 13,799\end{array}$ |  | \$20,851 |  |  |  |
|  | Resevoir Pump \#1 - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Paco | 2009 | 12 | \$ 13,799 |  | \$20,851 |  |  |  |
|  | Frog on Rocks Motor \#1-HP - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Waterworks, Intermational | 2009 | 12 | \$ 13,799 |  | \$20,851 |  |  |  |
|  | Frog on Rocks Pump \#1-HP - Rebuild/Replace | 1 | Waterworks, Interrational | 2009 | 12 | \$ 13,799 |  | \$20,851 |  |  |  |
|  | Heater | 1 | ${ }^{\text {Lochinvar }}$ | 2009 | $\stackrel{25}{15}$ | \$ 15,650 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Replace Sand in Filter Tank | 1 | Pentair | 2009 | 15 | \$ 12,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| EG | EXTERIOR GROUNDS <br> Parking Lot Surface (Orignal Lot) (14,800SY) (75\% Cost to Cypress, 25\% Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Surface (Orignal Lot) (14,800SY) (75\% Cost to Cypress, 25\% Cost to Fund 11) | N/A |  | 1996 | 25 | \$ 162,800 |  | \$384,736 |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Surface (Addition 02 Lot) (3,555 SY) (75\% Cost to Cypress, 25\% Cost to Fund 11) | N/A |  | 2002 | 20 | \$ 39,105 |  |  | \$77,811 |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Reseal \& Line Stripe ( $14,800+3,555$ SY)(75\% Cost to Cypress, 25\% Cost to Fund 11) | N/A |  | 2018 | 5 | \$ 10,827 |  |  |  | \$12,859 |  |
|  | Entry Driveway Asphalt Replacement | N/A |  | 2010 | 14 | \$ 41,574 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Irrigation Motor 3 HP - Rebuild | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Irrigation Pump - Rebuild | N/A |  | 2011 | 15 | \% of System |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Irrigation Pump - Replace | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Facility Stop Signs |  |  | 2018 | 10 | \$ 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Well Pump - 7.5 HP | N/A |  | 1996 | 25 |  |  | \$14,179 |  |  |  |
|  | Parking Lot Resurface (0riginal Lot) Scheduled 2020 |  | Budget over 5 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | Last Yr | Rplcmnt | Rplcmnt | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Quantity | Manufacturer/Contractor | Replaced | Interval | Cost | FUND 07 | FUND 07 | FUND 07 | FUND 07 | FUND 07 |
|  | Cedar Replacement (Cement Fiber Board) |  | Budget over 4 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | General Reserve Fund |  | \$60,000 Annually |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX

APPENDIX V (ARC-CRP)

Annual Inflation
Revised 02/16/21

|  |  | Last Yr | Rplcmnt | Rplcmnt | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Replaced | Interval | Cost | Fund 13 | Fund 13 | Fund 13 | Fund 13 | Fund 13 |
| 0 OF | OFFICE FURNISHINGS (ARC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bookcase w/ 4 Adjustable Shelves - 36 "Wx72"Hx14"D (3) | 2016 | 25 | \$1,350 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Conference Table | 2016 | 15 | \$1,328 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Desk Chair (4) | 2016 | 20 | \$1,564 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet - $30 \times 18 \times 39-1 / 4^{4 \prime}$ (used as supports for $5 \times 66^{\prime}$ table top) (4) | 2016 | 40 | \$2,132 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet / 2 Drawer - $42 \times 18 \times 27^{\text {" }} 2$ ) | 2016 | 40 | \$1,130 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet / 3 Drawer - $42 \times 18 \times 39-1 / 4^{\prime \prime}(4)$ | 2016 | 40 | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet/4 Drawer - $42 \times 18 \times 39-1 / 4^{\prime \prime}(4)$ | 2016 | 40 | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | File Cabinet/4 Drawer - $42 \times 18 \times 51-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}(1)$ | 2016 | 40 | \$945 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Guest Chair Offices Angie, Dan, Brad, Barb (8) | 2016 | 20 | \$1,908 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | High backed stool - Front Desk, Open office, Fitness (7) | 2016 | 20 | \$3,255 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ice Machine | 2016 | 7 | \$1,731 |  |  | \$2,202 |  |  |
|  | Square Table/Desk - KS/Camp office | 2016 | 25 | \$436 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Task Chair (for Data Room) | 2016 | 20 | \$279 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Task Chair office 5 (Dan, Angie, Brad, Sarah, Camp) | 2016 | 20 | \$2,232 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Task Chair (8) Conference Room | 2016 | 20 | \$1,395 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Task Chair 3 (Open 0ffice) | 2016 | 20 | \$837 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Task Chair 3 (Fitness, Barb) | 2016 | 20 | \$837 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CS | COMPUTER SYSTEM (ARC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Camera for Membership Cards (4) | 2016 | 5 | \$220 |  | \$261 |  |  |  |
|  | Card Scanners (4) | 2016 | 5 | \$1,518 |  | \$1,803 |  |  |  |
|  | Card Printers (4) | 2016 | 5 | \$5,054 |  | \$6,003 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor (Angie) | 2016 | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor (Brad) | 2016 | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor (Dary) | 2016 | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor (Dan) | $201+$ | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor (Barb) | 2016 | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer Monitor (Barb) | 2016 | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor (3 Open Office) | 2016 | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor + PC Station (Kitchen) | 2016 | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor (Sarah) | 2016 | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor (Camp) | 2016 | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor + PC Station (3 Front Desk + 2 Fitness) | 2016 | 5 | \$3,105 |  | \$3,688 |  |  |  |
|  | Computer w/ Monitor (Trainer) | 2016 | 5 | \$621 |  | \$738 |  |  |  |
|  | Copier/printer/scanner Ricoh MPCX4504 | 2016 | 6 | \$7,600 |  | \$9,342 |  |  |  |
|  | Printer - KSCamp office/Fitness Desk/Barb (3) | 2016 | 8 | \$675 |  |  |  | \$889 |  |
|  | Printer / Scanner / Fax Machine - Front Desk | 2016 | 8 | \$663 |  |  |  | \$873 |  |
|  | Printer - Receipt Front Desk (2)/Fitness (2)/Kitchen (1) | 2016 | 5 | \$1,425 |  | \$1,692 |  |  |  |
|  | Timepro Time Clock w/ Receipt Printer - 2 | 2016 | TBD | \$5,040 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TV HD LED Flat Screen $55^{\prime \prime}$ turf \& gym entrance (2) | 2016 | 10 | \$2,368 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TV HD LED Flat Screen 65" First Floor Front Desk | 2016 | 10 | \$1,579 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TV HD LED Flat Screen 65" First Floor Hallway | 2016 | 10 | \$1,579 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TV HD LED Flat Screen $65^{\prime \prime}$ Conference Room | 2016 | 10 | \$1,579 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TV HD LED Flat Screen 65" Fitness floor (6) | 2016 | 10 | \$9,474 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COMPUTER ROOM COMPONENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Computer Network System - Server | 2016 | TBD | \$8,800 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Smart UPS \#1 rt 2200va | 2016 | TBD | \$1,320 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Netshelter Rack | 2016 | TBD | \$1,200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Smart UPS \#2 rt1500va | 2016 | TBD | \$830 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Firewall | 2016 | TBD | \$4,700 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cisco Router 2921 | 2016 | TBD | \$2,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | POE Switch hp2530-489 | 2016 | TBD | \$1,730 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | POE Switch hp2530-48g | 2016 | TBD | \$1,700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| KC | CONCESSIONS/KITCHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Commercial Grade Refrigerator | 2016 | 15 | \$2,766 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ice Machine - 70 lb . Cube Ice | 2016 | 7 | \$2,400 |  |  | \$3,053 |  |  |
|  | Kitchen Stainless Steel Rectangle Work Table $244^{\prime \prime} \times 966^{\prime \prime} / 14$ Gauge | 2016 | 20 | \$1,036 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Single Door Freezer | 2016 | 15 | \$2,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Warming Cabinet - Kitchen | 2016 | 13 | \$2,892 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\text { FGXT }}$ | FITNESS / GROUP X/TRACK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fitness Mat Racks (3) | 2016 | 20 | \$584 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chairs - Stackable (3) | 2016 | 20 | \$358 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Desk Table 30x42" | 2016 | 15 | \$465 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Disinfectant Wipe Stand/Trash Receptacles (8) | 2016 | 15 | \$3,227 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dryer Machine | 2016 | 13 | \$950 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Indoor Ropes Course Harnesses, Laynards, and Continuous Belay Trolleys (15) | 2016 | 10 | \$6,150 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Indoor Ropes Course Helmets (10) | 2016 | 10 | \$695 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Desk Assembly (Barb's office) | 2016 | 25 | \$2,654 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Timpro Time Clock w/ Receipt Printer | 2016 | 8 | \$2,520 |  |  |  | \$3,318 |  |
|  | Washing Machine | 2016 | 10 | \$1,650 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $5^{\prime}$ EZ Olympic Curl Bar (Chrome) | 2016 | 10 | \$103 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ab Crunch | 2016 | 10 | \$950 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Abdominal | 2016 | 10 | \$3,185 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Adaptive Motion Trainer (Precor) | 2016 | 7 | \$14,292 |  |  | \$18,183 |  |  |
|  | Adjustable Bench | 2016 | 8 | \$3,500 |  |  |  | \$4,609 |  |
|  | Arc Trainer (Cybex) | 2016 | 8 | \$14,970 |  |  |  | \$19,713 |  |
|  | Barbell Rack | 2016 | 10 | \$550 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barbells, EZ Curl - 100 lbs | 2016 | 10 | \$154 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barbells, EZ Curl - 110 lbs | 2016 | 10 | \$160 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barbells, EZ Curl - 20 lbs | 2016 | 10 | \$108 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barbells, EZ Curl - 30 lbs | 2016 | 10 | \$114 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barbells, EZ Curl - 40 lbs | 2016 | 10 | \$120 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barbells, EZ Curl - 50 lbs | 2016 | 10 | \$125 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barbells, EZ Curl - 60 lbs | 2016 | 10 | \$131 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barbells, EZ Curl - 70 lbs | 2016 | 10 | \$137 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barbells, EZ Curl - 80 lbs | 2016 | 10 | \$143 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barbells, EZ Curl - 90 lbs | 2016 | 10 | \$148 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bicep Curl | 2016 | 10 | \$3,185 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cable Bar Rack w/12 Attachment Bars | 2016 | 10 | \$510 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cable Motion Dual Adjustable Pulley w/ Touch Screen | 2016 | 10 | \$4,994 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chin Dip Leg Raise | 2016 | 10 | \$1,116 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Collars | 2016 | 10 | \$30 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Converging Chest Press | 2016 | 10 | \$3,285 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Core Tower (7 Apparatuses) | 2016 | 10 | \$13,577 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Decline Bench | 2016 | 12 | \$655 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dip Chin | 2016 | 10 | \$3,569 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Disinfectant Wipe Stand/rash Receptacles (8) | 2016 | 15 | \$3,227 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Double Tier Dumbbell Rack (2) | 2016 | 12 | \$1,200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dryer Machine | 2016 | 14 | \$950 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dumbells 5-1001bs (2) | 2016 | 12 | \$4,058 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Elite Half Rack / Long Base / Pull Up Handles (2) | 2016 | 12 | \$2,774 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Elite Half Rack / Stand Alone Storage (2) | 2016 | 12 | \$1,198 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Elliptical Cross-Trainers (Life Fitness) - 5 | 2016 | 7 | \$22,985 |  |  | \$29,243 |  |  |
|  | Elliptical Cross-Trainers (0ctane Fitness) - 2 | 2016 | 8 | \$13,470 |  |  |  | \$17,737 |  |
|  | Elliptical Fixed Handlebar (Precorr) -2 | 2016 | 7 | \$10,522 |  |  | \$13,387 |  |  |



|  |  | Last Yr | Rplcmnt | Rplcmnt | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description | Replaced | Interval | Cost | Fund 13 | Fund 13 | Fund 13 | Fund 13 | Fund 13 |
|  | Café High Stools (8) | 2016 | 20 | \$1,336 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Café High Tables / $24 \times 24 \times 42^{\prime \prime}$ ( 4 ) | 2016 | 20 | \$1,402 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Café Short Tables / $24 \times 24 \times 29^{\prime \prime}$ (2) | 2016 | 20 | \$701 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drinking Fountains - 1st floor only (2) | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lounge Sofa Sets (3 sets) | 2016 | 20 | \$9,690 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ottoman Seating (2) | 2016 | 20 | \$1,227 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ottoman Seating (4) | 2016 | 20 | \$2,780 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacle (35 Gal.) / Recycle Container / Toter Slimline (12) | 2016 | 30 | \$900 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacle ( 35 Gal.) Lid / Toter Slimline (12) | 2016 | 30 | \$480 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacle (35 Gal.) / Toter Slimline (16) | 2016 | 30 | \$1,200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacle (35 Gal.) Lid / Toter Slimline (16) | 2016 | 30 | \$640 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacle 44 Gallon | 2016 | 30 | \$263 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Trash Receptacle 23" Square Waste (6) | 2016 | 30 | \$324 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sliding Front Door Entrance | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Entrance Curtain (Heat/Cool) | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Carpet (this may be total sq ft in entire bldg) | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lux Vinyl Flooring (total sq ft for entire Bldg | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrazzo stairs | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ME | MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Clubcar Carryall 300 (Electric) | 2016 | 15 | \$7,771 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Floor Sweeper | 2016 | 7 | \$2,055 |  | \$2,615 |  |  |  |
|  | KaiVac Shower Room Cleaning System | 2016 | 10 | \$3,775 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Snow Blower Power Max 724 OE 24 in. Two-Stage Electric Start Gas | 2016 | 10 | \$720 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stand On Floor Scrubber | 2016 | 12 | \$6,850 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Universal Trash Tilt Truck (1/2 CY) | 2016 | 15 | \$669 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | First Floor - Maintenance / Garage Room |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Water Heater (2) | 2016 | 10 | \$16,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Garage Door | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hot Dawg Heater | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boilers (6) | 2016 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pump Station | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Garage bin fencing \& gate | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Second Floor - Maintenance Closet / Roof |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boilers (2) | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HVAC | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Roofing - Polyvinyl-Chloride (PVC) Roofing | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Roofing - Thermoplastic Polyolefin (TPO) Roofing | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Water Heater | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MP | MULTI-PURPOSE ROOMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chairs - Stackable (150) | 2016 | 20 | \$13,650 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chairs Stackable Caddy (7) | 2016 | 20 | \$1,430 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folding Chairs - Steel (84) | 2016 | 20 | \$2,108 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folding Chairs - Steel Chair Caddy | 2016 | 20 | \$765 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folding chairs with Dollies, 24/set (3) - Team chairs for Gyms | 2017 | 15 | \$2,041 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folding Tables - 30"×96" Long (40) | 2016 | 10 | \$10,200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Folding Tables - 72" Dia. Round | 2016 | 10 | \$5,850 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Projector Screen Program Room | 2016 | 15 | \$1,519 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Program Room Dividers (2) | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Program Room Audio System | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Program Rooms Screen | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Program Room Projector | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TV | TRANSPORTATION VEHICLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Van - Passenger (ARC) | 2016 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TA | INDOOR TURF AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Batting Cage Pitching Machine No. 1 (2)) | 2016 | 5 | \$2,190 | \$2,601 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Foldable Soccer Goals ( $8^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ ) | 2016 | 20 | \$4,200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inflatable - Castle | 2016 | 10 | \$1,895 | \$2,673 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inflatable - Raider of the Lost Temple Double Lane Slide | 2016 | 10 | \$6,795 | \$9,585 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inflatable - Tiki Island with Ramp | 2016 | 10 | \$8,295 | \$11,701 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Portable Aluminum Players Backless Benches 8' (4) | 2016 | 20 | \$836 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Soccer Goals ( $\mathbf{6}^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ ) w/ Nets | 2016 | 25 | \$4,400 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Soccer Goals (6.5' $\times 18.5^{\prime}$ ) / Box Style (2 pair) | 2016 | 25 | \$9,900 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tumbling Mats $4^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime} \times 13 / 88^{\prime} \mathrm{w} /$ sided fasteners (10) | 2017 | 7 | \$2,080 |  |  | \$2,646 |  |  |
|  | Turf Screen Pitcher's "L" (2) | 2016 | 10 | \$900 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retractable Soccer Goals (2) | 2016 | TBD | 4,200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Turf Field netting (total) | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Soccer Goals 6X 12 | 2016 | TBD | 4,400 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Soccer Goals (6.5' $\times 18.5^{\prime}$ ) / Box Style - 2 pair | 2016 | TBD | 9,900 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Soccer Goals (5' $\times 9^{\prime}$ ) w/ Nets - 2 pair | 2016 | TBD | 1,550 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Soccer Goals ( $4^{\prime} \times 66^{\prime}$ ) w/ Nets - 4 pair | 2016 | TBD | 1,587 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LaCross Goals (Collapsable) - 2 sets | 2016 | TBD | 1,540 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Big Ass Fans (4) | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Field Turf | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Turf Divider Curtain (full) | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Turf Divider Curtain (half) | 2017 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ropes course | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Track Netting (total) | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Track Fencing | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wireless Turf Scoreboards (2) | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Remote Portable Wireless Scoreboard Console - 5 | 2016 | TBD | \$2,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Turf wall padding | 2016 | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX

APPENDIX W
(VGCRP)

VGGC CAPITAL REPLACEMENT PROGRAM | ADMINISTRATION (FUND 46)
Annual Inflation Interest Based on 3.5\%, OR AS NOTED
Revised 05/27/20


|  | Seal Parking lot | 2017 | 5 | \$13,248 |  | \$15,000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Security System | 2018 | 15 | \$12,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Track Lighting | 1996 | 30 | \$2,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Washer \& Dryer | 1996 | 17 | \$800 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Water Heater | 2008 | 12 | \$5,875 |  |  |  |  |
| FB | FOOD \& BEVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tables (15) | 2017 | 20 | \$9,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chairs (74) | 2017 | 20 | \$18,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Refrigerator | 1996 | 19 | \$3,175 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Freezer | 1996 | 19 | \$3,775 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grill | 1996 | 19 | \$1,100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Burner Unit | 1996 | 19 | \$575 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Griddle | 1996 | 19 | \$710 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fryer | 2020 | 7 | \$855 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exhaust Hood | 1996 | 30 | \$1,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Coolers Under Grill Unit | 1996 | 12 | \$1,100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outdoor Cooler | 1996 | 20 | \$1,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Meat \& Bread Cooler | 1996 | 20 | \$1,300 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Warmer | 1996 | 20 | \$1,650 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Keg Cooler | 2017 | 20 | \$2,150 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tableware | 1996 | 30 | \$2,700 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ice Bin | 1996 | 20 | \$500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dishwasher | 2015 | 10 | \$8,536 |  |  |  | \$8,500 |
|  | Convection Oven | 2015 | 10 | \$4,000 |  |  |  | \$4,000 |
|  | Ice Machine (Used) | 2011 | 8 | \$3,170 | \$3,170 |  |  |  |
|  | Sink | 1996 | 30 | \$725 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ansul System | 1996 | 30 | \$2,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outdoor Grill | 1996 | 20 | \$2,453 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kitchen Floor Resurfacing | 2007 | 10 | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |
| ME | MISC. MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1000 Gallon Fuel Tank | 1991 | 30 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |  |  |  |
|  | 21" Rotary (G1) | 2003 | 15 | \$1,188 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21" Rotary (G2) | 2003 | 15 | \$1,188 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2-Way Radios (6) | 2006 | 7 | \$1,725 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 300 Gallon Fuel Tank | 1991 | 30 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Air Compressor | 2002 | 15 | \$587 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bank Mower | 2010 | 10 | \$12,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Station | 1997 | 11 | \$1,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bed Knife Grinder | 2003 | 20 | \$11,000 |  |  | \$11,000 |  |
|  | Blower (G1) | 2010 | 10 | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blower (G2) | 2010 | 10 | \$3,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Building - Tuckpointing | 1999 | 20 | \$11,141 | \$11,141 |  |  |  |
|  | Bunker Renovation` & 1959 & 20 & \$20,000 & & & & \\ \hline & Bunker Renovation` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chemical Storage Unit | 2002 | 30 | \$33,125 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Core Harevester | 1992 | 20 | \$3,200 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drainage Pipe Replacement | 1973 | 20 |  | \$52,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Fairway Mower (G1) | 2014 | 10 | \$37,600 |  |  |  | \$37,600 |
|  | Fairway Mower (G2) | 2014 | 10 | \$37,600 |  |  |  | \$37,600 |
|  | Fence (Maintenance Yard Perimeter) | 2018 | 30 | \$24,565 |  |  |  | \$24,565 |
|  | Fertilizer Spreader | 2005 | 30 | \$2,090 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flammable Cabinets (4) | 1989 | 30 | \$2,292 | \$2,292 |  |  |  |
|  | Greens / Approaches / Collars (G1) | 2002 | 10 | \$28,000 | \$29,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Greens / Approaches / Collars (G2) | 2016 | 10 | \$28,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Greens / Approaches / Collars (G3) | 2006 | 10 | \$28,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Greens / Approaches / Collars (G4) | 2011 | 10 | \$28,000 | \$28,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Greens / Approaches / Collars (G5) | 2011 | 10 | \$28,000 | \$28,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Greens / Approaches / Collars (G6) | 2013 | 10 | \$28,000 |  |  | \$28,000 |  |
|  | Greens / Approaches / Collars (G7)) | 2002 | 10 | \$28,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hydraulic Lift | 2007 | 18 | \$15,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hydraulic Press | 2000 | 30 | \$1,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Irrigation System | 1988 | 40 | \$1,000,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub - Irrigation Control Boxes (Satellite) | 2017 | 20 | \$50,444 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub - Pumphouse | 2014 | 40 | \$282,038 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub - Pumps (2) / Motors (2) | 2014 | 15-20 | \$52,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub - Irrigation Pump Control Panel | 2014 | 20 | \$50,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub - Submersible pump (Pond) | 2003 | 15 | \$15,400 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Maintenance Building | 1973 | 60 | \$1,000,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Maintenance Fence | 2003 | 10 | \$8,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plow (Truck) | 1995 | 25 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |  |  |  |
|  | Pressure Washer | 2010 | 6 | \$2,515 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reel Spin Grinder | 2003 | 20 | \$15,000 |  |  | \$15,000 |  |
|  | Refrigerator | 1980 | 30 | \$800 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rough Mower (G1) | 2004 | 10 | \$15,151 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rough Mower (G1) | 2005 | 10 | \$33,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rough Mower (G1) | 2015 | 10 | \$70,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rough Mower (G2) | 2004 | 10 | \$15,151 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sand Trap Pump | 2015 | 15 | \$5,062 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sand Trap Rake (G1) | 2008 | 10 | \$11,500 | \$19,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Sand Trap Rake (G2) | 2010 | 10 | \$11,500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Skidsteer | 2014 | 10 | \$37,352 |  |  |  | \$37,352 |
|  | Slit Seeder | 1996 | 25 | \$6,650 | \$6,650 |  |  |  |
|  | Sprayer | 2020 | 10 | \$63,209 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terra Brush | 2011 | 13 | \$4,395 |  |  |  | \$4,395 |
|  | Time Clock | 1998 | 25 | \$600 |  |  | \$600 |  |
|  | Topdresser (Stored at WPD Maintenance) | 2008 | 15 | \$9,600 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tractor | 2002 | 30 | \$11,909 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tractor | 1986 | 35 | \$6,237 | \$6,237 |  |  |  |
|  | Truck | 2005 | 10 | \$25,025 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Utility Vehicle - 4WD | 2004 | 10 | \$13,218 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Utility Vehicle - Case | 2017 | 10 | \$11,970 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Utility Vehicle (G1) | 2017 | 10 | \$6,043 |  |  |  | \$6,043 |
|  | Utility Vehicle (G2) | 2017 | 10 | \$6,043 |  |  |  | \$6,043 |
|  | Utility Vehicle (G3) | 1999 | 10 | \$5,050 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Utility Vehicle (G4) | 2005 | 10 | \$5,400 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Utility Vehicle (G5) | 2017 | 10 | \$6,043 |  |  |  |  |


| Utility Vehicle (G6) | 2017 | 10 | \$6,043 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility Vehicle (G7) | 2004 | 10 | \$5,400 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Bank Mower | 2010 | 10 | \$7,500 | \$7,500 |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Greens Mower (G1) | 1992 | 16 | \$3,200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Greens Mower (G2) | 1992 | 16 | \$3,200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weedeaters (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Window Air Conditioner | 2000 | 20 | \$800 | \$800 |  |  |  |  |

total amount


[^0]:    29 Jan 18
    Source: RRC Associates

[^1]:    29 Jan 18
    Source: RRC Associates

[^2]:    29 Jan 18
    Source: RRC Associates

[^3]:    29 Jan 18
    Source: RRC Associates

[^4]:    29 Jan 18
    Source: RRC Associates

[^5]:    29 Jan 18
    Source: RRC Associates

[^6]:    29 Jan 18
    Source: RRC Associates

[^7]:    Total Respondents: 13

